

# TENSOR PRODUCTS FOR AFFINE KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

R.C. King and T.A. Welsh

Mathematics Department, University of Southampton,  
Southampton, SO9 5NH England

Following Kac [1], let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A)$  be the affine Kac-Moody algebra of rank  $\ell$  associated with a symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix  $A$  having matrix elements  $A_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 2(\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle) / (\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle)$  for  $i, j \in I$  where  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Each simple root  $\alpha_i$ , with  $i \in I$  lies in  $\mathcal{H}^*$ , the dual of the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ . The corresponding co-roots are defined by  $\alpha_i^\vee = 2\alpha_i / \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle$  for  $i \in I$ .

It is convenient to introduce vectors  $\delta$  and  $\omega_i$ , with  $i \in I$ , which together span  $\mathcal{H}^*$ . In terms of the integer marks  $c_i$  and co-marks  $c_i^\vee$  for  $i \in I$  we have  $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} c_i \alpha_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} c_i^\vee \alpha_i^\vee$ . The marks are chosen [1] so that  $\langle \delta, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0$  for  $j \in I$ . In addition  $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j \in I$ ,  $\langle \omega_i, \omega_0 \rangle = 0$  for  $i \in I$ , and  $\langle \delta, \omega_0 \rangle = c_0^\vee$ . Every weight  $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$  may then be expressed in the form  $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \lambda_i \omega_i - k\delta = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; k)$  where the Dynkin components of  $\lambda$  are given by  $\lambda_i = \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$  for  $i \in I$ . The level and depth of the weight  $\lambda$  are defined by  $L(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, \delta \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} c_i^\vee \lambda_i$  and  $D(\lambda) = -(c_0^\vee)^{-1} \langle \lambda, \omega_0 \rangle = k$ . A weight  $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$  is said to belong to the set of integral weights,  $P$ , if  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i \in I$ . Such an integral weight  $\lambda$  is dominant and in  $P_+$  if  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0$  for  $i \in I$ , and strongly dominant and in  $P_{++}$  if  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle > 0$  for  $i \in I$ .

The Weyl group,  $W$ , of  $\mathcal{G}$  is generated by the Weyl reflections whose action on  $\mathcal{H}^*$  are defined by  $r_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i$  for  $i \in I$ . The orbit of  $\lambda$  under the Weyl group action is the set  $W_\lambda = \{w\lambda \mid w \in W\}$ . For each  $\lambda$  in  $P$  there exists a unique dominant weight  $\lambda^+$  in  $P_+$  such that  $\lambda^+ = w_\lambda \lambda$  for some  $w_\lambda \in W$ . If  $\lambda^+$  is in  $P_{++}$  then all the elements  $w\lambda$  with  $w \in W$  are distinct, and in particular  $w_\lambda$  is unique.

The null depth of  $\lambda$  is then defined to be  $d(\lambda) = D(\lambda) - D(\lambda^+)$ . By exploiting the Weyl group invariance, which implies  $\langle \lambda^+, \lambda^+ \rangle = \langle w_\lambda \lambda, w_\lambda \lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$ , it is possible to show that  $d(\lambda) = (1/2L(\lambda)) \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} (G_{ij} (\lambda_i \lambda_j - \lambda_i^+ \lambda_j^+))$ , where  $G = S^{-1}$  with  $S_{ij} = c_i(c_j^\vee)^{-1} A_{ij}$  for  $i, j \in I_+ = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ .

Each irreducible highest weight integrable module  $V^\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is labelled by a dominant integral weight  $\lambda$ . Such a module has a weight space decomposition  $V^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathcal{H}^*} V_\kappa^\lambda$  and the character of this module is formally given by  $\text{ch } V^\lambda = \sum_{\kappa \in P} m_\kappa^\lambda e^\kappa$ , where the weight multiplicity  $m_\kappa^\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\kappa^\lambda$ . Kac [1] has established the character formula:

$$\text{ch } V^\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)} / \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w \rho}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho \in \mathcal{H}^*$  is defined by  $\rho = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \omega_i$  so that  $\langle \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 1$  for  $i \in I$ .

The tensor product  $V^\mu \otimes V^\nu$  of two irreducible integrable highest weight modules of  $\mathcal{G}$  is fully reducible into a direct sum of such modules. If the multiplicity of occurrence of modules  $V^\lambda$  in this tensor product is denoted by  $g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  then  $\text{ch } V^\mu \text{ch } V^\nu =$

$\sum_{\lambda \in P_+} g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \text{ch } V^\lambda$ . Two problems which immediately present themselves are the explicit evaluation of the weight multiplicities  $m_\kappa^\lambda$  and the explicit evaluation of the tensor product multiplicities  $g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ . In what follows it is demonstrated not only that these problems may be solved algorithmically but also that they are intimately connected. Illustrations are confined for simplicity to the case  $\mathcal{G} = A_1^{(1)}$ .

The formal definition of  $\text{ch } V^\mu$  and the character formula (1) for  $\text{ch } V^\nu$  and  $\text{ch } V^\lambda$  imply

$$\sum_{\kappa \in P} m_\kappa^\mu e^\kappa \sum_{\nu \in W} \epsilon(\nu) e^{\nu(\lambda+\rho)} = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda+\rho)}. \quad (2)$$

For any  $\lambda \in P_+$  it follows that  $\lambda + \rho \in P_{++}$ . Moreover  $w(\lambda + \rho) \in P_{++}$  if and only if  $w$  is the identity element of  $W$ . Setting  $\kappa = v\sigma$ , using the fact that  $m_{v\sigma}^\mu = m_\sigma^\mu$  and picking out those terms on both sides of (2) involving  $e^\eta$  with  $\eta \in P_{++}$  leads to the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in P \\ (\sigma + \nu + \rho)^+ \in P_{++}}} \epsilon(w_{\sigma + \nu + \rho}) m_\sigma^\mu e^{(\sigma + \nu + \rho)^+} = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda e^{\lambda + \rho}. \quad (3)$$

This identity provides a geometric procedure for determining the tensor product multiplicities  $g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  from the weight multiplicities  $m_\sigma^\mu$  of just one of the constituent irreducible modules in the product. Its use is a straightforward generalisation of a very well known technique [2,3,4] developed in the context of finite dimensional Lie algebras. The identity (3) actually provides an explicit formula [3] for tensor product multiplicities:

$$g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in P \\ (\sigma + \nu + \rho)^+ = \lambda + \rho}} \epsilon(w_{\sigma + \nu + \rho}) m_\sigma^\mu = \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) m_{\lambda + \rho - w(\nu + \rho)}^\mu, \quad (4)$$

where the sum over  $\sigma$  has been replaced in the second expression by a sum over  $w \in W$  since the set of elements  $\sigma + \nu + \rho$  such that  $(\sigma + \nu + \rho)^+ = \lambda + \rho$  is precisely  $W(\lambda + \rho)$ . Use has also been made the fact that  $m_\sigma^\mu = m_{w\sigma}^\mu$ .

This formula not only allows the explicit calculation of tensor product multiplicities from a knowledge of weight multiplicities but also the converse. Indeed setting  $\nu = 0$ , so that  $V^\nu = V^0$  is the trivial one-dimensional module and  $g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = g_{\mu 0}^\lambda = \delta_\mu^\lambda$ , and taking  $\lambda \neq \mu$  in (4) gives Racah's familiar recurrence relation [3] for weight multiplicities:  $\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) m_{\lambda + \rho - w\rho}^\mu = 0$ . However, (4) can be used as it stands as a tool for determining weight multiplicities from tensor product multiplicities.

By way of illustration, in the case of  $\mathcal{G} = A_1^{(1)}$  we have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , so that  $S = (2)$ ,  $G = (\frac{1}{2})$  and  $d(\mu) = \frac{1}{4L(\mu)}(\mu_1^2 - \mu_1^{+2})$ . For the simplest non-trivial module,  $V^{(1,0;0)}$  with highest weight  $\mu = \omega_0$ , the weights are all of the form  $\sigma = (1 - 2m)\omega_0 + 2m\omega_1 - p\delta$  with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . The top ten rows of the infinite weight diagram are shown below. Ignoring for the moment the foot of the table, the Weyl reflection planes are the vertical lines through  $\theta_0 = (0, 1)$  and  $\theta_1 = (1, 0)$ . All weights  $\sigma = (1 - 2m, 2m; p)$  on any vertical string in a column labelled by  $m$  are Weyl equivalent to those on the one string in the dominant sector labelled by  $m = 0$ . In fact  $\sigma^+ = (1, 0; k)$  with  $k = p - m^2$ , since  $d(\sigma) = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_1^2 - \mu_1^2) = m^2$ . The weight multiplicities themselves are given by  $m_\sigma^\mu = a_{p-m^2}$  where  $a_n = p(n)$ , the number of partitions of  $n$ , as can be shown through the use of Racah's recurrence relation [5] or otherwise [1].

$m =$	$\cdots$	$-3$	$-2$	$-1$	$0$	$1$	$2$	$3$	$\cdots$
$(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)$	$\cdots$	$(7, -6)$	$(5, -4)$	$(3, -2)$	$(1, 0)$	$(-1, 2)$	$(-3, 4)$	$(-5, 6)$	$\cdots$
$d(\sigma)$		9	4	1	0	1	4	9	
					$\theta_1$	$\theta_0$			
					$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$			
$p = 0$					1				
$p = 1$				1	1	1			
$p = 2$				1	2	1			
$p = 3$				2	3	2			
$p = 4$			1	3	5	3	1		
$p = 5$			1	5	7	5	1		
$p = 6$			2	7	11	7	2		
$p = 7$			3	11	15	11	3		
$p = 8$			5	15	22	15	5		
$p = 9$		1	7	22	30	22	7	1	
				$\uparrow$			$\uparrow$		
				$\phi_1$			$\phi_0$		
$d(\zeta)$		-	0	0	0	0	-	2	
$(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$	$\cdots$	$(10, -5)$	$(8, -3)$	$(6, -1)$	$(4, 1)$	$(2, 3)$	$(0, 5)$	$(-2, 7)$	$\cdots$

The above weight diagram may then be used to calculate, for example, the tensor product multiplicities for  $V^{(1,0;0)} \otimes V^{(2,0;0)}$ . The procedure based directly on (3) involves shifting the weight diagram of  $V^{(1,0;0)}$  through  $\nu + \rho = (3, 1; 0)$  so that  $\sigma = (1 - 2m, 2m; p)$  goes to  $\zeta = (4 - 2m, 1 + 2m; p)$  with  $L(\zeta) = 5$ . This can be effected by the relabelling given at the foot of the above diagram. The reflection planes are now at the positions  $\phi_0 = (0, 5)$  and  $\phi_1 = (5, 0)$ . All weights  $\zeta$  on any vertical string either lie on a Weyl reflection plane or are such that  $\zeta^+ = (4, 1; k)$  or  $(2, 3; k)$  for some  $k$ , with  $d(\zeta) = \frac{1}{5}m(m+1)$  or  $\frac{1}{5}(m^2 + m - 2)$ , respectively. Carrying out the Weyl reflections for each vertical string, taking signatures into account and subtracting  $\rho = (1, 1; 0)$  gives the following tensor product multiplicities  $g_{(1,0;0)(2,0;0)}^{(\lambda_0, \lambda_1; k)}$ :

	$(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) = (3, 0)$	$(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) = (1, 2)$
$k = 0$	1	
$k = 1$	$1 - 1 = 0$	1
$k = 2$	$2 - 1 = 1$	1
$k = 3$	$3 - 2 = 1$	2
$k = 4$	$5 - 3 = 2$	$3 - 1 = 2$
$k = 5$	$7 - 5 = 2$	$5 - 1 = 4$
$k = 6$	$11 - 7 = 4$	$7 - 2 = 5$
$k = 7$	$15 - 11 = 4$	$11 - 3 - 1 = 7$

Since tensor products are commutative, the same multiplicities must arise if the problem is approached in the same way but starting from the weight diagram of the module  $V^{(2,0;0)}$ . This takes the following form in which reflections in the planes signified by  $\psi_0 = (0, 2)$  and  $\psi_1 = (2, 0)$  have been used to parametrise the weight multiplicities

in terms of those in the dominant sector. The weights are all of the form  $\tau = (2 - 2m, 2m; p)$ , with  $L(\tau) = 2$  and  $d(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}m^2$  or  $\frac{1}{2}(m^2 - 1)$ , according as  $m$  is even or odd.

$m =$	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
$(\tau_0, \tau_1)$	$\cdots$	$(8, -6)$	$(6, -4)$	$(4, -2)$	$(2, 0)$	$(0, 2)$	$(-2, 4)$	$(-4, 6)$	$(-6, 8)$
$d(\tau)$	8	4	2	0	0	0	2	4	8
					$\psi_1$	$\psi_0$			
					$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$			
$p = 0$					$a_0$				
$p = 1$				$b_1$	$a_1$	$b_1$			
$p = 2$			$a_0$	$b_2$	$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_0$		
$p = 3$			$a_1$	$b_2$	$a_3$	$b_3$	$a_1$		
$p = 4$			$a_2$	$b_4$	$a_4$	$b_4$	$a_2$		
$p = 5$		$b_1$	$a_3$	$b_5$	$a_5$	$b_5$	$a_3$	$b_1$	
$p = 6$		$b_2$	$a_4$	$b_6$	$a_6$	$b_6$	$a_4$	$b_2$	
$p = 7$		$b_3$	$a_5$	$b_7$	$a_7$	$b_7$	$a_5$	$b_3$	
$p = 8$	$a_0$	$b_4$	$a_6$	$b_8$	$a_8$	$b_8$	$a_6$	$b_4$	$a_0$
				$\uparrow$	$\phi_1$	$\uparrow$	$\phi_0$		
$d(\zeta)$	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2	4
$(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$	$\cdots$	$(10, -5)$	$(8, -3)$	$(6, -1)$	$(4, 1)$	$(2, 3)$	$(0, 5)$	$(-2, 7)$	$(-4, 9)$

Proceeding as in the previous example on the basis of (3) with  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  interchanged, now involves adding  $\mu + \rho = (2, 1; 0)$  to the weights  $\tau$  to give  $\zeta$ . Of course the reflection planes signified by  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  and  $d(\zeta)$  are exactly as before. Carrying out the reflections, taking signatures into account and subtracting  $\rho$  leads to expressions for the tensor product multiplicities which may be solved recursively for the weight multiplicities  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  of the dominant weights  $(2, 0; k)$  and  $(0, 2; k)$  of  $V^{(2,0;0)}$  as shown below.

	$(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) = (3, 0)$	$(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) = (1, 2)$	
$k = 0$	$1 = a_0$		$a_0 = 1$
$k = 1$	$0 = a_1 - b_1$	$1 = b_1$	$a_1 = 1 \quad b_1 = 1$
$k = 2$	$1 = a_2 - b_2$	$1 = b_2 - b_0 - a_0$	$a_2 = 3 \quad b_2 = 2$
$k = 3$	$1 = a_3 - b_3$	$2 = b_3 - b_1 - a_1$	$a_3 = 5 \quad b_3 = 4$
$k = 4$	$2 = a_4 - b_4 - a_0$	$2 = b_4 - b_2 - a_2$	$a_4 = 10 \quad b_4 = 7$
$k = 5$	$2 = a_5 - b_5 - a_1$	$4 = b_5 - b_3 - a_3$	$a_5 = 16 \quad b_5 = 13$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V.G.Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras* (Boston, Mass.: Birkhauser, 1983)
- [2] A.U. Klimyk, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Series 2 Vol 76* (Providence, RI.: Amer. Math. Soc., 1968)
- [3] G. Racah, in *Group theoretical concepts and methods in elementary particle physics*, Ed. F. Gursey (New York, NY.: Gordon and Breach, 1964) pp1-36
- [4] D. Speiser, in *Group theoretical concepts and methods in elementary particle physics*, Ed. F. Gursey (New York, NY.: Gordon and Breach, 1964) pp237-246
- [5] A.J. Feingold and J. Lepowsky, *Adv. Math.* **29**, 271-309 (1978)