

Collider Constraints applied to Simplified Models of Dark Matter fitted to the Fermi-LAT gamma ray excess using Bayesian Techniques



Guy Pitman

Department of Physics
University of Adelaide

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of
MPhil

October 2016

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my wife Julia, who has enabled this undertaking by her
patience, love and support.

Declaration

I hereby declare that except where specific reference is made to the work of others, the contents of this dissertation are original and have not been submitted in whole or in part for consideration for any other degree or qualification in this, or any other university. This dissertation is my own work and contains nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration with others, except as specified in the text and Acknowledgements. This dissertation contains fewer than 65,000 words including appendices, bibliography, footnotes, tables and equations and has fewer than 150 figures.

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree. I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time

Guy Pitman
October 2016

Acknowledgements

And I would like to acknowledge the help and support of my supervisors, Professor Tony Williams, and Dr Martin White as well as Assoc Professor Csaba Balasz who has assisted with information about a previous study, Ankit Beniwal and Jinmian Li who assisted with running MicrOmegas and LUXCalc. I adapted the collider cuts programs originally developed by Sky French and Martin White for my study.

Contents

List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	3
1.2 Literature review	4
1.2.1 Simplified Models	4
1.2.2 Collider Constraints	7
2 Review of Physics	9
2.1 Standard Model	9
2.1.1 Introduction	9
2.1.2 Quantum Mechanics	9
2.1.3 Field Theory	10
2.1.4 Spin and Statistics	10
2.1.5 Feynman Diagrams	11
2.1.6 Gauge Symmetries and Quantum Electrodynamics (QED)	12
2.1.7 The Standard Electroweak Model	13
2.1.8 Higgs Mechanism	17
2.1.9 Quantum Chromodynamics	22
2.1.10 Full SM Lagrangian	23
2.2 Dark Matter	25
2.2.1 Evidence for the existence of dark matter	25
2.2.2 Searches for dark matter	30
2.2.3 Possible signals of dark matter	30
2.2.4 Gamma Ray Excess at the Centre of the Galaxy [65]	30

2.3	Background on ATLAS and CMS Experiments at the Large Hadron collider (LHC)	31
2.3.1	ATLAS Experiment	32
2.3.2	CMS Experiment	33
3	Fitting Models to the Observables	35
3.1	Simplified Models Considered	35
3.2	Observables	36
3.2.1	Dark Matter Abundance	36
3.2.2	Gamma Rays from the Galactic Center	36
3.2.3	Direct Detection - LUX	37
3.3	Calculations	39
3.3.1	Mediator Decay	39
3.3.2	Collider Cuts Analyses	42
3.3.3	Description of Collider Cuts Analyses	43
4	Calculation Tools	55
4.1	Summary	55
4.2	FeynRules	56
4.3	LUXCalc	56
4.4	Multinest	57
4.5	Madgraph	58
4.6	Collider Cuts C++ Code	59
5	Majorana Model Results	61
5.1	Bayesian Scans	61
5.2	Best fit Gamma Ray Spectrum for the Majorana Fermion DM model	64
5.3	Collider Constraints	67
5.3.1	Mediator Decay	67
5.3.2	Collider Cuts Analyses	69
6	Real Scalar Model Results	73
6.1	Bayesian Scans	73
6.2	Best fit Gamma Ray Spectrum for the Real Scalar DM model	76
6.3	Collider Constraints	77
6.3.1	Mediator Decay	77
6.3.2	Collider Cuts Analyses	78

7	Real Vector Dark Matter Results	81
7.1	Bayesian Scans	81
7.2	Best fit Gamma Ray Spectrum for the Real Vector DM model	84
7.3	Collider Constraints	84
7.3.1	Mediator Decay	84
7.3.2	Collider Cuts Analyses	86
8	Conclusion	89
	Bibliography	91
	Appendix A Validation of Calculation Tools	97
	Appendix B Branching ratio calculations for narrow width approximation	105
B.1	Code obtained from decays.py in Madgraph	105

List of Figures

2.1	Feynman Diagram of electron interacting with a muon	11
2.2	Weak Interaction Vertices [48]	15
2.3	Higgs Potential [49]	18
2.4	Standard Model Particles and Forces [50]	24
2.5	Bullet Cluster [52]	25
2.6	Galaxy Rotation Curves [54]	26
2.7	WMAP Cosmic Microwave Background Fluctuations [58]	29
2.8	Dark Matter Interactions [60]	29
2.9	Gamma Ray Excess from the Milky Way Center [75]	31
2.10	ATLAS Experiment	31
2.11	CMS Experiment	34
3.1	Main Feynman diagrams leading to the cross section for scalar decaying to a pair of τ leptons	40
3.2	Width/ m_S vs m_S	40
3.3	Width/ m_S vs λ_b	41
3.4	Width/ m_S vs λ_τ	41
3.5	Main Feynman diagrams leading to the cross section for scalar decaying to a pair of b quarks in the presence of at least one b quark	42
4.1	Calculation Tools	55
5.1	Majorana Dark Matter - Posterior probability by individual constraint and all together	62
5.2	Majorana Dark Matter - Marginalised Posterior Probabilities by Parameter	63
5.3	Gamma Ray Spectrum	64
5.4	Plots of log likelihoods by individual and combined constraints: Masses in GeV	66
5.5	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ versus Mass of Scalar	67

5.6	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$ versus Mass of Scalar	68
5.7	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \text{ where } \rightarrow bS + X)$ versus Mass of Scalar	68
5.8	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow bS + X)$ versus Mass of Scalar	69
5.9	Excluded points from Collider Cuts and $\sigma * \text{Branching Ratio}$	70
6.1	Real Scalar Dark Matter - By Individual Constraint and All Together	74
6.2	Real Scalar Matter - Marginalised Posterior Probabilities by Parameter . . .	75
6.3	Gamma Ray Spectrum	76
6.4	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ versus Mass of Scalar	77
6.5	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow bS + X)$ versus Mass of Scalar	78
6.6	Excluded points from Collider Cuts and $\sigma * \text{Branching Ratio}$	80
7.1	Real Vector Dark Matter - By Individual Constraint and All Together	82
7.2	Real Vector Dark Matter - Marginalised Posterior Probabilities by Parameter	83
7.3	Gamma Ray Spectrum	84
7.4	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ versus Mass of Scalar	85
7.5	$\sigma * Br(\sigma \rightarrow bS + X)$ versus Mass of Scalar	86
7.6	Excluded points from Collider Cuts and $\sigma * \text{Branching Ratio}$	87

List of Tables

2.1	Quantum numbers of the Higgs field.	19
2.2	Weak Quantum numbers of Lepton and Quarks	21
3.1	Simplified Models	35
3.2	95% CL by Signal Region	44
3.3	Selection criteria common to all signal regions	45
3.4	Selection criteria for signal regions A	45
3.5	Selection criteria for signal regions C	45
3.6	Signal Regions - Lepstop1	48
3.7	Signal Regions Lepstop2	49
3.8	Signal Regions 2bstop	51
3.9	Signal Region ATLASmonobjct	52
5.1	Scanned Ranges	61
5.2	Best Fit Parameters	64
6.1	Best Fit Parameters	76
7.1	Best Fit Parameters	84
A.1	0 Leptons in the final state	98
A.2	1 Lepton in the Final state	100
A.3	2 Leptons in the final state	101
A.4	2b jets in the final state	102
A.5	Signal Efficiencies, 90% CL on $\sigma_{exp}^{lim}[fb]$ on $pp > t\bar{t} + \chi\chi$	103