SPIN ASYMMETRIES IN LARGE \mathbf{p}_T production of gauge bosons based on quantum chromodynamics and electro-weak gauge model

Keisho Hidaka Department of Physics, Westfield College (University of London), London NW3 7ST, England

(Address after 1 November 1980: Lab. de Phys. Theor. et Hautes Energies, Univ. de Paris Sud, Batiment 211, F-91405 Orsay, France)



Abstract

We make definite predictions for spin asymmetries in the production of gauge bosons, such as 2^{0} , W^{\pm} and real γ , at large p_{T} ($\gtrsim 2$ GeV/c) from longitudinally polarized hadron beam and target using QCD perturbation theory and Weinberg-Salam model in the framework of the hard scattering model. Asymmetries typically at the 10-50% and 2-5% level are predicted for the weak bosons and real photon, respectively. Experimental tests should be possible in the near future, eg at Fermilab, ISABELLE and colliding SPS.

In large \boldsymbol{p}_{T} hadronic production the hard scattering model and quantum chromodynamics have thus far been mainly applied only to the spin-averaged cross sections. Here I want to discuss spin dependence of the cross sections of hadro-production of hadrons and gauge bosons, such as meson, jet, real photon, virtual photon (ie massive lepton pair) and weak bosons. These spin dependences can be definitely predicted in the framework of QCD and the electro-weak gauge model, such as Glashow-Weinberg-Salam model. As explained by Yokosawa in this conference, this type of experiment using polarized hadron beams is now under construction at Fermilab. In the near future they will also be able to be measured at ${\rm ISABELLE}^{1)}$ and colliding SPS. These experiments give us a new kind of test of QCD and the electroweak gauge models with regard to their spin structures. We can make definite predictions in principle for spin asymmetries of \underline{any} large \mathbf{p}_{T} process in terms of the gauge models. Here I show how to calculate spinspin asymmetry in neutral weak boson (Z⁰) production at large \boldsymbol{p}_{T} as an example.

In lowest order QCD perturbation theory² the large transverse momentum (p_T) of Z⁰ is basically generated by the hard parton process of quark (q)antiquark (\bar{q}) annihilation into a Z⁰-gluon (V) pair (Fig lb) or by the Compton scattering of quarks and gluons (Fig lc), whereas the Drell-Yan mechanism of Fig la produces only Z⁰ with $p_T = 0$ (neglecting the "internal" transverse momentum k_T of partons inside initial hadrons).



The basic processes of Fig lb and c have remarkable spin dependences. We obtain differential cross sections for Z^0 production from massless partons with definite helicities in terms of the gauge models as follows:

For $q(h_1) + \bar{q}(h_2) \rightarrow Z^0 + V$

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q}(h_{1})\bar{q}(h_{2})}{d\hat{t}} = (1-h_{1}h_{2}) \frac{2}{9} \frac{\alpha_{s}(a-h_{1}b)^{2}}{\hat{s}^{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{t}} + \frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{u}} + \frac{2M_{z}^{2}\hat{s}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\right)$$
(1)

For $q(h) + V(\lambda) \rightarrow Z^0 + q$,

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q}(h)V(\lambda)}{d\hat{t}} = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{\alpha_{s}(a-hb)^{2}}{\hat{s}^{2}} \left\{ (1+\lambda h) \left\{ \frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{t}} + \frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}} + \frac{2M_{z}^{2}\hat{u}}{\hat{t}\hat{s}} \right\} - 2\lambda h \frac{(M_{z}^{2}-\hat{t})^{2}}{\hat{t}\hat{s}} \right\}$$
(2)

Here h_1, h_2 , $h(=\pm 1)$ and $\lambda(=\pm 1)$ refer to parton helicities, $M_z(\stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\sim} 90 \text{ GeV})$ is the mass of Z⁰, b and a are the electro-weak vector and axial vector charges of quarks, and α_s is the running QCD coupling. In the Glashow-Weinberg-Salam model one has

$$a_{u} = -a_{d} = b_{u} \cdot (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2}\theta_{w})^{-1} = b_{d} \cdot (-1 + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}\theta_{w})$$
$$= \frac{e}{2\sin^{2}\theta_{w}}$$
(3)

where u and d refer to up and down quark, e is the proton charge and θ_w is the Weinberg angle $(\sin^2\theta_w \simeq 0.23)$. Combining these spin dependences with the experimental fact that the partons in a polarized proton can "remember" the proton's spin³), we can calculate a spin-spin asymmetry in $p_{\uparrow}+p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow 2^0+X$:

$$A_{LL} = \frac{\sigma_{++} - \sigma_{+-}}{\sigma_{++} + \sigma_{+-}} .$$
 (4)

Here one has in the hard scattering model

. 3

$$\sigma_{H_{1}H_{2}} \equiv E \frac{d^{3}\sigma_{H_{1}H_{2}}}{d^{3}p} = \sum_{p_{1}(\lambda_{1})p_{2}(\lambda_{2})} \int dx_{1} D_{p_{1}} (x_{1})p_{2} \lambda_{2}H_{2}(x_{2}) \cdot \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{p_{1}(\lambda_{1})}p_{2}(\lambda_{2})}{d\hat{t}}$$
(5)

with

$$D = \frac{s}{\pi} \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1 s + u - M_z^2} \text{ and } x_2 = \frac{-x_1 t - (1 - x_1) M_z^2}{x_1 s + u - M_z^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $p_{\lambda H}(x)$ represents the density of type p parton with helicity λ in the incident proton with helicity H.⁴) The hadronic invariants s, t and u are analogous to the partonic variables \hat{s} , \hat{t} and \hat{u} of Fig 1;

$$\hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s$$
, $\hat{t} = x_1 t + (1 - x_1) M_z^2$ and $\hat{u} = x_2 u + (1 - x_2) M_z^2$. (7)

The function $\Delta_P(\mathbf{x}) \equiv p_{++}(\mathbf{x}) - p_{-+}(\mathbf{x})$ represents the probability that the parton p "remembers" the spin of the parent proton. (Note that the usual unpolarized distribution is given by $p(\mathbf{x}) = p_{++}(\mathbf{x}) + p_{-+}(\mathbf{x})$.) For quarks the spin distribution $\Delta_P(\mathbf{x})$ can be determined directly by measurement of the deep inelastic scattering cross section of polarized leptons and hadrons at SLAC.^{3,5)} For antiquarks and gluons the spin distributions cannot be determined by the SLAC measurement⁶⁾. We can, however, estimate the distributions for these partons using the QCD Bremsstrahlung model^{5,7)} along the same lines as the QCD application to scaling violations⁸⁾.

We can roughly estimate the typical size of the asymmetry A_{11} thus:

$$A_{LL} \sim \frac{\Delta p_1}{p_1} \cdot \frac{\Delta p_2}{p_2} \cdot \hat{A}_{LL}^{p_1 p_2} \text{ from eqs. (4) and (5).}$$
Here parton scattering asymmetry $\hat{A}_{LL}^{p_1 p_2} \equiv \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{++} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{+-}}{\hat{\sigma}_{++} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{+-}} \quad (\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \equiv \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{p_1}(\lambda_1) p_2(\lambda_2)}{d\hat{t}}) \text{ is typically at the 100% level (see eqs. (1) and (2)).}$
The SLAC data³⁾ suggest quark polarization $\Delta q(x)/q(x) \sim + \frac{1}{2}$ at an intermediate value of x. The QCD model^{5,7)} predicts $\Delta \bar{q}(x)/\bar{q}(x) = \Delta V(x)/V(x) \sim + \frac{1}{5}$. Hence $|A_{LL}| \sim \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot 100\% \sim 10\%$ typically.

Predictions for Z^0 production in proton-proton scattering with the model distributions of Ref. 5 are shown in Fig 2.⁹⁾ The distributions are defined as follows.

"Conservative model": $\bar{u} = \bar{d} = (0.39/x)(1-x)^{10}(1+(1-x)^2)$, $u = u_v + \bar{u}$, $d = d_v + \bar{d}$, $V = (1.97/x)(1-x)^6(1+(1-x)^2)$, $\Delta \bar{u} = \Delta \bar{d} = 0.13(2-x)(1-x)^{10}$, $\Delta u_v = 0.44u_v$, $\Delta d_v = -0.35d_v$, $\Delta u = \Delta u_v + \Delta \bar{u}$, $\Delta d = \Delta d_v + \Delta \bar{d}$ and $\Delta V = 0.66(2-x)(1-x)^6$.

"Carlitz-Kaur (plus gluon) model" : $\bar{u} = \bar{d} = (0.6/x)(1-x)^{10}$, $u = u_v + \bar{u}$, $d = d_v + \bar{d}$, $V = (1.97/x)(1-x)^6(1+(1-x)^2)$, $\Delta \bar{u} = \Delta \bar{d} = 0$, $\Delta u = \cos(2\theta)(u_v - \frac{2}{3}d_v)$, $\Delta d = -\frac{1}{3}\cos(2\theta)d_v$ and $\Delta V = 0.43(2-x)(1-x)^6$ with a dilution factor $\cos(2\theta) = (1 + 0.052x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-x)^2)^{-1}$. Here $u_v = u_{FF} - \bar{u}_{FF}$

and $d_v = d_{FF} - \tilde{d}_{FF}$ where v and FF refer to valence and Field-Feynman¹⁰. Both model distributions for quarks reproduce well the measured spin

asymmetry in polarized lepton-hadron deep inelastic scattering^{3,5)}.

Here we note that at sufficiently high energy (ie s >> M_z^2) A_{LL} scales with x_F and x_T in our model with parton distributions which themselves scale.

In the same way we can make definite predictions of the spin-spin asymmetry A_{LL} for the large p_T production of charged weak bosons (W^{\pm}), real photons (γ), virtual photons ($\mu^-\mu^+$ pair)¹¹), mesons (π etc) and jets^{5,12}) in polarized proton-proton scattering. Typical sizes of $|A_{LL}|$ for these processes are summarized in Table 1. I am planning to



Fig 2: The gauge model predictions for the asymmetry A_{LL} plotted against $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 800$ GeV. The numbers on curves refer to values of $x_F = 2p_\mu/\sqrt{s}$. Solid curves: "Conservative model". Dashed curves: "Carlitz-Kaur model".

publish elsewhere¹³⁾ the full results of this article (including predictions for parity violating spin asymmetries in weak boson production).

Table	1
-------	---

	Z ⁰	w ⁺	w ⁻	γ	γ*(μ ⁻ μ ⁺)	π	jet
A _{LL} (%)	10-20	20-60	10-30	2-5	5-10	5-30	5 - 30

Asymmetries of the size predicted here can be measured¹⁴ in the polarized beam experiments at Fermilab¹⁵ (now under construction), ISABELLE¹ and colliding SPS (possible). These experiments provide rather direct information on the spin dependence of the basic parton hard scattering processes and can be used to test various models¹⁶. As for the gauge boson production at large x_T the quark-gluon Compton diagram of Fig lc is dominant in the framework of the hard scattering model, QCD and the electro-weak gauge model. The measurement of spin asymmetries in this process at large $x_T \gtrsim 0.2$ is therefore a direct way to confirm the role of

the gluons in the gauge boson production and to learn about their spin distributions. What we have shown in this note is that the approach based on the electro-weak gauge model and QCD which explains well the observed large p_T hadro-production of hadrons, real photon and virtual photon (ie lepton pair), leads to sizeable spin asymmetries in the large p_T production of the gauge bosons $(\gamma,\gamma^*(\mu^-\mu^+),W^{\pm}$ and $Z^0)$ as well as in that of hadrons^{5,12}). These will provide a new type of stringent test for the QCD picture and the electro-weak gauge models (such as Glashow-Weinberg-Salam model) with regard to their spin structure.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Dr D Sivers for introducing me into this field of research. He also appreciates valuable comments and encouragement from Professor E Leader. He is grateful to the United Kingdom Science Research Council for financial support.

References

- F. Paige, T. L. Trueman and T. Tudron, Phys. Rev. <u>D19</u>, 935 (1979);
 F. Paige, BNL preprint BNL-27066
- See, for example, F. Halzen and D. Scott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 1117 (1978); Phys Rev. D18, 3378 (1978) and Phys. Lett. 78B, 318 (1978)
- M. J. Algard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 1258 and 1261 (1976), and ib. <u>41</u>, 70 (1976)
- 4. Here we note that we are suppressing the scaling violations and k_{T} smearing in the parton distributions since we can expect that
 including them would not change our results significantly (see Ref. 5).
 Both of the cross sections involved in the ratio A_{LL} are modified by
 these effects in approximately the same way and the effects just
 cancel out between numerator and denominator of A_{LL} .
- J. Babcock, E. Monsay and D. Sivers, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>40</u>, 1161 (1978) and Phys. Rev. <u>D19</u>, 1483 (1979);
 K. Hidaka, E. Monsay and D. Sivers, Phys. Rev. D19, 1503 (1979)
- 6. For (i) antiquarks and (ii) gluons they can, in principle, be determined directly by measurements of (i) cross section (integrated over p_T) for massive lepton pair production from polarized hadron-hadron collision⁷) and (ii) cross section of polarized electro-production of heavy quarkonium such as J/ψ and Υ (Fritzsch mechanism), respectively.
- 7. F. Close and D. Sivers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1116 (1977)

274

- 8. G. Altarelli and G. Parisi; Nucl. Phys. B126, 298 (1977)
- 9. We have found that the asymmetry $A_{\rm LL}$ is quite insensitive to the choice of the power behaviour near x = 1 of antiquark and gluon distributions.
- 10. R. Field and R. Feynman, Phys. Rev. D15, 2590 (1977)
- 11. K. Hidaka, to be published in Phys. Rev. D; March (1980)
- J. Ranft and G. Ranft, Phys. Lett. <u>77B</u>, 309 (1978);
 H. Cheng and E. Fishbach, Phys. Rev. D19, 860 (1979)
- 13. K. Hidaka, in preparation
- 14. The best way to detect Z^0 is perhaps to observe lepton pair from the decay $Z^0 \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+$. (See Ref. 1 for W^{\pm})
- 15. A.Yokosawa, talk given at this Conference
- 16. For example, the measurement of parity violating spin asymmetries in weak boson production provides information on the relative sign of vector and axial vector couplings of quarks to weak bosons.