Published by IOP Publishing for SISSA

Received: *March 5, 2009*
Accepted: *March 9, 2009*
Published: *March 30, 2009*

JHEP03(2009)142

Type III seesaw and left-right symmetry

Pavel Fileviez Pérez

Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison,
1150 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706, U.S.A.

E-mail: fileviez@physics.wisc.edu

Abstract: The implementation of the Type III seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses in the context of left-right theories where parity is broken spontaneously is investigated. We propose a simple left-right symmetric theory where the neutrinos masses are generated through a double seesaw mechanism which is a combination of Type I and Type III seesaw. In this context we find a possible candidate for the cold dark matter in the Universe and discuss the Baryogenesis via Leptogenesis mechanisms. The spectrum of the theory, the phenomenological constraints and the possibility to test the theory at the Large Hadron Collider are investigated.

Keywords: Neutrino Physics, Beyond Standard Model

ArXiv ePrint: 0809.1202

© SISSA 2009

doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/142

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Type III seesaw in left-right theories **2**
- 2.1 Dirac neutrinos 3
- 2.2 Majorana neutrinos: Type I plus Type II 4
- 2.3 The case of Type III seesaw 4

3 Cold dark matter and leptogenesis **6**

4 Spectrum of the theory and possible signals at the LHC **7**

5 Summary and outlook **8**

JHEP03(2009)142

1 Introduction

In the LHC Era we hope to test the theory beyond the Standard Model (SM) which describes physics at the TeV scale. The existence of massive neutrinos is a strong motivation for physics beyond the SM. There are only three simple mechanisms to generate Majorana neutrino masses at tree level. In the case of Type I seesaw mechanism [1, 2], one can add at least two fermionic singlets N_i (right-handed neutrinos) and the neutrino masses read as $m_\nu^I \simeq h_\nu^2 v^2/M_N$, where h_ν is the Dirac Yukawa coupling, $v = 246$ GeV is the SM Higgs vacuum expectation value (vev) and M_N is the right-handed neutrino mass. If $h_\nu \simeq 1$ and $M_N \approx 10^{14-15}$ GeV, one obtains the natural value for the neutrino masses $m_\nu \approx 1$ eV.

In the so-called Type II seesaw mechanism [3] for neutrino masses the Higgs sector of the SM is extended by adding an $SU(2)_L$ Higgs triplet Δ. In this scenario the neutrino masses are given by $m_\nu^{II} \simeq Y_\nu v_\Delta$, where v_Δ is the vev of the neutral component of the triplet and Y_ν is the Yukawa coupling. $v_\Delta \simeq \mu v^2/M_\Delta^2$, where M_Δ is the mass of the triplet and μ defines the mixing between the SM Higgs and the triplet. A natural setting would be $Y_\nu \approx 1$ and $\mu \sim M_\Delta \approx 10^{14-15}$ GeV.

Recently, several groups have investigated the implementation of the Type III seesaw mechanism [4–7] in the context of grand unified theories. In this case adding at least two extra matter fields in the adjoint representation of $SU(2)_L$ and with zero hypercharge, one can generate neutrino masses, $m_\nu^{III} \simeq \Gamma_\nu^2 v^2/M_\rho$. Here M_ρ stands for the mass of the fermionic triplets and Γ_ν is the Dirac Yukawa coupling. The implementation of this mechanism [5–7] has been studied in the context of SU(5) grand unified theories, where once we realize Type III seesaw, one gets Type I as a bonus since both fields responsible for seesaw live in the adjoint representation of SU(5).

Parity is considered as a fundamental symmetry and is explicitly broken in the SM by the asymmetry between the left and right handed multiplets. Therefore, as is well known the SM does not explain the $V-A$ character of the β and μ decays. One could say that the existence of massive neutrinos and the unknown origin of parity violation in the SM are probably one of the main physical motivations for physics beyond the SM. In the context of the so-called left-right symmetric theories [8, 9] one has the appealing possibility to understand the origin of parity violation and its strong connection to the generation of neutrino masses [2]. In these theories the observed V-A structure of weak interactions is only a low-energy phenomenon which should disappear when one reaches the TeV scale or higher. Left-right symmetric theories where the neutrino masses are generated through the Type I and Type II seesaw mechanisms have been investigated in great detail [10–15].

In this Letter we study for the first time the implementation of the Type III seesaw mechanism in the context of left-right theories. We propose a simple renormalizable left-right symmetric theory where the neutrino masses are generated through a double seesaw mechanism which is a combination of the Type I and Type III seesaw mechanisms. We find a cold dark matter candidate which is like the wino in the minimal supersymmetric SM and discuss the leptogenesis mechanism. We investigate the spectrum of the theory and the possible signals at the LHC. We refer to this theory as "Type III-LR".

This work is organized as follows: In section II we discuss the main features of left-right theories and the different mechanisms to generate neutrino masses. We show for the first time the implementation of Type III seesaw. In section III we discuss the possible dark matter candidates and the Baryogenesis via Leptogenesis mechanisms, while in section IV we investigate the spectrum of the theory and the main signals at future colliders. In section V we summarize our main results.

2 Type III seesaw in left-right theories

The so-called left-right symmetric models are one of the most appealing extensions of the SM where one can understand the origin of parity violation in a simple way and we can generate neutrino masses. The simplest theories are based on the gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(3)_C \bigotimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \bigotimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \bigotimes \mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$. Here B and L stand for baryon and lepton number, respectively. The matter multiples for quarks and leptons are given by

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} \sim (2,1,1/3), \qquad Q_R = \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ d_R \end{pmatrix} \sim (1,2,1/3), \tag{2.1}$$

$$l_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \sim (2,1,-1), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$l_R = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ e_R \end{pmatrix} \sim (1,2,-1). \tag{2.3}$$

Predicting the existence of right-handed neutrinos. Here we omit the properties of the multiplets under $\mathrm{SU}(3)_C$. Therefore, one expects that neutrino masses are generated at least

JHEP03(2009)142

through the Type I [1, 2] seesaw mechanism. Under the left-right parity transformation one has the following relations

$$Q_L \longleftrightarrow Q_R \qquad \text{and} \qquad l_L \longleftrightarrow l_R. \tag{2.4}$$

The relevant Yukawa interactions for quarks in this context are given by

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y^{quarks} = \bar{Q}_L \left(Y_1 \Phi \ + \ Y_2 \tilde{\Phi} \right) Q_R \ + \ \text{h.c.}, \tag{2.5}$$

where the bidoublet Higgs is given by

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \ \sim \ (2,2,0), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{\Phi} = \sigma_2 \Phi^* \sigma_2. \tag{2.6}$$

Once the bidoublet gets the vev the quark mass matrices read as

$$M_U \ = Y_1 v_1 \ + \ Y_2 v_2^*, \ \text{and} \ M_D = Y_1 v_2 \ + \ Y_2 v_1^*, \tag{2.7}$$

with $v_1 = \langle \phi_1^0 \rangle$, and $v_2 = \langle \phi_2^0 \rangle$. In the case of the bidoublet one has the following transformation under the left-right parity

$$\Phi \longleftrightarrow \Phi^\dagger. \tag{2.8}$$

and $Y_1 = Y_1^\dagger$ and $Y_2 = Y_2^\dagger$. These aspects of the model have been studied in detail [11, 12]. Now, as it has been noticed by Mohapatra and Senjanović, in this context one can have a deep relation between the origin of neutrino masses and parity violation [2]. In the most popular renormalizable left-right models, the neutrino masses are generated through the Type I [1, 2] and Type II [3] seesaw mechanisms once the Higgs triplets, $\Delta_L \sim (3,1,2)$ and $\Delta_R \sim (1,3,2)$ are introduced. Predicting the existence of doubly charged Higgses which could be discovered at the LHC [16]. Before study the implementation of Type III seesaw in this context we discuss briefly the different well known possibilities to generate neutrino masses.

2.1 Dirac neutrinos

In this context the charged lepton masses are generated through the interactions

$$-\mathcal{L}_l = \bar{l}_L \left(Y_3 \ \Phi \ + \ Y_4 \tilde{\Phi} \right) l_R \ + \ \text{h.c.}, \tag{2.9}$$

and the relevant mass matrix is given by

$$M_e = Y_3 \ v_2 \ + \ Y_4 v_1^*. \tag{2.10}$$

At the same time one gets a Dirac mass matrix for the neutrinos

$$M_\nu^D = Y_3 \ v_1 \ + \ Y_4 v_2^*. \tag{2.11}$$

In the limit $v_2 \ll v_1$ and $Y_3 \ll Y_4$,

$$M_e \approx Y_4 \ v_1^*, \qquad \text{and} \qquad M_\nu^D = v_1 \left(Y_3 \ + \ M_e \ \frac{v_2^*}{|v_1|^2} \right). \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, assuming that Y_3 is very small one can have Dirac-neutrinos. However, in this case one has the same situation as in the SM plus right-handed neutrinos where we can assume a small Dirac Yukawa coupling for neutrinos. As is well-known in this scenario one has to introduce extra Higgses in order to break parity and the left-right symmetry [11, 12].

JHEP03(2009)142

2.2 Majorana neutrinos: Type I plus Type II

In the so-called minimal left-right theories it is assumed that the neutrino masses are generated through the Type I and Type II seesaw mechanisms introducing a pair of Higgs triplets, $\Delta_L \sim (3,1,2)$ and $\Delta_R \sim (1,3,2)$ [2]. In this case the relevant interactions are given by

$$-\mathcal{L}_\nu = \mathcal{L}_l \; + \; h\left(l_L^T \; C \; i\sigma_2 \Delta_L \; l_L \; + \; l_R^T \; C \; i\sigma_2 \Delta_R \; l_R\right) \; + \; \text{h.c.}, \tag{2.13}$$

$h = h^T$, and

$$\Delta_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta^+_{L,R} & \delta^{++}_{L,R} \\ \delta^0_{L,R} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta^+_{L,R} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.14}$$

Under the left-right parity transformation one has the following relation

$$\Delta_L \longleftrightarrow \Delta_R. \tag{2.15}$$

In this case the mass matrix for neutrinos is given by

$$M_\nu^{I-II} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}hk_L & (M_\nu^D)^* \\ (M_\nu^D)^\dagger & -\sqrt{2}h^*k_R^* \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.16}$$

where $\langle \delta^0_{L,R} \rangle = k_{L,R}/\sqrt{2}$ and in the limit when $M_\nu^D \ll hk_R$ one gets

$$M_{\nu_L} = \sqrt{2}hk_L \; - \; (M_\nu^D)^\dagger \; M_{\nu_R}^{-1} \; (M_\nu^D)^*, \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$M_{\nu_R} = \sqrt{2} \; h^*k_R^* \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore, one can understand the smallness of the neutrino masses as a consequence of large left-right scale k_R (or M_{W_R}) since $k_L = \gamma/k_R$ [2]. Even if this possibility is very appealing we do not know which is the mechanism responsible for neutrino masses and one should explore all possibilities, or at least the simplest scenarios at tree level. This is the main goal of this article.

2.3 The case of Type III seesaw

The realization of the Type III seesaw mechanism has not been studied in the context of left-right symmetric theories. In order to realize this mechanism one has to introduce fermionic triplets (one for each family):

$$\rho_L = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \rho_L^0 & \sqrt{2}\rho_L^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\rho_L^- & -\rho_L^0 \end{pmatrix} \; \sim \; (3,1,0), \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\rho_R = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \rho_R^0 & \sqrt{2}\rho_R^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\rho_R^- & -\rho_R^0 \end{pmatrix} \; \sim \; (1,3,0), \tag{2.20}$$

and Higgses in the fundamental representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$, respectively.

$$H_L = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_L^+ \\ \frac{\phi_L^0 \; + \; i \; A_L^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \; \sim \; (2,1,1), \tag{2.21}$$

JHEP03(2009)142

and

$$H_R = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_R^+ \\ \frac{\phi_R^0 \, + \, i \, G_R^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \sim (1,2,1). \tag{2.22}$$

In this case the relevant interactions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_\nu^{III} = \mathcal{L}_l \, + \, Y_5 \left(l_L^T \, C \, i\sigma_2 \, \rho_L \, H_L \, + \, l_R^T \, C \, i\sigma_2 \, \rho_R \, H_R \right) \\ + \, M_\rho \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(\rho_L^T \, C \, \rho_L \, + \, \rho_R^T \, C \rho_R \right) \, + \, \mathrm{h.c.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Notice that in this case under left-right parity transformation one has the following relations

$$\rho_L \longleftrightarrow \rho_R \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_L \longleftrightarrow H_R. \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore, once the Higgses H_L and H_R get the vevs, v_L and v_R, parity is broken spontaneously. In the case when $v_L = 0$ and $v_R \neq 0$, and integrating out the neutral components of the fermionic triplets one finds that the mass matrix for neutrinos in the basis $\left((\nu^C)_R, \; \nu_R, \; \rho_R^0 \right)$ reads as

$$M_\nu^{III} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_\nu^D & 0 \\ (M_\nu^D)^T & 0 & -\frac{Y_5 v_R}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & -\frac{Y_5^T v_R}{2\sqrt{2}} & M_\rho \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.25}$$

and the fermionic triplets, ρ_L, do not mix having a mass matrix equal to M_ρ. As one expects the neutrino masses are generated through the Type I and Type III seesaw mechanisms and one has a "double-seesaw" mechanism since the mass of the right-handed neutrinos are generated through the Type III seesaw once we integrate out ρ_R^0.

Assuming that $M_\rho \gg Y_5 v_R / 2\sqrt{2} \gg M_\nu^D$ one gets

$$M_{(\nu^C)_R} = M_\nu^D \; M_{\nu_R}^{-1} \; \left(M_\nu^D \right)^T \tag{2.26}$$

with

$$M_{\nu_R} = \frac{v_R^2}{8} \; Y_5 \; (M_\rho)^{-1} \; Y_5^T. \tag{2.27}$$

Notice the double-seesaw mechanism, where the mass of the right-handed neutrinos are generated once the fermionic triplet is integrated out (Type III seesaw), and later the light neutrinos get the mass through the usual Type I mechanism. Here we stick to the case $v_L = 0$ since it has been shown in [11] that this solution corresponds to the minimum of the scalar potential. Also as we will show in the next section when $\rho_L \to -\rho_L$, which forbids the mixing between ρ_L and l_L, is a symmetry of the theory the neutral component of the fermionic triplets can be a cold dark matter candidate. See refs. [17] for early references of the double seesaw mechanism.

As we have seen in this case since one has a double seesaw mechanism we can have an interesting scenario for the LHC where the fermionic triplets are at the TeV scale, $M_\rho \approx 1$ TeV, and the right-handed neutrinos at the scale, $M_{\nu_R} \approx 10$ GeV. Therefore, as it is well known in this case one gets small neutrino masses, $m_\nu \approx 1$ eV, if the Yukawa couplings are very small. See references [18, 19] for the production of fermionic triplets at the LHC. In

JHEP03(2009)142

the case when we assume that the left-righ symmetry scale is very large, $v_R \sim 10^{14-15}$ GeV, one can have a scenario where $Y_5 < 1$, $M_\rho \gg v_R$, $M_\nu^D \sim M_W$ and one gets $m_\nu \sim 1$ eV. In the next section we will discuss the first scenario in order to understand the possibility to test this theory at future collider experiments.

3 Cold dark matter and leptogenesis

In this theory a possible candidate for the cold dark matter in the Universe is the neutral components of H_L once we impose the symmetry $H_L \to -H_L$. This situation is similar to the case of Inert Higgs Doublet Models [20]. At tree level the charged component, ϕ_L^+, and the neutral components, ϕ_L^0 and A_L^0 in H_L have the same mass. However, as it has been pointed out in ref. [21] once the radiative corrections are considered the charged component is heavier and the neutral components could be a good cold dark matter candidate. Unfortunately, in this case since the real and imaginary components have the same mass one cannot satisfy the constraints coming from direct detection. Then, we do not stick to this possibility. It is important to emphasize that the neutral component of H_R cannot be a CDM candidate since one has to break parity and the left-right symmetry, and in the case of the neutral components of the bidoublet one knows that both vevs should be different from zero since one has to generate fermion masses in agreement with the experiment.

In the fermionic sector one can find a natural cold dark matter once we impose the symmetry $\rho_L \to -\rho_L$. In this case the cold dark matter candidate is the neutral component of the lightest fermionic triplet ρ_L. Since in this theory one has to introduce three fermionic triplets, one for each generation, there are three fields which have the same quantum numbers as the winos in the minimal supersymmetric SM. At tree level the charged and neutral components of the lightest triplet have the same mass. However, once the radiative corrections are included one has the splitting $\Delta M \approx 166$ MeV and the charged component decays into the dark matter and a pion before nucleo-syntesis. A cold dark matter candidate with the same quantum numbers has been studied in [21] where the authors pointed out that in order to explain the CDM relic density the mass has to be $M_{\rho_L} \approx 2.5$ TeV. Since in our case one has three fermionic triplets the cold dark matter candidate has to be the lightest neutral component, ρ_L^0.

In this theory one could have in principle different leptogenesis mechanisms. In the case of the natural double seesaw mechanism discussed in the previous section where one has $M_\rho \gg Y_5 v_R/2\sqrt{2} \gg M_\nu^D$, the right-handed neutrinos are lighter than the fermionic triplets. Therefore, one has the so-called Type I Leptogenesis [22] with extra vertex corrections where we have the fermionic triplets inside the loops. In ref. [23] it has been studied the leptogenesis mechanism when the neutrino masses are generated through the Type I and Type III seesaw mechanisms and it has been shown that the fermionic triplets cannot contribute to the self-energy corrections. These issues will be studied in detail in a future publication.

JHEP03(2009)142

4 Spectrum of the theory and possible signals at the LHC

In the previous section we have discussed the main properties of the theory. The properties of a left-right theory where the Higgs sector is composed of Φ, H_L and H_R have been studied in detail by Senjanović [11] in a seminal paper. In this context the mass matrix for the gauge bosons $W_L^{\pm}$, and $W_R^{\pm}$ when $v_L = 0$ is given by [11]:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pm}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g^2}{4}(v_1^2 + v_2^2) & -\frac{g^2}{2}v_1 v_2 \\ -\frac{g^2}{2}v_1 v_2 & \frac{g^2}{4}(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_R^2) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $g_L = g_R = g$ and the mass matrix for the neutral gauge bosons, Z, $Z^{'}$ and A reads as

$$\mathcal{M}_0^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g^2}{4}(v_1^2 + v_2^2) & -\frac{g^2}{2}(v_1^2 + v_2^2) & 0 \\ -\frac{g^2}{2}(v_1^2 + v_2^2) & \frac{g^2}{4}(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_R^2) & -\frac{1}{4}g\tilde{g}v_R^2 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}g\tilde{g}v_R^2 & \frac{1}{4}\tilde{g}^2 v_R^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

with $\tilde{g}$ being the gauge coupling for the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry. In the case of the Higgs bosons when $v_L = 0$ only the Higgses in Φ and H_R mix once the symmetry is broken. Notice that in these two fields one has 12 degrees of freedom, six Goldstone bosons and six physical Higgs bosons in the theory [11]. Therefore, in total one has ten physical Higgses, four charged Higgses $H_1^{\pm}$ and $H_2^{\pm}$, four CP-even neutral Higgses H_1^0, H_2^0, H_3^0 and H_4^0, and two CP-odd states A_1^0 and A_2^0.

Let us discuss the possible signals at the LHC which could help us to identify this theory. As usual in this context one predicts the existence of extra gauge bosons, $W_R^{\pm}$ and $Z^{'}$. As is well-known the discovery of these states is crucial to test any left-right symmetric theory. In the case of W_R one has the mechanism at the LHC, $pp \to W_R^* \to e_R \nu_R$, where ν_R decays into $e_R jj$ [24]. Then, one has two leptons and two jets with high p_T. Defining the invariant mass $M_{inv}(ejj)$ and $M_{inv}(eejj)$ for ν_R and W_R, respectively, one should be able to make the reconstruction and identify these fields. In the case of the $Z^{'}$ [25] one has the production mechanism, $pp \to (Z^{'})^* \to e^+e^-$ and one looks for excess of dilepton events.

Once we implement the Type III seesaw mechanism in this context one finds that parity conservation at the left-right scale tells us that the masses of the fermionic triplets ρ_L and ρ_R should be the same. This could be a way to test the model at the LHC if one finds these states and determines their masses. At the same time since the neutrino masses are generated through the Type I and Type III seesaw mechanisms one needs to discover the right-handed neutrinos [19, 26] and the fermionic triplets to test the theory. Now, in the case of the fermionic triplets ρ_L one has the following production mechanisms, $pp \to Z^*, \gamma^*, (Z^{'})^* \to \rho_L^+ \rho_L^-$ and $pp \to W_L^* \to \rho_L^{\pm}\rho_L^0$. Now, if we stick to the possibility that the neutral component is responsible for the CDM, the charged component will have a decay length of few centimeters and decay into the neutral component and a pion. The fermionic triplets ρ_R can be produced via $pp \to \gamma^*, (Z^{'})^* \to \rho_R^+\rho_R^-$ or $pp \to W_R^* \to \rho_R^{\pm}\rho_R^0$. In this case ρ_R^0 could decay into a lepton and two jets, while ρ_R^+ decays into three leptons. All these issues, and the constraints on the W_R mass and the Higgs masses coming from low-energy processes will be studied in a future publication.

JHEP03(2009)142

5 Summary and outlook

The implementation of the Type III seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses in the context of left-right symmetric theories where parity is broken spontaneously has been investigated. We have presented a simple left-right symmetric theory where the neutrinos masses are generated through a "double seesaw" mechanism which is a combination of the Type I and Type III seesaw mechanisms. We have found that the lightest neutral component of the fermionic triplets ρ_L can be a candidate for the cold dark matter in the Universe. In this context one could have Type I leptogenesis with extra vertex contributions due to the existence of the fermionic triplets inside the loops. We have discussed the spectrum of the theory, and the possibilities to realize the test at the LHC. The phenomenological and cosmological aspects of this proposal are very rich and deserve to be investigated.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank I. Dorsner, M. Drees and G. Senjanović for the careful reading of the manuscript and discussions, and T. Han for discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy contract No. DE-FG02-08ER41531 and in part by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

References

[1] P. Minkowski, $\mu \to e\gamma$ *at a rate of one out of 1-billion muon decays?*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 67** (1977) 421 [SPIRES];
T. Yanagida, *Unified theory and the Baryon number in the universe*, in Proceedings of *Workshop*, O. Sawada et al. eds., KEK Report 79-18, Tsukuba (1979), pg. 95;
M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, *Supergravity*, P. van Nieuwenhuizen et al. eds., North-Holland (1979), pg. 315;
S.L. Glashow, *The future of elementary particle physics*, in *Quarks and leptons Cargèse*, eds., M. Lévy et al., Plenum (1980), pg. 707.

[2] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino mass and spontaneous parity nonconservation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 912 [SPIRES].

[3] W. Konetschny and W. Kummer, *Nonconservation of total lepton number with scalar bosons*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 70** (1977) 433 [SPIRES];
T.P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, *Neutrino masses, mixings and oscillations in* $SU(2) \times U(1)$ *models of electroweak interactions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 22** (1980) 2860 [SPIRES];
G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, *Proton lifetime and fermion masses in an* SO(10) *model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 181** (1981) 287 [SPIRES];
J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrino masses in* $SU(2) \bigotimes U(1)$ *theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 22** (1980) 2227 [SPIRES];
R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino masses and mixings in Gauge models with spontaneous parity violation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 23** (1981) 165 [SPIRES].

[4] R. Foot, H. Lew, X.G. He and G.C. Joshi, *Seesaw neutrino masses induced by a triplet of leptons*, *Z. Phys.* **C 44** (1989) 441 [SPIRES].

JHEP03(2009)142

[5] E. Ma, *Pathways to naturally small neutrino masses*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 1171 [hep-ph/9805219] [SPIRES].

[6] B. Bajc and G. Senjanović, *Seesaw at LHC*, *JHEP* **08** (2007) 014 [hep-ph/0612029] [SPIRES].

[7] P. Fileviez Perez, *Renormalizable adjoint* SU(5), *Phys. Lett.* **B 654** (2007) 189 [hep-ph/0702287] [SPIRES]; *Supersymmetric adjoint* SU(5), *Phys. Rev.* **D 76** (2007) 071701 [arXiv:0705.3589] [SPIRES].

[8] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, *Lepton number as the fourth color*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 10** (1974) 275 [Erratum *ibid.* **D 11** (1975) 703] [SPIRES].

[9] R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, *A natural left-right symmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 11** (1975) 2558 [SPIRES].

[10] Y. Zhang, H. An, X. Ji and R.N. Mohapatra, *General CP-violation in minimal left-right symmetric model and constraints on the right-handed scale*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 802** (2008) 247 [arXiv:0712.4218] [SPIRES].

[11] G. Senjanović, *Spontaneous breakdown of parity in a class of Gauge theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 153** (1979) 334 [SPIRES].

[12] G. Senjanović and R.N. Mohapatra, *Exact left-right symmetry and spontaneous violation of parity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 12** (1975) 1502 [SPIRES].

[13] P. Langacker and S. Uma Sankar, *Bounds on the mass of W_R and the $W_L - W_R$ mixing angle ξ in general* $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ *x* $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ *x* $\mathrm{U}(1)$ *models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 40** (1989) 1569 [SPIRES].

[14] N.G. Deshpande, J.F. Gunion, B. Kayser and F.I. Olness, *Left-right symmetric electroweak models with triplet Higgs*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 44** (1991) 837 [SPIRES].

[15] G. Barenboim, M. Gorbahn, U. Nierste and M. Raidal, *Higgs sector of the minimal left-right symmetric model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 65** (2002) 095003 [hep-ph/0107121] [SPIRES].

[16] See for example, P. Fileviez Perez, T. Han, G.-y. Huang, T. Li and K. Wang, *Neutrino masses and the LHC: testing type II seesaw*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78** (2008) 015018 [arXiv:0805.3536] [SPIRES]; *Testing a neutrino mass generation mechanism at the large hadron collider*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78** (2008) 071301 [arXiv:0803.3450] [SPIRES].

[17] R.N. Mohapatra, *Mechanism for understanding small neutrino mass in superstring theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 561 [SPIRES]; R.N. Mohapatra and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrino mass and baryon-number nonconservation in superstring models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 34** (1986) 1642 [SPIRES].

[18] R. Franceschini, T. Hambye and A. Strumia, *Type-III see-saw at LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78** (2008) 033002 [arXiv:0805.1613] [SPIRES].

[19] F. del Aguila and J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, *Distinguishing seesaw models at LHC with multi-lepton signals*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 813** (2009) 22 [arXiv:0808.2468 [SPIRES].

[20] N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, *Pattern of symmetry breaking with two higgs doublets*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 18** (1978) 2574 [SPIRES].

[21] M. Cirelli, N. Fornengo and A. Strumia, *Minimal dark matter*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 753** (2006) 178 [hep-ph/0512090] [SPIRES].

[22] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Baryogenesis without grand unification*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 174** (1986) 45 [SPIRES].

JHEP03(2009)142

[23] S. Blanchet and P. Fileviez Perez, *Baryogenesis via leptogenesis in adjoint* SU(5), *JCAP* **08** (2008) 037 [arXiv:0807.3740] [SPIRES].

[24] N. V. Kransnikov, *LHC detection of heavy Majorana neutrinos and right-handed bosons*, talk presented at *Workshop on the Origin of P, CP and T Violations*, ICTP, Trieste (2008), see also ATLAS TDR.

[25] For a recent study see, F. Petriello and S. Quackenbush, *Measuring Z' couplings at the CERN LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 77** (2008) 115004 [arXiv:0801.4389] [SPIRES].

[26] T. Han and B. Zhang, *Signatures for Majorana neutrinos at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 171804 [hep-ph/0604064] [SPIRES].

JHEP03(2009)142