



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Nuclear Physics B 894 (2015) 361-373



www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysb

Sigma-model limit of Yang–Mills instantons in higher dimensions

Andreas Deser^a, Olaf Lechtenfeld^{a,b,*}, Alexander D. Popov^a

^a Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany ^b Riemann Center for Geometry and Physics, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Received 24 December 2014; received in revised form 7 March 2015; accepted 10 March 2015

Available online 12 March 2015

Editor: Hubert Saleur

Abstract

We consider the Hermitian Yang–Mills (instanton) equations for connections on vector bundles over a 2*n*-dimensional Kähler manifold *X* which is a product $Y \times Z$ of *p*- and *q*-dimensional Riemannian manifold *Y* and *Z* with p + q = 2n. We show that in the adiabatic limit, when the metric in the *Z* direction is scaled down, the gauge instanton equations on $Y \times Z$ become sigma-model instanton equations for maps from *Y* to the moduli space \mathcal{M} (target space) of gauge instantons on *Z* if $q \ge 4$. For q < 4 we get maps from *Y* to the moduli space \mathcal{M} of flat connections on *Z*. Thus, the Yang–Mills instantons on $Y \times Z$ converge to sigma-model instantons on *Y* while *Z* shrinks to a point. Put differently, for small volume of *Z*, sigma-model instantons on *Y* with target space \mathcal{M} approximate Yang–Mills instantons on $Y \times Z$.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction and summary

The Yang-Mills equations in two, three and four dimensions were intensively studied both in physics and mathematics. In mathematics, this study (e.g. projectively flat unitary connections and stable bundles in d = 2 [1], the Chern-Simons model and knot theory in d = 3, instantons and Donaldson invariants [2] in d = 4 dimensions) has yielded a lot of new results in differential

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: Andreas.Deser@itp.uni-hannover.de (A. Deser), Olaf.Lechtenfeld@itp.uni-hannover.de (O. Lechtenfeld), Alexander.Popov@itp.uni-hannover.de (A.D. Popov).

⁽O. Lechtenieid), Alexander.Popov@itp.uni-nannover.de (A.D. Popov

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.03.009

^{0550-3213/© 2015} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

and algebraic geometry. There are also various interrelations between gauge theories in two, three and four dimensions. In particular, Chern–Simons theory in d = 3 dimensions reduces to the theory of flat connections in d = 2 (see e.g. [3,4]). On the other hand, the gradient flow equations for Chern–Simons theory on a d = 3 manifold Y are the first-order anti-self-duality equations on $Y \times \mathbb{R}$, which play a crucial role in d = 4 gauge theory.

The program of extending familiar constructions in gauge theory, associated to problems in low-dimensional topology, to higher dimensions was proposed by Donaldson and Thomas in the seminal paper [5] (see also [6]) and developed in [7–14] among others. An important role in this investigation is played by first-order gauge-field equations which are a generalization of the anti-self-duality equations in d = 4 to higher-dimensional manifolds with special holonomy (or, more generally, with *G*-structure [15,16]). Such equations were first introduced in [17] and further considered in [18–22] (see also the references therein).

Instanton equations on a *d*-dimensional Riemannian manifold *X* can be introduced as follows [17,5,10]. Suppose there exist a 4-form *Q* on *X*. Then there exists a (d-4)-form $\Sigma := *Q$, where * is the Hodge operator on *X*. Let A be a connection on a bundle *E* over *X* with curvature $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$. The generalized anti-self-duality (instanton) equation on the gauge field then is [10]

$$*\mathcal{F} + \Sigma \wedge \mathcal{F} = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

For d > 4 these equations can be defined on manifolds X with *special holonomy*, i.e. such that the holonomy group G of the Levi-Civita connection on the tangent bundle TX is a subgroup in SO(d). Solutions of (1.1) satisfy the Yang–Mills equation

$$d * \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{F} - (-1)^d * \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0.$$
(1.2)

The instanton equation (1.1) is also well defined on manifolds X with non-integrable *G*-structures, i.e. when $d\Sigma \neq 0$. In this case (1.1) implies the Yang–Mills equation with (3-form) torsion $T := *d\Sigma$, as is discussed e.g. in [23–27].

Manifolds X with a (d-4)-form Σ which admits the instanton equation (1.1) are usually *calibrated* manifolds with *calibrated submanifolds*. Recall that a calibrated manifold is a Riemannian manifold (X, g) equipped with a closed p-form φ such that for any oriented p-dimensional subspace ζ of $T_x X$, $\varphi|_{\zeta} \leq vol_{\zeta}$ for any $x \in X$, where vol_{ζ} is the volume of ζ with respect to the metric g [28]. A p-dimensional submanifold Y of X is said to be a calibrated submanifold with respect to φ (φ -calibrated) if $\varphi|_Y = vol_Y$ [28]. In particular, suitably normalized powers of the Kähler form on a Kähler manifold are calibrations, and the calibrated submanifolds are complex submanifolds. On a G_2 -manifold one has a 3-form which defines a calibration, and on a Spin(7)-manifold the defining 4-form (the Cayley form) is a calibration as well [5,6].

It is not easy to construct solutions of (1.1) for d > 4 and to describe their moduli space.¹ It was shown by Donaldson, Thomas, Tian [5,10] and others that the *adiabatic limit* method provides a useful and powerful tool. The adiabatic limit refers to the geometric process of shrinking a metric in some directions while leaving it fixed in the others.² It is assumed that on X there is

¹ Some explicit solutions for particular manifolds X were constructed e.g. in [21, 23, 25, 14, 27].

² In lower dimensions, the adiabatic limit was successfully used for a description of solutions to the d=2+1 Ginzburg–Landau equations and to the d=4 Seiberg–Witten monopole equations (see e.g. reviews [29,30] and the references therein).

a family Σ_{ε} of (d-4)-forms with a real parameter ε such that $\Sigma_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ defines a calibrated submanifold *Y* of *X*. Then one can define a normal bundle N(Y) of *Y* with a projection

$$\pi: N(Y) \to Y \,. \tag{1.3}$$

The metric on X induces on N(Y) a Riemannian metric

$$g_{\varepsilon} = \pi^* g_Y + \varepsilon^2 g_Z \,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $Z \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ is a typical fibre. In fact, the fibres are calibrated by a 4-form Q_{ε} dual to Σ_{ε} . The metric (1.4) extends to a tubular neighborhood of Y in X, and (1.1) may be considered on this subset of X. Anyway, it was shown [5,10,6] that solutions of the instanton equation (1.1) defined by the form Σ_{ε} on (X, g_{ε}) in the adiabatic limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ converge to sigma-model instantons describing a map from the (d-4)-dimensional submanifold Y into the hyper-Kähler moduli space of framed Yang–Mills instantons on fibres \mathbb{R}^4 of the normal bundle N(Y).

The submanifold $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is calibrated by the (d-4)-form Σ defining the instanton equation (1.1). However, on X there may exist other p-forms φ and associated φ -calibrated submanifolds Y of dimension $p \neq d-4$. In such a case one can define a different normal bundle (1.3) with fibres \mathbb{R}^{d-p} and deform the metric as in (1.4). However, this task is quite difficult technically and will be postponed for a future work. As a more simple task, one may take a direct product manifold $X = Y \times Z$ with dim_{\mathbb{R}} Y = p and dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z = q = d-p with a p-form $\varphi = vol_Y$, or consider non-flat manifolds Z and a (d-4)-form Σ defining (1.1). In string theory dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 10, and calibrated submanifolds Y are identified with worldvolumes of p-branes where p varies from zero to ten.

In this short paper we explore the direct product case $X = Y \times Z$ with dim_R $Y = p \neq d-4$ for Kähler manifolds X and the adiabatic limit of the Hermitian Yang–Mills equations on bundles over X. We will show that for even p (and hence even q) the adiabatic limit of (1.1) yields sigma-model instanton equations describing holomorphic maps from Y into the moduli space of Hermitian Yang–Mills instantons on Z. For odd p and q the consideration is more involved, and we describe only the case p=q=3 in which we obtain maps from Y into the moduli space of flat connections on Z. For the purpose of this paper, this special case sufficiently illustrates the main features of the odd-dimensional cases.

2. Moduli space of instantons in $d \ge 4$

Bundles. Let *X* be an oriented smooth manifold of dimension *d*, *G* a semisimple compact Lie group, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra, *P* a principal *G*-bundle over *X*, *A* a connection 1-form on *P* and $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ its curvature. We consider also the bundle of groups $\operatorname{Int} P = P \times_G G$ (*G* acts on itself by internal automorphisms: $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$, $h, g \in G$) associated with *P*, the bundle of Lie algebras $\operatorname{Ad} P = P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ and a complex vector bundle $E = P \times_G V$, where *V* is the space of some irreducible representation of *G*. All these associated bundles inherit their connection \mathcal{A} from *P*.

Gauge transformations. We denote by \mathbb{A}' the space of connections on *P* and by \mathcal{G}' the infinitedimensional group of gauge transformations (automorphisms of *P* which induce the identity transformation of *X*),

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^g = g^{-1} \mathcal{A}g + g^{-1} \mathrm{d}g , \qquad (2.1)$$

which can be identified with the space of global sections of the bundle Int *P*. Correspondingly, the infinitesimal action of \mathcal{G}' is defined by global sections χ of the bundle Ad*P*,

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \delta_{\chi} \mathcal{A} = d\chi + [\mathcal{A}, \chi] =: D_{\mathcal{A}} \chi$$
(2.2)

with $\chi \in \text{Lie}\mathcal{G}' = \Gamma(X, \text{Ad}P)$.

Moduli space of connections. We restrict ourselves to the subspace $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{A}'$ of irreducible connections and to the subgroup $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}'/Z(\mathcal{G}')$ of \mathcal{G}' which acts freely on \mathbb{A} . Then the *moduli space* of irreducible connections on P (and on E) is defined as the quotient \mathbb{A}/\mathcal{G} . We do not distinguish connections related by a gauge transformation. Classes of gauge equivalent connections are points $[\mathcal{A}]$ in \mathbb{A}/\mathcal{G} .

Metric on \mathbb{A}/\mathcal{G} . Since \mathbb{A} is an affine space, for each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{A}$ we have a canonical identification between the tangent space $T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{A}$ and the space $\Lambda^1(X, \operatorname{Ad} P)$ of 1-forms on X with values in the vector bundle $\operatorname{Ad} P$. We consider \mathfrak{g} as a matrix Lie algebra, with the metric defined by the trace. The metrics on X and on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} induce an inner product on $\Lambda^1(X, \operatorname{Ad} P)$,

$$\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle = \int_X \operatorname{tr} \left(\xi_1 \wedge * \xi_2 \right) \quad \text{for} \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Lambda^1(X, \operatorname{Ad} P) .$$

$$(2.3)$$

This inner product is transferred to $T_A \mathbb{A}$ by the canonical identification. It is invariant under the \mathcal{G} -action on \mathbb{A} , whence we get a metric (2.3) on the moduli space \mathbb{A}/\mathcal{G} .

Instantons. Suppose there exists a (d-4)-form Σ on X which allows us to introduce the instanton equation

$$*\mathcal{F} + \Sigma \wedge \mathcal{F} = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

discussed in Section 1. We denote by $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{A}$ the space of irreducible connections subject to (2.4) on the bundle $E \to X$. This space \mathcal{N} of instanton solutions on X is a subspace of the affine space \mathbb{A} , and we define the moduli space \mathcal{M} of instantons as the quotient space

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G} \tag{2.5}$$

together with a projection

$$\pi: \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{M} . \tag{2.6}$$

According to the bundle structure (2.6), at any point $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{N}$, the tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ splits into the direct sum

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{N} = \pi^* T_{[\mathcal{A}]}\mathcal{M} \oplus T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{G} .$$
(2.7)

In other words,

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{N} \ni \tilde{\xi} = \xi + D_{\mathcal{A}}\chi \quad \text{with} \quad \xi \in \pi^* T_{[\mathcal{A}]}\mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\mathcal{A}}\chi \in T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{G} ,$$
 (2.8)

where $\tilde{\xi}, \xi \in \Lambda^1(X, \operatorname{Ad} P)$ and $\chi \in \Lambda^0(X, \operatorname{Ad} P) = \Gamma(X, \operatorname{Ad} P)$. The choice of ξ corresponds to a local fixing of a gauge.

Metric on \mathcal{M} . Denote by ξ_{α} a local basis of vector fields on \mathcal{M} (sections of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$) with $\alpha = 1, ..., \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}$. Restricting the metric (2.3) on \mathbb{A}/\mathcal{G} to the subspace \mathcal{M} provides a metric $\mathbb{G} = (G_{\alpha\beta})$ on the instanton moduli space,

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{X} \operatorname{tr} \left(\xi_{\alpha} \wedge * \xi_{\beta} \right) \,. \tag{2.9}$$

Kähler forms on \mathcal{M} . If X is Kähler with a complex structure J and a Kähler form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$, then the Kähler 2-form $\Omega = (\Omega_{\alpha\beta})$ on \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\int_{X} \operatorname{tr} \left(J\xi_{\alpha} \wedge *\xi_{\beta} \right) \,. \tag{2.10}$$

It is well known that the moduli space of framed instantons³ on a hyper-Kähler 4-manifold X (with three integrable almost complex structures J^i) is hyper-Kähler, with three Kähler forms

$$\Omega^{i}_{\alpha\beta} = -\int_{X} \operatorname{tr} \left(J^{i} \xi_{\alpha} \wedge *\xi_{\beta} \right) \,. \tag{2.11}$$

3. Hermitian Yang–Mills equations

Instanton equations. On any Kähler manifold X of dimension d = 2n there exists an integrable almost complex structure $J \in \text{End}(TX)$, $J^2 = -\text{Id}$, and a Kähler (1, 1)-form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ compatible with J. The natural 4-form

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega \tag{3.1}$$

and its dual $\Sigma = *Q$ allow one to formulate the instanton equation (2.4) for a connection \mathcal{A} on a complex vector bundle E over X associated to the principal bundle P(X, G). The fibres \mathbb{C}^N of E support an irreducible G-representation. For simplicity, we have in mind the fundamental representation of SU(N). One can endow the bundle E with a Hermitian metric and choose \mathcal{A} to be compatible with the Hermitian structure on E.

The instanton equations in the form (2.4) with $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} * (\omega \wedge \omega)$ may then be rewritten as the following pair of equations,

$$\mathcal{F}^{0,2} = -(\mathcal{F}^{2,0})^{\dagger} = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\omega^{n-1} \wedge \mathcal{F} = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \omega \,\lrcorner \, \mathcal{F} = \omega^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = 0 \,, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu}, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 2n$, and the notation ω_{\neg} exploits the underlying Riemannian metric of X for raising indices of ω . Eqs. (3.2)–(3.3) were introduced by Donaldson, Uhlenbeck and Yau [19] and are called the Hermitian Yang–Mills (HYM) equations.⁴ The HYM equations have the following algebro-geometric interpretation. Eq. (3.2) implies that the curvature $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ is of type (1, 1) with respect to J, whence the connection \mathcal{A} defines a *holomorphic structure* on E. Eq. (3.3) means that $E \to X$ is a *polystable* vector bundle. The moduli space \mathcal{M}_X of HYM connections on E, the metric $\mathbb{G} = (G_{\alpha\beta})$ and the Kähler form $\Omega = (\Omega_{\alpha\beta})$ on \mathcal{M}_X are introduced as described in Section 2 after specializing X to be Kähler.

Direct product of Kähler manifolds. The subject of this paper is the adiabatic limit of the HYM equations (3.2)–(3.3) on a direct product

 $^{^{3}}$ Framed instantons are instantons modulo gauge transformations which approach the identity at a fixed point.

⁴ Instead of (3.3) one sometimes finds $\omega \,\lrcorner\, \mathcal{F} = i \,\lambda \, \mathrm{Id}_E$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We take $\lambda = 0$, i.e. assume $c_1(E) = 0$, since one may always pass from a rank-*N* bundle of non-zero degree to one of zero degree by considering $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} - \frac{1}{N} (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}) \mathbf{1}_N$.

$$X = Y \times Z \tag{3.4}$$

of Kähler manifolds Y and Z. The dimensions p and q of Y and Z are even, and p + q = 2n. Let $\{e^a\}$ with a = 1, ..., p and $\{e^{\mu}\}$ with $\mu = p+1, ..., 2n$ be local frames for the cotangent bundles T^*Y and T^*Z , respectively. Then $\{e^{\hat{\mu}}\} = \{e^a, e^{\mu}\}$ with $\hat{\mu} = 1, ..., 2n$ will be a local frame for the cotangent bundle $T^*X = T^*Y \oplus T^*Z$. We introduce on $Y \times Z$ the metric

$$g = g_Y + g_Z = \delta_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b + \delta_{\mu\nu} e^\mu \otimes e^\nu = \delta_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} e^{\hat{\mu}} \otimes e^{\hat{\nu}}$$
(3.5)

and an integrable almost complex structure

$$J = J_Y \oplus J_Z \in \operatorname{End}(TY) \oplus \operatorname{End}(TZ) , \quad J_Y^2 = -\operatorname{Id}_Y \quad \text{and} \quad J_Z^2 = -\operatorname{Id}_Z , \tag{3.6}$$

whose components are defined by $J_Y e^a = J_b^a e^b$ and $J_Z e^\mu = J_\nu^\mu e^\nu$. Likewise, the Kähler form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ on $Y \times Z$ decomposes as

$$\omega = \omega_Y + \omega_Z \tag{3.7}$$

with components $\omega_Y = (\omega_{ab})$ and $\omega_Z = (\omega_{\mu\nu})$.

Splitting of the HYM equations. We introduce on $X = Y \times Z$ local coordinates $\{y^a\}$ and $\{z^{\mu}\}$ and choose $e^a = dy^a$, $e^{\mu} = dz^{\mu}$. Any connection on the bundle $E \to X$ is decomposed as

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_Y + \mathcal{A}_Z = \mathcal{A}_a dy^a + \mathcal{A}_\mu dz^\mu , \qquad (3.8)$$

where the components \mathcal{A}_a and \mathcal{A}_μ depend on $(y, z) \in Y \times Z$. The curvature \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} has components \mathcal{F}_{ab} along Y, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ along Z, and $\mathcal{F}_{a\mu}$ which we call "mixed".

Note that the holomorphicity conditions (3.2) may be expressed through the projector

$$\bar{P} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{i}J) , \qquad \bar{P}^2 = \bar{P}$$
(3.9)

onto the (0, 1)-part of the complexification of the cotangent bundle $T^*X = T^*Y \oplus T^*Z$ as

$$\bar{P}\bar{P}\mathcal{F} = 0, \qquad (3.10)$$

which in components reads

$$\left(\delta_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\sigma}} + iJ_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\sigma}}\right)\left(\delta_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{\lambda}} + iJ_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{\lambda}}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\lambda}} = 0.$$
(3.11)

From (3.6) it follows that these equations split into three parts:

$$\left(\delta_a^c + \mathrm{i}J_a^c\right)\left(\delta_b^d + \mathrm{i}J_b^d\right)\mathcal{F}_{cd} = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{F}_Y^{0,2} = 0 , \qquad (3.12)$$

$$\left(\delta^{\sigma}_{\mu} + \mathrm{i}J^{\sigma}_{\mu}\right)\left(\delta^{\lambda}_{\nu} + \mathrm{i}J^{\lambda}_{\nu}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\lambda} = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{F}^{0,2}_{Z} = 0, \qquad (3.13)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a\nu}J^{\nu}_{\mu} + J^{c}_{a}\mathcal{F}_{c\mu} = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{F}_{a\mu} - J^{c}_{a}J^{\nu}_{\mu}\mathcal{F}_{c\nu} = 0.$$
(3.14)

Finally, with the help of (3.7) the stability equation (3.3) takes the form

$$\omega_Y \,\lrcorner \, \mathcal{F}_Y + \omega_Z \,\lrcorner \, \mathcal{F}_Z \,=\, \omega^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{ab} + \omega^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \,=\, 0 \,. \tag{3.15}$$

366

4. Adiabatic limit of the HYM equations for even p and q

Moduli space M_Z . In order to investigate the adiabatic limit of (3.12)–(3.15), we introduce on $X = Y \times Z$ the deformed metric and Kähler form

$$g_{\varepsilon} = g_Y + \varepsilon^2 g_Z$$
 and $\omega_{\varepsilon} = \omega_Y + \varepsilon^2 \omega_Z$, (4.1)

while the complex structure $J = J_Y \oplus J_Z$ does not depend on ε according to (3.6). Since J_Y and J_Z are untouched, (3.12)–(3.14) keep their form in the adiabatic limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. In particular, (3.12) implies that $\mathcal{F}_Y^{0,2} = 0$, i.e. the bundle $E \to Y \times Z$ is holomorphic along Y for any $z \in Z$.⁵ On the other hand, (3.15) for $\varepsilon \to 0$ becomes

$$\omega_Z \,\lrcorner \, \mathcal{F}_Z \,=\, \omega^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \,=\, 0 \,, \tag{4.2}$$

which together with (3.13) means that A_Z is a HYM connection (framed instanton) on Z for any given $y \in Y$. We denote the moduli space of such connections by

$$\mathcal{M}_Z = \mathcal{N}_Z / \mathcal{G}_Z \,, \tag{4.3}$$

where \mathcal{N}_Z is the space of all instanton solutions on *Z* for a fixed $y \in Y$, and \mathcal{G}_Z consists of the elements of \mathcal{G} with the same fixed value of *y*. We here suppress the *y* dependence in our notation. The moduli space \mathcal{M}_Z is a Kähler manifold on which we introduce the metric \mathbb{G} and Kähler form Ω with components

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{Z} \operatorname{tr} \left(\xi_{\alpha} \wedge *_{Z} \xi_{\beta} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\int_{Z} \operatorname{tr} \left(J_{Z} \xi_{\alpha} \wedge *_{Z} \xi_{\beta} \right) \tag{4.4}$$

similar to (2.9) and (2.10) but now with $\xi_{\alpha} \in \Lambda^1(Z, \operatorname{Ad} P)$ and the Hodge operator $*_Z$ defined on Z. Note that for dim_RZ = 2 the HYM equations (3.13) and (4.2) enforce $\mathcal{F}_Z = 0$, i.e. \mathcal{M}_Z becomes the moduli space of flat connections on bundles E(y) over a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold Z.

A map into \mathcal{M}_Z . The bundle E(y) is a HYM vector bundle over Z for any $y \in Y$. Letting the point y vary, the connection $\mathcal{A}_Z = \mathcal{A}_\mu(y, z)dz^\mu$ on E(y) defines a map

$$\phi: Y \to \mathcal{M}_Z \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(y) = \left\{\phi^{\alpha}(y)\right\},$$
(4.5)

where ϕ^{α} with $\alpha = 1, ..., \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_Z$ are local coordinates on \mathcal{M}_Z . This map is constrained by our remaining set of equations, namely (3.14) for the mixed field-strength components

$$\mathcal{F}_{a\mu} = \partial_a \mathcal{A}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_a + [\mathcal{A}_a, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}] = \partial_a \mathcal{A}_{\mu} - D_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_a .$$
(4.6)

Similarly to (2.7) and (2.8), $\partial_a A_\mu$ decomposes into two parts,

$$T_{\mathcal{A}_Z}\mathcal{N}_Z = \pi^* T_{[\mathcal{A}_Z]}\mathcal{M}_Z \oplus T_{\mathcal{A}_Z}\mathcal{G}_Z \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \partial_a \mathcal{A}_\mu = (\partial_a \phi^\alpha) \xi_{\alpha\mu} + D_\mu \epsilon_a , \qquad (4.7)$$

where $\{\xi_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha\mu} dz^{\mu}\}$ is a local basis of vector fields on \mathcal{M}_Z . Here, ϵ_a are \mathfrak{g} -valued gauge parameters which are determined by the gauge-fixing equations

$$(\partial_a \phi^{\alpha}) g^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} \xi_{\alpha\nu} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad g^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} D_{\nu} \epsilon_a = g^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} \partial_a \mathcal{A}_{\nu} . \tag{4.8}$$

⁵ We can always choose a gauge such that $\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{0,1} = 0$ and locally $\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{1,0} = h^{-1}\partial_{Y}h$ for a *G*-valued function h(y, z).

Substituting (4.7) into (4.6), the mixed field-strength components simplify to

$$\mathcal{F}_{a\mu} = (\partial_a \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha\mu} - D_{\mu} (\mathcal{A}_a - \epsilon_a) .$$
(4.9)

Inserting this expression into our remaining equations (3.14), we obtain

$$(\partial_a \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha\mu} - J_a^c J_{\mu}^{\sigma} (\partial_c \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha\sigma} = D_{\mu} (\mathcal{A}_a - \epsilon_a) - J_a^c J_{\mu}^{\sigma} D_{\sigma} (\mathcal{A}_c - \epsilon_c)$$
(4.10)

as a condition on the map ϕ .

Sigma-model instantons. In order to better interpret the above equations, we multiply both sides with $dz^{\mu} \wedge *_Z \xi_{\beta}$, take the trace over \mathfrak{g} , integrate over Z and recognize the integrals in (4.4). The integral of the right-hand side of (4.10) vanishes due to (4.7)–(4.8) (orthogonality of $\xi_{\alpha} \in T\mathcal{M}_Z$ and $D\chi \in T\mathcal{G}_Z$), and we end up with

$$(\partial_a \phi^{\alpha}) G_{\alpha\beta} + J_a^c (\partial_c \phi^{\alpha}) \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$
(4.11)

Inverting the moduli-space metric G and introducing the almost complex structure \mathcal{J} on \mathcal{M}_Z via its components

$$\mathcal{J}^{\alpha}_{\beta} := \Omega_{\beta\gamma} G^{\gamma\alpha} \,, \tag{4.12}$$

we rewrite (4.11) as

$$\partial_a \phi^{\alpha} = -J_a^c (\partial_c \phi^{\beta}) \mathcal{J}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathrm{d}\phi = -\mathcal{J} \circ \mathrm{d}\phi \circ J .$$
(4.13)

Using $J_c^a J_b^c = -\delta_b^a$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\beta}^{\gamma} = -\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$, alternative versions are

$$(\partial_a \phi^\beta) \mathcal{J}^{\alpha}_{\beta} - J^b_a (\partial_b \phi^{\alpha}) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{J} \circ \mathrm{d}\phi = \mathrm{d}\phi \circ J \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$(\delta^b_a + \mathbf{i} J^b_a) (\partial_b \phi^\beta) (\delta^\alpha_\beta - \mathbf{i} \mathcal{J}^\alpha_\beta) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{P} \circ \mathrm{d}\phi \circ \bar{P} = 0 , \qquad (4.15)$$

with the obvious definition for \mathcal{P} .

These equations mean that $\phi^1 + i\phi^2$, $\phi^3 + i\phi^4$, ... are holomorphic functions of complex coordinates on Y, i.e. ϕ is a holomorphic map. It is clear that our equations (4.15) are BPS-type (instanton) first-order equations for the sigma model on Y with target space \mathcal{M}_Z , whose field equations define harmonic maps from Y into \mathcal{M}_Z . For dim_{\mathbb{R}} $Y = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z = 2$ these equations have appeared in [31] as the adiabatic limit of the HYM equations on the product of two Riemann surfaces.⁶ Our (4.15) generalize [31] to the case dim_{\mathbb{R}} Y > 2 and dim_{\mathbb{R}} $Z \ge 2$. From the implicit function theorem it follows that near every solution ϕ of (4.15) there exists a solution $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ of the HYM equations (3.2)–(3.3) for ε sufficiently small. In other words, solutions of (4.15) approximate solutions of the HYM equations on X.

5. Adiabatic limit of gauge instantons for p = q = 3

If the Kähler manifold X is a direct product of two *odd*-dimensional manifolds Y and Z, i.e. if $p = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} Y$ and $q = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ are both odd, then we may need to impose conditions on the geometry of Y and Z for $X = Y \times Z$ to be Kähler. However, we are not aware of these demands

368

⁶ See also [32] where this limit was discussed in the framework of topological Yang–Mills theories.

outside of special cases, such as products of tori. Therefore, we restrict ourselves to tori Y and Z with p = q = 3 since already this case illustrates essential differences from the case of even p and q. More general situations demand more effort and will be considered elsewhere.

Deformed structures. We consider the Calabi-Yau space

$$X = Y \times Z = T^3 \times T_r^3 , \qquad (5.1)$$

where T^3 is a 3-torus and T_r^3 is another 3-torus, with *r* marked points (punctures). We endow *X* with the deformed metric

$$g_{\varepsilon} = g_{T^3} + \varepsilon^2 g_{T_r^3} = e^1 \otimes e^1 + e^2 \otimes e^2 + e^3 \otimes e^3 + \varepsilon^2 (e^4 \otimes e^4 + e^5 \otimes e^5 + e^6 \otimes e^6)$$
(5.2)

and choose the basis of (1, 0)-forms as

$$\theta^1 = e^1 + i\varepsilon e^4$$
, $\theta^2 = e^2 + i\varepsilon e^5$ and $\theta^3 = e^3 + i\varepsilon e^6$ (5.3)

with a real deformation parameter ε .

The combined torus $T^3 \times T_r^3$ supports an integrable almost complex structure J satisfying $J\theta^j = i\theta^j$ for j = 1, 2, 3, which determines its components,

$$Je^{\hat{\mu}} = J_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{\mu}} e^{\hat{\nu}} : \quad J_4^1 = J_5^2 = J_6^3 = -\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad J_1^4 = J_2^5 = J_3^6 = \varepsilon^{-1} .$$
 (5.4)

For the Kähler form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ the components are

$$\omega_{14} = \omega_{25} = \omega_{36} = \varepsilon \qquad \text{and} \qquad \omega_{41} = \omega_{52} = \omega_{63} = -\varepsilon . \tag{5.5}$$

Adiabatic limit for instantons. The HYM equations (3.2) and (3.3) on $T^3 \times T_r^3$ with J and ω given by (5.4) and (5.5) read

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} + i\mathcal{F}_{a\mu}J_b^{\mu} + iJ_a^{\mu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu b} - J_a^{\mu}J_b^{\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + i\mathcal{F}_{\mu b}J_{\nu}^{b} + iJ_{\mu}^{b}\mathcal{F}_{b\nu} - J_{\mu}^{a}J_{\nu}^{b}\mathcal{F}_{ab} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{a\mu} + i\mathcal{F}_{ab}J_{\mu}^{b} + iJ_{a}^{\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu} - J_{a}^{\nu}J_{\mu}^{b}\mathcal{F}_{\nu b} = 0,$$
(5.6)

with a, b = 1, 2, 3 and $\mu, \nu = 4, 5, 6$, as well as

$$\mathcal{F}_{14} + \mathcal{F}_{25} + \mathcal{F}_{36} = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

In the adiabatic limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ the first two lines of (5.6) reduce to

$$\mathcal{F}_{45} = \mathcal{F}_{46} = \mathcal{F}_{56} = 0 \tag{5.8}$$

while the mixed-component part of (5.6) together with (5.7) produces

$$\mathcal{F}_{16} - \mathcal{F}_{34} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{35} - \mathcal{F}_{26} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{24} - \mathcal{F}_{15} = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}_{14} + \mathcal{F}_{25} + \mathcal{F}_{36} = 0.$$
(5.9)

Recall that

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_Y + \mathcal{A}_Z = \mathcal{A}_a(y, z) dy^a + \mathcal{A}_\mu(y, z) dz^\mu$$
(5.10)

is a connection on a vector bundle *E* over $X = T^3 \times T_r^3$. From (5.8) we learn that \mathcal{A}_Z is a flat connection on $Z = T_r^3$ for any $y \in Y = T^3$. We denote by \mathcal{N}_Z the space of solutions to (5.8) and

by \mathcal{M}_Z the moduli space of all such connections. From (5.9) we see that in the adiabatic limit there are no restrictions on \mathcal{A}_Y , since the components \mathcal{A}_a and \mathcal{F}_{ab} no longer appear.

Sigma-model equations. For the mixed components $\mathcal{F}_{a\mu}$ of the field strength we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{a\mu} = \partial_a \mathcal{A}_{\mu} - D_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_a = (\partial_a \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha\mu} - D_{\mu} (\mathcal{A}_a - \epsilon_a)$$
(5.11)

where, as in Section 4, we used for $\partial_a A_\mu$ the decomposition formula (4.7) and introduced the map

$$\phi: T^3 \to \mathcal{M}_{T^3_r}. \tag{5.12}$$

Let us, for a short while, relax the gauge fixing (4.8) and allow $\phi(y)$ to take values in the full solution space $\mathcal{N}_{T_r^3}$. Correspondingly $\xi_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha\mu} dz^{\mu}$ will be momentarily a basis of all vector fields on $\mathcal{N}_{T_r^3}$, and ϵ_a are undetermined.

Substituting (5.11) into (5.9), we obtain the equations

$$(\partial_1 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 6} - (\partial_3 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 4} = D_6(\mathcal{A}_1 - \epsilon_1) - D_4(\mathcal{A}_3 - \epsilon_3) ,$$

$$(\partial_3 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 5} - (\partial_2 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 6} = D_5(\mathcal{A}_3 - \epsilon_3) - D_6(\mathcal{A}_2 - \epsilon_2) ,$$

$$(\partial_2 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 4} - (\partial_1 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 5} = D_4(\mathcal{A}_2 - \epsilon_2) - D_5(\mathcal{A}_1 - \epsilon_1)$$
(5.13)

and

$$(\partial_1 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 4} + (\partial_2 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 5} + (\partial_3 \phi^{\alpha}) \xi_{\alpha 6}$$

= $D_4(\mathcal{A}_1 - \epsilon_1) + D_5(\mathcal{A}_2 - \epsilon_2) + D_6(\mathcal{A}_3 - \epsilon_3)$. (5.14)

Multiplying both sides with $\xi_{\beta\mu}$ for $\mu = 4, 5, 6$ and integrating tr $(\xi_{\alpha\mu}\xi_{\beta\nu})$ over T_r^3 , the above four equations yield the $3 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{N}_{T_r^3}$ relations

$$\partial_a \phi^{\alpha} + \pi_a {}^b_c \left(\partial_b \phi^{\beta} \right) \Pi^c {}^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \mathfrak{j}^{\alpha}_a \,, \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\pi_a{}^b_c := \varepsilon^b_{ac} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^a{}^\alpha_\beta := \Pi^a_{\beta\gamma} G^{\gamma\alpha}$$
(5.16)

with

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{T_r^3} d^3 z \, \delta^{\mu\nu} \operatorname{tr} \left(\xi_{\alpha\mu} \xi_{\beta\nu} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^a_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{T_r^3} d^3 z \, \varepsilon^{a+3\,\mu\nu} \operatorname{tr} \left(\xi_{\alpha\mu} \xi_{\beta\nu} \right) \,. \tag{5.17}$$

The right-hand side of (5.15) is given by

$$j_{a}^{\alpha} = G^{\alpha\beta} \int_{T_{r}^{3}} d^{3}z \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \delta_{a}^{b} \delta^{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{ac}^{b} \varepsilon^{c+3\,\mu\,\nu} \right\} D_{\mu} (\mathcal{A}_{b} - \epsilon_{b}) \xi_{\beta\nu} .$$
(5.18)

The (1, 1) tensors $\pi_a = (\varepsilon_{ac}^b)$, a = 1, 2, 3, on T^3 and the (1, 1) tensors $\Pi_a = (\delta_{ab} \Pi^b {}^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ on $\mathcal{N}_{T_c^3}$ satisfy the identities

$$\pi_a^3 + \pi_a = 0$$
 and $\Pi_a^3 + \Pi_a = 0$, (5.19)

i.e. they define three so-called *f*-structures [33] correspondingly on T^3 and on $\mathcal{N}_{T_r^3}$. To clarify their meaning we observe that (5.19) defines orthogonal projectors

371

$$P_a := -\pi_a^2$$
 and $P_a^{\perp} := \mathbb{1}_3 + \pi_a^2$ (5.20)

of rank two and rank one on T^3 and similarly orthogonal projectors

$$\mathcal{P}_a := -\Pi_a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_a^\perp := \mathrm{Id} + \Pi_a^2$$

$$(5.21)$$

on $\mathcal{N}_{T_a^3}$, where Id is the identity tensor. The tangent bundle $T(T^3)$ splits into eigenspaces of P_a ,

$$T(T^3) = T(T_a^2 \times S_a^1) = T(T_a^2) \oplus T(S_a^1) = L_a \oplus N_a$$
 for $a = 1, 2, 3$, (5.22)

which defines on T^3 two distributions L_a and N_a of rank two and one, respectively, and decomposes the 3-torus in three different ways. Analogously, the projector \mathcal{P}_a yields a splitting

$$T(\mathcal{N}_{T_{x}^{3}}) = \mathcal{L}_{a} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{a} \tag{5.23}$$

which is in fact induced by the factorization of T_r^3 into a two-dimensional torus and a circle.

We now come back to the question of gauge fixing. Recalling that A_Z is flat on T_r^3 , we gauge away one component, say

$$\mathcal{A}_6 = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \xi_{\alpha 6} = \delta_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_6 = 0 , \qquad (5.24)$$

from which it follows in (5.17) that

$$\Pi^1_{\alpha\beta} = \Pi^2_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{5.25}$$

and only $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^3$ is non-vanishing. With (5.24) our moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{T_r^3}$ is reduced to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{T_r^2}$ of flat connections on the torus T_r^2 .⁷ Furthermore, j_{α}^a defined by (5.18) must be zero since ξ_{α} with the gauge-fixing condition (5.24) are tangent to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{T_r^2}$ of flat connections on T_r^2 and therefore orthogonal to $D_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_b - \epsilon_b)$ in (5.18) tangent to the gauge orbits. Thus, after fixing the gauge $\mathcal{A}_6 = 0$ the sigma-model instanton equations (5.15) reduce to

$$(\partial_1 + i\partial_2)\phi^{\beta}(\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} - i\mathcal{J}^{\alpha}_{\beta}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_3\phi^{\alpha} = 0, \qquad (5.26)$$

where $\partial_a := \partial/\partial y^a$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\alpha}_{\beta} := \Pi^{3\alpha}_{\beta}$ is a complex structure on the Kähler moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{T^2_r}$ of flat connections on T^2_r . Hence, for p = q = 3 we obtain the degenerate case of holomorphic maps

$$\phi: T^2 \to \mathcal{M}_{T_r^2} \tag{5.27}$$

from T^2 into the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{T_r^2}$. This is degenerate in the sense that the HYM connection on $T^3 \times T_r^3$ in the adiabatic limit for (5.2) is implicitly reduced to a HYM connection on $T^2 \times T_r^2$.

Remark. The above degeneracy is not generic but relates only to the case of q = 3. As a counterexample, let us consider q = 4, for instance the G_2 -instanton equations (for a definition see e.g. [5,6,12,14]) on the 7-manifold

$$X = Y \times Z = T^3 \times Z$$
 with $Z = T^4$, K3 or \mathbb{R}^4 . (5.28)

In the adiabatic limit of $\varepsilon \to 0$ with the deformed metric $g_{\varepsilon} = g_Y + \varepsilon^2 g_Z$ the G₂-instanton equations become

 $^{^{7}}$ For simplicity we locate all punctures on the two-dimensional torus.

$$\partial_a \phi^{\alpha} + \varepsilon^b_{ac} \left(\partial_b \phi^{\beta} \right) \mathcal{J}^c{}^{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\beta} = 0 \,. \tag{5.29}$$

This looks similar to (5.15) with $j_a^{\alpha} = 0$ and features three complex structures $\mathcal{J}^c = (\mathcal{J}^c {}_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ (instead of *f*-structures Π^c) on the hyper-Kähler moduli space \mathcal{M}_Z of framed Yang-Mills instantons on the hyper-Kähler 4-manifold Z. These equations were discussed e.g. in [6,13] in the form of Fueter equations. In the above case (5.28) they define maps $\phi : T^3 \to \mathcal{M}_Z$ which are sigma-model instantons minimizing the standard sigma-model energy functional.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft grant LE 838/13.

References

- M. Atiyah, R. Bott, The Yang–Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 308 (1983) 523.
- [2] S. Donaldson, P.B. Kronheimer, The Geometry of Four-Manifolds, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [3] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351;
 S. Elitzur, G.W. Moore, A. Schwimmer, N. Seiberg, Remarks on the canonical quantization of the Chern–Simons–Witten theory, Nucl. Phys. B 326 (1989) 108.
- [4] D.S. Freed, Classical Chern–Simons theory. Part 1, Adv. Math. 113 (1995) 237, arXiv:hep-th/9206021;
 D.S. Freed, Classical Chern–Simons theory. Part 2, Houst. J. Math. 28 (2002) 293.
- D.S. Freed, Classical Chemistal Gauge theory in higher dimensions in: The Geometric Universe
 [5] S.K. Donaldson, R.P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions, in: The Geometric Universe
- [5] S.K. Donaldson, R.P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions, in: The Geometric Universe, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [6] S.K. Donaldson, E. Segal, Gauge theory in higher dimensions II, in: N.C. Leung, S.-T. Yau (Eds.), Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol. 16, International Press, Boston, 2009, arXiv:0902.3239 [math.DG].
- [7] R.P. Thomas, Gauge theories on Calabi–Yau manifolds, PhD thesis, Oxford University, 1997;
 R.P. Thomas, A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi–Yau 3-folds and bundles of K3 fibrations, J. Differ. Geom. 54 (2000) 367.
- [8] C. Lewis, Spin(7) instantons, PhD thesis, Oxford University, 1998.
- [9] J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Kohl, B.J. Spence, Supersymmetric Yang–Mills, octonionic instantons and triholomorphic curves, Nucl. Phys. B 521 (1998) 419, arXiv:hep-th/9710082;
 H. Kanno, A note on higher dimensional instantons and supersymmetric cycles, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 135 (1999) 18, arXiv:hep-th/9903260.
- [10] G. Tian, Gauge theory and calibrated geometry, Ann. Math. 151 (2000) 193, arXiv:math/0010015;
 T. Tao, G. Tian, A singularity removal theorem for Yang–Mills fields in higher dimensions, J. Am. Math. Soc. 17 (2004) 557.
- [11] S. Brendle, Complex anti-self-dual instantons and Cayley submanifolds, arXiv:math/0302094.
- [12] H.N. Sà Earp, Instantons on G₂-manifolds, PhD thesis, Imperial College London, 2009.
- [13] A. Haydys, Gauge theory, calibrated geometry and harmonic spinors, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 86 (2012) 482, arXiv: 0902.3738 [math.DG].
- [14] T. Walpuski, G₂-instantons on generalised Kummer constructions, Geom. Topol. 17 (2013) 2345, arXiv:1109.6609 [math.DG];

A. Clarke, Instantons on the exceptional holonomy manifolds of Bryant and Salamon, J. Geom. Phys. 82 (2014) 84, arXiv:1308.6358 [math.DG];

H.N. Sà Earp, Generalised Chern–Simons theory and G_2 -instantons over associative fibrations, SIGMA 10 (2014) 083, arXiv:1401.5462 [math.DG].

- [15] S.M. Salamon, Riemannian Geometry and Holonomy Groups, Pitman Res. Notes Math., vol. 201, Longman, Harlow, 1989.
- [16] D. Joyce, Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [17] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, First order equations for gauge fields in spaces of dimension greater than four, Nucl. Phys. B 214 (1983) 452.
- [18] R.S. Ward, Completely solvable gauge field equations in dimension greater than four, Nucl. Phys. B 236 (1984) 381.

- [19] S.K. Donaldson, Anti-self-dual Yang–Mills connections on a complex algebraic surface and stable vector bundles, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 50 (1985) 1; S.K. Donaldson, Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987) 231;
 - K.K. Uhlenbeck, S.-T. Yau, On the existence of hermitian Yang–Mills connections on stable bundles over compact Kähler manifolds, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986) 257;
- K.K. Uhlenbeck, S.-T. Yau, A note on our previous paper, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 42 (1989) 703.
- [20] M. Mamone Capria, S.M. Salamon, Yang–Mills fields on quaternionic spaces, Nonlinearity 1 (1988) 517;
 R. Reyes Carrión, A generalization of the notion of instanton, Differ. Geom. Appl. 8 (1998) 1.
- [21] T.A. Ivanova, A.D. Popov, (Anti)self-dual gauge fields in dimension d≥4, Theor. Math. Phys. 94 (1993) 225;
 M. Günaydin, H. Nicolai, Seven-dimensional octonionic Yang–Mills instanton and its extension to an heterotic string soliton, Phys. Lett. B 351 (1995) 169.
- [22] L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, I.M. Singer, Special quantum field theories in eight and other dimensions, Commun. Math. Phys. 194 (1998) 149, arXiv:hep-th/9704167;
 M. Blau, G. Thompson, Euclidean SYM theories by time reduction and special holonomy manifolds, Phys. Lett. B 415 (1997) 242, arXiv:hep-th/9706225;
 B.S. Acharya, J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, B.J. Spence, M. O'Loughlin, Euclidean D-branes and higher dimensional gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 514 (1998) 583, arXiv:hep-th/9707118.
- [23] D. Harland, T.A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, Yang–Mills flows on nearly Kähler manifolds and G₂-instantons, Commun. Math. Phys. 300 (2010) 185, arXiv:0909.2730 [hep-th];
 K.P. Gemmer, O. Lechtenfeld, C. Nölle, A.D. Popov, Yang–Mills instantons on cones and sine-cones over nearly Kähler manifolds, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2011) 103, arXiv:1108.3951 [hep-th].
- [24] D. Harland, A.D. Popov, Yang–Mills fields in flux compactifications on homogeneous manifolds with SU(4)-structure, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2012) 107, arXiv:1005.2837 [hep-th];
 A.D. Popov, R.J. Szabo, Double quiver gauge theory and nearly Kähler flux compactifications, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2012) 033, arXiv:1009.3208 [hep-th];
 B.P. Dolan, R.J. Szabo, Solitons and Yukawa couplings in nearly Kähler flux compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 066002, arXiv:1208.1006 [hep-th].
- [25] D. Harland, C. Nölle, Instantons and Killing spinors, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2012) 082, arXiv:1109.3552 [hep-th]; T.A. Ivanova, A.D. Popov, Instantons on special holonomy manifolds, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 105012, arXiv: 1203.2657 [hep-th].
- [26] M. Wolf, Contact manifolds, contact instantons, and twistor geometry, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2012) 074, arXiv: 1203.3423 [hep-th].
- [27] S. Bunk, T.A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, M. Sperling, Instantons on sine-cones over Sasakian manifolds, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 065028, arXiv:1407.2948 [hep-th];
 S. Bunk, O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, M. Sperling, Instantons on conical half-flat 6-manifolds, arXiv:1409.0030 [hep-th].
- [28] R. Harvey, H.B. Lawson Jr., Calibrated geometries, Acta Math. 148 (1982) 47.
- [29] A.G. Sergeev, Vortices and Seiberg–Witten Equations, Nagoya Univ. Math. Lectures, Nagoya, 2002.
- [30] A.G. Sergeev, Adiabatic limit in Ginzburg–Landau and Seiberg–Witten equations, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (ISSN 0081-5438) 289 (2015), in press.
- [31] S. Dostoglou, D.A. Salamon, Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves, Ann. Math. 139 (1994) 581.
- [32] M. Bershadsky, A. Johansen, V. Sadov, C. Vafa, Topological reduction of 4-d SYM to 2-d sigma models, Nucl. Phys. B 448 (1995) 166, arXiv:hep-th/9501096.
- [33] K. Yano, M. Kon, *C*R-Submanifolds of Kählerian and Sasakian Manifolds, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.