Paper modelling of the ATLAS LVL2 trigger system

J.Bystricky¹ and J.C.Vermeulen² 4 April 2000

Abstract : An overview of results for the LVL2 pilot project system architecture on message rates, data volumes and processing capacity required, for different processing strategies and for low and high luminosity triggers is presented. The results have been obtained with the help of spreadsheet models. A complete overview of the input parameters is provided as well as a short description of the implementation of the models.

Table of Contents

1	Introd	luction	2
	1.1	Paper modelling	2
	1.2	Historical note	2
	1.3	Present paper models	2
2	Input		3
	2.1	System model	3
	2.2	Trigger menus	4
	2.3	Processing sequences and acceptance factors	5
	2.4	LVL1 trigger RoIs	7
	2.5	RoI sizes used by the LVL2 system	7
	2.6	ROB mapping	8
	2.7	Event fragment sizes	9
	2.8	Component models	.10
3	Basic	design of the spreadsheet model	.12
4	Resul	ts	.12
	4.1	LVL1 Trigger rates and RoI rates	.13
	4.2	Total RoI fragment rate, RoI data volume and data volume to EB	.15
	4.3	Results for the ROBs	.18
	4.4	Farm size, dependence on execution times, task switching times	.32
5	Discu	ssion	.34
	5.1	Choices made for parameters and models	.34
	5.2	Consequences of different architecture choices	.34
6	Concl	usions	.34
	Refer	ences	.35
	Apper ROBs	ndix A. Procedure for computing RoI request rates and the average number of per RoI	.36
	Apper	ndix B. ROB mapping and RoI request rate fraction per ROBIn	.36

^{1.} DAPNIA, CEA Saclay, France

^{2.} NIKHEF, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

1 Introduction

1.1 Paper modelling

For analysis of consequences of different possible design choices for the Atlas LVL2 system, "back of the envelope" calculations give a first approximation on number of messages per second to be handled and link bandwidth required at various places in the system, on number of processors needed, etc. Although the calculations are simple, the amount of numbers to deal with makes some form of bookkeeping necessary. This note presents results from "paper models" implemented in Microsoft Excel. The basic model dealt with is the model of the LVL2 pilot project. Trigger menus, selection strategies, mapping of the ROBs, component models, the system model and all other relevant input to the model are documented in this note together with results obtained.

1.2 Historical note

Paper modelling of the ATLAS trigger system started at Saclay [1]. This work prompted the development of spreadsheet models at RHUL and later at NIKHEF [2]. The spreadsheet developed at NIKHEF [3] has continued to evolve and was adapted to new models and new parameters. It does not make use of macros and is therefore calculating much faster than the RHUL version. However, it does not have the possibility of the RHUL version to compute the decision time for sequential processing (neglecting the effect of message queueing). It was used, modified, and partially simplified in Saclay to study the so called "pull" architecture [4]. A new spreadsheet model introducing a more sophisticated sequential processing strategy ("fully sequential processing") was recently developed at Saclay [5]. This processing strategy is now also included in the NIKHEF variant of the spreadsheet, but only, if relevant, for trigger items with relatively high rates. In the Saclay and NIKHEF variants different assumptions are made with respect to grouping ROBIns in a ROB Complex.

1.3 Present paper models

Results in the present version of this document have been obtained with the current NIKHEF [3] and Saclay [5] versions of the spreadsheet. The results of both variants are in agreement for all results where the grouping of ROBs does not matter and if the differences with respect to the more sophisticated sequential processing strategy are taken into account. Many of the results in the present version of this document have been checked against results from the computer model [6]. This made it possible to find a number of problem areas. After solving the problems in all cases excellent agreement has been found between computer and paper model results.

2 Input

2.1 System model

An overview of the system architecture and the data streams is presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1. System architecture : baseline model.

Data from the subdetector RODs (not shown in the figure) are transferred to the ROBIns through Read Out Links (ROLs), each ROBIn servicing one ROL. The total number of ROBIns is 1530. A number of ROBIns (typically 1 - 4) connected to a single ROBOut (also referred to as RSI for ROB-Switch Interface) form a *ROB Complex*. Every ROBOut has an individual link to the LVL2 network, the Event Builder (EB) network is connected either to the ROBOuts or to the ROBIns. The LVL2 *Supervisor* receives RoI information from the LVL1 system, assigns a LVL2 processor to each event and sends it the RoI information. From the LVL2 processors it receives back the trigger decisions, forms blocks of decisions and sends (broadcasts) these blocks to all ROB Complexes. The LVL2 *Processors* each have an individual network connection. In principle a number of LVL2 processors could share a single network connection, but this possibility was not considered in the present model. All the control messages and all of the data transit through a single bi-directional *switching network*.

The event building and processing in the Event Filter is ignored in the baseline model, apart from the output requirements for the ROB Complexes with respect to data to be sent to the Event Builder.

2.2 Trigger menus

Two trigger menus are used, a low luminosity menu and a high luminosity menu. These menus consist of a number of trigger items defining the LVL1 RoIs together with exclusive rates and are specified in [7]. For completeness the menus are also shown in Table 1 and Table 2. For both menus the LVL1 trigger rate is approximately 40 kHz. Results have also been obtained for a LVL1 trigger rate of 75 kHz. In that case the exclusive rates for each item are scaled, with the exception of the rates associated with the B-physics trigger (the scan of the TRT data and associated analysis of data from the SCT and pixels), and the accept rates. The rates of these items are kept constant. In reality a change in LVL1 rate from 40 to 75 kHz would imply changes in some thresholds and not a simple rate scaling. The present approach is motivated by uncertainties in the relevant rates.

Item #	Item composition	Freq (Hz)	Item #	Item composition	Freq (Hz)
1	MU6	23000	29	2*J180 + 3*J55	3
2	2*MU6	1000	30	TAU20 + XE30	1340
3	MU6 + EM15I	60	31	2*TAU20 + XE30	320
4	MU6 + EM20I	24	32	3*TAU20 + XE30	110
5	MU6 + 2*EM15I	2.3	33	4*TAU20 + XE30	4
6	MU6 + J180	1.3	34	5*TAU20 + XE30	2
7	MU6 + 3*J75	2.8	35	J50 + XE50	148
8	MU6 + 4*J55	2.8	36	2*J50 + XE50	31
9	EM20I	11500	37	3*J50 + XE50	10
10	2*EM15I	1600	38	4*J50 + TAU20 + XE30	16
11	EM20I + 4*J55	68	39	4*J50 + 2*TAU20 + XE30	7
12	2*EM15 + 4*J55	180	40	4*J50 + 3*TAU20 + XE30	7
13	J180	25	41	5*J50 + TAU20 + XE30	3
14	2*J180	42	42	5*J50 + 2*TAU20 + XE30	2
15	3*J180	4	43	J50 + TAU20 + XE50	100
16	3*J75	107	44	2*J50 + TAU20 + XE50	45
17	4*J75	10	45	3*J50 + TAU20 + XE50	15
18	5*J75	1	46	4*J50 + TAU20 + XE50	4
19	4*J55	131	47	J50 + 2*TAU20 + XE50	18
20	5*J55	17	48	2*J50 + 2*TAU20 + XE50	8
21	6*J55	3	49	3*J50 + 2*TAU20 + XE50	4
22	J180 + 2*J75	63	50	4*J50 + 2*TAU20 + XE50	2
23	J180 + 3*J75	15	51	J50 + 3*TAU20 + XE50	2
24	J180 + 4*J75	7	52	2*J50 + 3*TAU20 + XE50	1
25	J180 + 3*J55	28	53	3*J50 + 3*TAU20 + XE50	2
26	J180 + 4*J55	7	54	4*J50 + 3*TAU20 + XE50	2
27	J180 + 5*J55	2	55	5*J50 + 3*TAU20 + XE50	1
28	2*J180 + 2*J55	8			

The distributions describing the probability for finding an RoI within certain eta and phi intervals are assumed to be flat, i.e. independent of the eta and phi position of these intervals.

Table 1. Low luminosity menu. The accept fraction is 5% of the LVL1 rate of 40.12 kHz.

Item #	Item composition	Freq (Hz)		Item #	Item composition	Freq (Hz)
1	MU20	3900	Ī	22	TAU60 + XE60	910
2	2*MU20	300	Ī	23	2*TAU60 + XE60	48
3	2*MU6	4000	Ī	24	3*TAU60 + XE60	3
4	EM30I	24300	Ī	25	J100 + XE100	166
5	2*EM20I	4900	Ī	26	2*J100 + XE100	54
6	J290	47	Ī	27	3*J100 + XE100	10
7	2*J290	49	Ī	28	4*J100 + XE100	10
8	3*J290	2	Ī	29	4*J100 + TAU60 + XE60	2
9	3*J130	130	Ī	30	4*J100 + 2*TAU60 + XE60	2
10	4*J130	8	Ī	31	4*J100 + 3*TAU60 + XE60	1.2
11	5*J130	1	Ī	32	5*J100 + TAU60 + XE60	2.2
12	4*J90	141		33	5*J100 + 2*TAU60 + XE60	2.2
13	5*J90	15		34	5*J100 + 3*TAU60 + XE60	1.4
14	6 *J90	5	Ī	35	J100 + TAU60 + XE100	142
15	J290 + 2*J130	52	Ī	36	2*J100 + TAU60 + XE100	74
16	J290 + 3*J130	8	Ī	37	3*J100 + TAU60 + XE100	10
17	J290 + 4*J130	1	Ī	38	4*J100 + TAU60 + XE100	16
18	J290 + 3*J90	27	Ī	39	J100 + 2*TAU60 + XE100	10
19	J290 + 4*J90	5	Ī	40	2*J100 + 2*TAU60 + XE100	10
20	2*J290 + 2*J90	9	Ì	41	3*J100 + 2*TAU60 + XE100	10
21	2*J290 + 3*J90	1		42	4*J100 + 2*TAU60 + XE100	9

Table 2. High luminosity menu. The accept fraction is 5 % of the LVL1 rate of 39.39 kHz.

2.3 Processing sequences and acceptance factors

For each trigger item of a trigger menu the processing strategy has to be determined. The most straightforward strategy is to request from the ROBs all data associated with the LVL1 RoI(s). However, the bandwidth and processing requirements may be reduced considerably if the data is analyzed in steps and for each step the data needed for that step is requested. After each step an accept or reject decision is taken. In the present note two variants of this trigger strategy are distinguished :

- In the *sequential strategy* all data required from the electromagnetic calorimeter is requested in one step. RoIs are handled independent of each other. However, for low luminosity the TRT scan depends on validation of at least one muon RoI.
- In the *fully sequential strategy* the data required from the electromagnetic calorimeter is requested per layer and processed sequentially for em and for muon RoIs. This is possible as each ROB receives a part of a layer. Furthermore the processing of data from a RoI may depend on the processing of data from another RoI (e.g. an event triggered as item 10 of the low luminosity menu from Table 1 may be rejected if the first EM15I RoI is not confirmed, as there is no trigger item with a single EM15I RoI, so that there is no need to confirm the second Em15I RoI).

The acceptance factors with respect to LVL1 rates and processing sequences used in the present paper models for single RoIs in sequential and fully sequential strategies are listed in Table 3 for both low (10^{33}) and high (10^{34}) luminosities. The hadron RoI steps apply to TAU menu items.

RoI type	Data from	Action	Accepted	Comment
Muon	muon detectors	LVL1 confirmation	75 %	
	inner detector	track matching	40 %	at low L: accepted for B-trigger
	e.m. layer 2, HAC	isolation	20 %	used in fully sequential strategies
	calorimeter	isolation	4 %	not if B-trigger is run
e/gamma	e.m. layer 2	LVL1 confirmation	50 %	used in fully sequential strategies
low L	e.m. layer 1	and refinement	18 %	
e/gamma	e.m. calorimeter	LVL1 confirmation	16 %	
low L	hadron calorimeter	and refinement	13.5 %	
	inner detector	track find and match	1.8 %	electron candidates
			1.6 %	gamma candidates
e/gamma	e.m. layer 2	LVL1 confirmation	50 %	used in fully sequential strategies
high L	e.m. layer 1	and refinement	20 %	
e/gamma	e.m. calorimeter	LVL1 confirmation	18.3 %	
high L	hadron calorimeter	and refinement	16.7 %	
	inner detector	track find and match	2.6 %	electron candidates
			1.5 %	gamma candidates
jet	calorimeters	LVL1 confirmation	100 %	the worst case is considered
hadron	calorimeters	LVL1 confirmation	20 %	
	inner detector	track find and match	8 %	

 Table 3. Processing steps and acceptance factors

For the low luminosity B-physics trigger, if a muon is confirmed, the data from the TRT is scanned, followed by track finding in the SCT/Pixel detectors. The following processing sequence is assumed :

- All data of the TRT, SCT and pixels is requested¹ after validation of at least one muon RoI (probability : 40 % per LVL1 muon RoI).
- The scan generates two muon RoIs for which data from the muon detectors and the calorimeters are requested. The eta-phi extent of these RoIs could be smaller than for LVL1 muon RoIs, in view of the spreadsheet and computer programs available however, the size of LVL1 muon RoIs is used.

The missing energy is not recalculated by the LVL2 system.

^{1.} Due to a large number of generated RoIs and a relatively small number of ROBs, sending individual SCT and pixel RoIs may generate more network traffic. It would also require an extra input to the model, namely the probability distribution describing the number of RoIs generated by the scan of TRT data.

The confirmation of individual RoIs follows the description given in Table 3. For "sequential processing" all RoIs are treated individually with the exception of requesting all data from the inner tracker for the low luminosity B-physics trigger, which depends on the validation of a muon RoI. In the case of the "fully sequential strategy" a step is performed only if there is a chance to end up with a valid trigger item. A similar rule applies if several RoIs of the same kind are present. In the NIKHEF spreadsheet these rules are applied for low luminosity to trigger item 10 (2 * EM15I) only and for high luminosity to trigger items 2 (2 * MU 6) and 5 (2 * EM20I). In the Saclay spreadsheet all items to which the rules apply are taken into account for the "fully sequential strategy". In the calculation of the average number of steps per event with the NIKHEF spreadsheet it is assumed that a processing step is started as soon as this processing step is no longer depending on acceptance of previous steps.

2.4 LVL1 trigger RoIs

The LVL1 information indicates the type of RoI, a location in $\eta x \phi$ space (if applicable) and the energy or momentum threshold applied. For the types of RoI and thresholds used see the trigger menus (Table 1 and Table 2). The $\eta x \phi$ locations and sizes of the e/gamma, jet and hadron (TAU) RoIs are the same as those for LVL2 and they are defined in the next section (see Table 5).

The information on the muon RoIs needs to be clarified. The current assumption is not completely up-to-date. The LVL1 RoIs are non-overlapping and for each η -interval, all the ϕ -intervals have the same size. Table 4 gives limits of positive η -intervals and for each of them the number of corresponding ϕ -intervals. For negative η the same table applies with all η values taken with the opposite sign.

Number of φ -intervals		η-interval limits						
48		0 0.	1 0.2 0.3	0.4 0.5	0.6 0.7	0.8 0.9 1	1.0346	
192	1.0346 1.2104 1.4239 1.5822	1.0387 1.2403 1.4440 1.6051 1.7898	1.0600 1.2699 1.4634 1.6289 1.8169	1.0821 1.2989 1.4821 1.6538 1.8435	1.1050 1.3274 1.5007 1.6797 1.8695	1.1289 1.3545 1.5198 1.7066 1.8948	1.1544 1.3797 1.5397 1.7346 1.9178	1.1815 1.4029 1.5604 1.7624
96	1.9178 2.1550	1.9356 2.1881	1.9675 2.2221	1.9990 2.2565 2.4	2.0302 2.2907 250	2.0608 2.3248	2.0914 2.3586	2.1228 2.3920

Table 4. Muon LVL1 RoI limits

2.5 RoI sizes used by the LVL2 system

The **muon LVL2 RoIs** are defined with respect to the LVL1 RoI minimum and maximum values of η and ϕ which are given in the previous section.

• muon spectrometer :

$$\begin{split} \eta_{min}(\textit{RoI}) &- 0.1 < \eta < \eta_{max}(\textit{RoI}) + 0.1, \\ \phi_{min}(\textit{RoI}) &< \phi < \phi_{max}(\textit{RoI}) \end{split}$$

• Pixels and SCT :

$$\begin{split} \eta_{min}(RoI) &- 0.1 < \eta < \eta_{max}(RoI) + 0.1, \\ \phi_{min}(RoI) &- 0.06666 < \phi < \phi_{max}(RoI) + 0.06666 \end{split}$$

• TRT and calorimeters :

$$\begin{split} \eta_{min}(RoI) &= 0.15 < \eta < \eta_{max}(RoI) + 0.15, \\ \phi_{min}(RoI) &= 0.13333 < \phi < \phi_{max}(RoI) + 0.13333 \end{split}$$

The other RoI sizes and η intervals are summarized in Table 5. The ϕ -sizes are specified in units of $\pi/3.2$ and the overall ϕ -interval extends always from 0 to 2π . The step size with which the RoI window is sliding is the same in both η and ϕ .

RoI type	Detector	η x φ sizes	η _{min}	η _{max}	Sliding
e/gamma	calorimeters	0.4 x 0.4	-2.5	2.5	0.1
	inner detector	0.2 x 0.2	-2.4	2.4	0.1
jet	calorimeters	0.8 x 0.8	-3.2	3.2	0.2
tau	calorimeters	0.4 x 0.4	-2.5	2.5	0.1
	inner detector	0.2 x 0.2	-2.4	2.4	0.1

Table 5. LVL2 RoI sizes

2.6 ROB mapping

The mapping of the detectors onto the ROBIns, in combination with the probabilities for the RoIs to point in a certain direction (assumed to be independent of the direction, see Section 2.2), determines the RoI request rate. The mapping of subdetectors to ROBIns is described in [8]. This information is also summarized in Appendix B, together with graphical results on the hit probability per ROBIn for each subdetector and for relevant RoI types. These results were calculated by a program of the type described in Appendix A. Table 6 shows the mean numbers of ROBIns hit by each type of RoI. The mean numbers of ROBOuts hit for 1, 2, 4 and 8 ROBIns per ROBOut per layer for the electromagnetic calorimeter and for the hadron calorimeter are given in Table 7. The maximum numbers of ROBOuts hit by an RoI are shown as well. Note that results for the ROBOuts depend on how the ROBIns are grouped together. For em and jet RoIs results for a more or less optimized grouping as used in the Saclay spreadsheet are shown, together with results for grouping the ROBIns in the order implied by the specification of the mapping in the appendix.

RoI type	mu prec	mu trig	total em	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels
muon	2.30	1.22	13.8	5.13	5.77	3.32	4.59
e/gamma			13.17	4.85	3.30	3.27	4.40
jet			25.00	8.90			

Table 6. Average number of ROBIns per RoI

	ROBIn	em pres	sampler	em	front	em n	niddle	em	back	hadr	onic
RoI type	per ROBOut	aver.	max.	aver.	max.	aver.	max.	aver.	max.	aver.	max.
muon	1	1.72	6	5.17	11	3.92	10	2.99	10	5.13	13
	2	1.43	6	3.83	10	3.35	10	2.58	6	3.72	11
	4	1.28	4	3.33	10	3.06	6	2.03	4	2.87	7
	8	1.08	4	2.95	6	2.50	6	1.71	4	2.02	5
e/gamma	1	1.80	6	4.76	11	3.74	10	2.87	8	4.85	13
	2	1.47 1.47	6 6	3.33 <i>3.66</i>	8 10	2.66 <i>3.15</i>	6 8	2.10 2.44	5 5	3.07 <i>3.48</i>	9 11
	4	1.29 1.29	4 4	2.63 <i>3.15</i>	7 8	2.11 2.87	6 6	1.75 <i>1.92</i>	4 4	2.10 2.71	6 7
	8	1.09 1.09	4 4	2.20 2.78	6 6	1.75 2.34	4 4	1.45 1.63	4 4	1.64 <i>1.93</i>	4 5
jet	1	2.66	7	9.98	18	7.40	17	4.97	13	8.90	17
	2	1.98 1.98	6 6	6.33 7.03	13 <i>17</i>	4.75 5.74	11 16	3.41 <i>3</i> .88	7 8	4.85 6.10	10 13
	4	1.60 1.60	4 4	4.65 5.52	10 15	3.29 4.75	8 9	2.51 2.85	6 5	3.17 4.24	7 8
	8	1.25 1.25	4 4	3.40 <i>4.43</i>	8 10	2.40 2.34	4 7	1.86 2.17	4 4	2.49 2.77	4 5

Table 7. Average and maximum number of ROBOuts hit by different types of RoIs. Italic numbers refer to grouping in the order as implied by the specification of the ROB mapping in the appendix.

2.7 Event fragment sizes

The average sizes of the data fragments requested for an RoI from the RoI-related ROBIns of each subdetector are listed in Table 8.

	Muon Precision	Muon Trigger	Calorimeters	TRT	SCT	Pixels
Low luminosity	800	380	1800	750	250	80
after pre-processing			1024	300		
High luminosity	800	380	1800	1000	1600	800
after pre-processing			1024			

Table 8. Average raw data fragment size in bytes

To each raw data fragment a 32 byte header is added.

It is assumed there is no variation in the fragment sizes for a single subdetector. This will not be true in reality : the number of data channels supplying data to a single ROB may be different for different ROBs, while for subdetectors other than the calorimeter there will also be dynamic variations in the fragment sizes. The fragment size for the TRT at low luminosity may be reduced by pre-processing in the ROBs by applying lossless zero-suppression optimized for low luminosity running [9]. The resulting data can also be sent to the Event Builder. The fragment size for the calorimeters after pre-processing is the size as obtained by selecting only the block with energies [10]. For event building the full data has to be sent.

A slightly more sophisticated pre-processing of the calorimeter data consists of selecting from each ROBIn only the RoI-related subsets of data. Table 9 shows the mean factor by which 1 kByte calorimeter fragments (see Table 8) are reduced for different calorimeter layers. The numbers depend on the shape of the eta-phi intervals covered by the ROBIns.

RoI type	Presampler	Front layer	Middle layer	Back layer	Hadronic
e/gamma	7.20	2.38	3.74	5.74	25.87
jet	2.66	1.25	1.85	2.49	11.87

Table 9. Calorimeter fragment size reduction factors if only RoI-related data is selected

2.8 Component models

The component models and parameters follow the decisions of the Amsterdam modelling meeting of January 1999 [11].

2.8.1 Processing time

The processing times for different steps are taken from [12], but scaled to 1000 MIPS, i.e. reduced with a factor of 2. The total processing time is considered to include an operating system overhead of 10 %, therefore all nominal processing times were increased by 11.1111 %. This does not concern the context switching and the I/O overheads which are both supposed to be 10 μ s. The I/O overheads are added for each input or output operation except for the event data arriving via the Read-Out Links in the ROBIns. For calculating the time needed for data fragment merging it is assumed that the merging speed is 80 MByte/s.

2.8.2 ROB Complex

A ROB Complex consists of a number of ROBIns connected to a single ROBOut. In the model, each ROBIn has a direct connection to the ROBOut (i.e. the ROBIns and ROBOut do not share a bus). These connections transfer data with a speed of 80 MByte/s.

A ROBIn has to perform indexing for each event fragment received via a ROL, and to handle RoI requests and decision blocks. The execution times for different types of processing by a ROBin are summarized in Table 10.

ROBIn processing	Nominal time	Total time
Indexing per LVL1 trigger	5 µs	5.0 µs
RoI request handling	10 µs	11.111 µs
Decision block processing per block	50 µs	55.555 μs
Decision handling per decision	1 µs	1.1111 µs

Table 10. Execution times for ROBIn processing

A ROBOut fans out RoI requests and decision blocks to the ROBIns connected to it. The associated execution times do not depend on the number of ROBIns, but I/O overheads are added for each input or output operation. No data pre-processing time is considered. The processing times for a ROBOut are given in Table 11.

ROBOut processing	Nominal time	Total time
Fanning out an RoI request	10 µs	11.111 μs
Decision block distribution	10 µs	11.111 μs

Table 11. Execution times for ROBOut processing

In the model event data is transmitted directly to interfaces to the Event Builder by the ROBIns after receiving a LVL2 accept decision. These interfaces are not part of the current model. However, optionally the accepted event data can be passed via the ROBOuts, providing results on the consequences for input and output message rates, data volumes and required processing capacity of the ROBOuts.

2.8.3 Switch model

The structure of the switch is not important for the paper model, as long as multi-casting of messages is possible and as long as data can be passed between any two ports. The grouping factor for the calorimeter and muon detector ROB Complexes is based on a network link speed of 15 MByte/s.

2.8.4 Supervisor

The supervisor consists of independent RoI processors. There is no "steward" handling decisions and processor assignment as in earlier designs. Assignment of events to one of the processors in the LVL2 farm is done by each RoI processor independently. A LVL2 decision is sent from the LVL2 processor to the RoI processor which assigned the LVL2 processor. Decision blocks are sent by the RoI processor to the ROBs as a multicast message (i.e. the switch broadcasts the decision block to all ROBIns and ROBOuts connected to it). The size of the decision blocks is determined by the requirement that there is on average one accept message per block at nominal LVL1 rate, i.e with 5 % accept fraction each block will contain 20 decisions. Therefore a block-ing factor of 20 has been used in all calculations.

2.8.5 LVL2 processors

The LVL2 processors take care of RoI request formulation, of preparation of input for feature extraction and performing feature extraction and of global processing and "steering" in the sense of the reference software. Table 12 contains an overview of the nominal feature extraction nominal processing times (the total time is obtained again by multiplying with a factor 1.11111), Table 13 an overview of other processing times.

Detector	em/had RoI	jet RoI	mu RoI	scan
Pixels & SCT	250 µs		250 µs	20 ms
TRT	155 µs		295 µs	25 ms
Total calorimeters middle e.m. front e.m. back & presampler hadronic	50 μs 20 μs 10 μs 10 μs 10 μs	50 μs	50 μs 20 μs 10 μs 10 μs 10 μs	
Muon detector			50 µs	

Table 12. Feature extraction nominal processing times

LVL2 processing	Nominal time	Total time
RoI request Formulation : per RoI	10 µs	11.111 μs
Decision processing	5 µs	5.555 µs
Global step	50 µs	55.555 μs

Table 13. Execution times for processing steps other than feature extraction

3 Basic design of the spreadsheet model

In principle it is possible to compute quantities of interest like e.g. the input rate to all feature extractor processors from the sum of the contributions of the various individual trigger items. Only for computing latencies (neglecting the effect of queueing) this approach is necessary, as the composition of each trigger item, in combination with the properties of the LVL2 system, determines what the average latency will be. However, for computing rates and data volumes only the rates and the data volumes associated with different trigger objects do matter (recall that a trigger item is composed of one or more trigger objects). Only the rates depend directly on the trigger menu, the data volumes depend in turn on the rates for different trigger objects. Hence the analysis can be based on the rates for muon RoIs, em RoIs, hadron RoIs, jet RoIs and TRT scan RoIs. A sequential model can be studied by adapting the rates for different RoI types and by introducing different rates for each RoI type and for each detector. For example, in a non-sequential trigger the muon RoI rate may cause an identical RoI rate for the e.m. calorimeter, the hadron calorimeter, the SCT and the TRT. A model in which all muon RoIs are analyzed in the muon detector, but where only a certain fraction of the muon RoIs will be analyzed in the other detectors, can be studied by setting the RoI rates for the other detectors to the value equal to that fraction of the muon RoI rate.

It is assumed that there is no correlation between the positions of different RoIs. A further assumption is that the probability for a RoI to occur at a certain position is only determined by the area from which data is used by the LVL1 trigger to establish the RoI (recall that only a limited number of discrete positions are possible for a RoI provided by the LVL1 trigger).

The calculation procedure is now as follows : first the RoI rates are derived from the appropriate trigger menu. Then for each RoI type and each subdetector all quantities of interest are calculated, making use of the RoI rates, of the event fragment sizes listed in Table 8 and of the average and maximum and minimum number of ROBs per RoI per subdetector, obtained from information on the mapping of the detector. Finally, if required, appropriate sums are formed per subdetector or per RoI type or globally.

4 Results

Results have been obtained for the non-sequential strategy, which requests for each menu item all available RoI data, and for the sequential and fully sequential processing strategies described in Section 2.3

4.1 RoI rates, average number of ROBIns per event

See Figure 2, Figure 3, Table 14 and Table 15 for the RoI rates. The "LVL1 RoI rate" refers to the RoI rate as generated by the LVL1 trigger, the total LVL1 rate in the tables is the sum of the rates of the em, hadron, jet and mu RoIs. The average number of sequential / approximately fully sequential (see Section 2.3) steps is 2.07 / 2.29 for low luminosity and 1.59 / 2.09 for high luminosity. For non-sequential processing the RoI rates for the subdetectors involved are the same as the LVL1 RoI rate, with the exception of non-sequential processing at 75 kHz LVL1 rate where the LVL1 muon rate is reduced to the rate for 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate so that the B-physics trigger runs for both LVL1 rates at the same rate.



Figure 2. RoI rates (kHz), low luminosity, 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing

RoI type ->	em	hadron	mu	jet	Emiss	scan	Total
		40.1	kHz LVL1	rate			
muon detectors	0.0	0.0	44.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.8
em calorimeter	15.2 / 13.6	2.7	19.8	3.4	0.0	0.0	41.1 / 39.5
hadron calorimeter	2.4 / 2.2	2.7	19.8	3.4	0.0	0.0	28.3 / 28.0
TRT, SCT,Pixels	2.1 / 1.8	0.5	18.8	0.0	0.0	9.9	31.3 / 31.1
LVL1 RoI rate	15.2	2.7	25.1	3.4	2.2*	24.1**	46.4
		75	kHz LVL1	rate			
muon detectors	0.0	0.0	66.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.6
em calorimeter	28.4 / 25.5	5.0	19.8	6.4	0.0	0.0	59.6 / 56.6
hadron calorimeter	4.5 / 4.1	5.0	19.8	6.4	0.0	0.0	35.7 / 35.2
TRT, SCT, Pixels	3.8 / 3.4	1.0	35.2	0.0	0.0	9.9	33.5 / 33.1
LVL1 RoI rate	28.4	5.0	46.9	6.4	4.2*	45.0**	86.7

Table 14. RoI rates (kHz), low luminosity, sequential / approximately fully sequentialprocessing*rate not included in the total LVL1 RoI rate** rate of events with at least a single muon RoI,this rate is not included in the total LVL1 RoI rate



Figure 3. RoI rates (kHz), high luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing

RoI type ->	em	hadron	mu	jet	Emiss	Total
		39.4 kHz I	LVL1 rate			
muon detectors	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5
em calorimeter	34.1 / 29.3	1.4	5.0	2.5	0.0	42.9 / 36.6
hadron calorimeter	6.2 / 5.4	1.4	5.0	2.5	0.0	15.1 / 12.7
TRT, SCT, Pixels	5.7 / 4.9	0.3	9.4	0.0	0.0	15.3 / 11.7
LVL1 RoI rate	34.1	1.4	12.5	2.5	1.5*	50.4
		75 kHz L	VL1 rate			
muon detectors	0.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0	23.8
em calorimeter	64.9 / 55.8	2.6	9.5	4.7	0.0	81.8 / 69.7
hadron calorimeter	11.9 / 10.2	2.6	9.5	4.7	0.0	28.7 / 24.1
TRT, SCT, Pixels	10.8 / 9.3	0.5	17.8	0.0	0.0	29.2 / 22.2
LVL1 RoI rate	64.9	2.6	23.8	4.7	2.8*	96.0

*Table 15. RoI rates (kHz), high luminosity, sequential / approximately fully sequential processing *rate not included in the total LVL1 RoI rate*

The average per event of the number of ROBIns receiving a RoI request can be calculated from the average number of RoIs per event and the average number of ROBIns per RoI. Table 16 contains results for this quantity. For low luminosity running B-physics triggering is assumed, the fixed frequency for the B-physics trigger causes the dependendence on the LVL1 rate.

Processing strategy	Low lumi, 40.1 kHz	Low lumi, 75 kHz	High luminosity
non-sequential	934	507	39.7
sequential	136	81.5	23.4
approximately fully sequential	133	78.8	14.4

Table 16. Average per event of the number of ROBIns receiving a RoI request

4.2 Total RoI fragment rate, RoI data volume and data volume to EB

The results for the total RoI fragment rates presented in this section are sensitive for the grouping of ROBIns in the ROB Complexes. This is due to the merging of event fragments in the ROBOuts. The grouping shown in Figure 1 is assumed, i.e. 4 ROBIns per ROBOut for the muon detector and 2 ROBIns per ROBOut for the calorimeters.



4.2.1 Low luminosity

Figure 4. Low luminosity, 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger. The RoI fragment rate is the total rate into the LVL2 processors

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em calorimeter	had calorimeter	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total
			40.1 kHz LV	L1 rate, B-physics				
non- sequential	4625.9 1156.5	1156.5 289.1	18632.0 <i>9410.9</i> ¹	2478.4 1263.7 ¹	6226.9	2275.2	2102.6	37497.4 22724.9
sequential	103.3 <i>103.3</i>	54.6 54.6	593.8 476.6 ¹	156.5 112.0 ¹	2645.8	979.8	927.4	5461.2 5299.5
fully sequential	103.3 <i>103.3</i>	54.6 54.6	484.2 488.4 ² 368.4 393.7 ^{1,2}	$\begin{array}{c} 154.2 \ 155.3^2 \\ 104.4 \ 111.2^{1,2} \end{array}$	2644.5	978.9	926.3	5346.0 5180.4
			40.1 kHz L	VL1 rate, no B-ph	ysics			
non- sequential	57.8 57.8	30.6 <i>30.6</i>	667.5 536.3 ¹	246.0 176.4 ¹	203.9	142.0	193.8	1541.5 <i>1340.9</i>
sequential	57.8 57.8	30.6 <i>30.6</i>	459.7 367.8 ¹	106.7 75.9 ¹	117.2	71.1	97.7	940.7 <i>818.0</i>
fully sequential	57.8 57.8	30.6 <i>30.6</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 300.5 \ 304.7^2 \\ 219.1 \ 242.9^{1,2} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 104.4 \ 105.5^2 \\ 68.2 \ 75.1^{1,2} \end{array} $	115.9	70.2	96.6	776.0 658.4

Table 17. Low luminosity, total RoI fragment rate (kHz) from the ROBIns and into the LVL2 processors (italic numbers) if ROBOuts merge RoI fragments. ¹) grouping in the order as implied by the specification of the ROB mapping in the appendix, ²) approximately fully sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em calorimeter	had calorimeter	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total				
	75 kHz LVL1 rate, B-physics											
non- sequential	4625.9 1156.5	1156.5 289.1	18910.8 9632.6 ¹	2580.2 1335.9 ¹	6278.1	2326.0	2171.0	38048.5 23189.3				
sequential	153.5 <i>153.5</i>	81.1 <i>81.1</i>	872.6 698.3 ¹	204.5 145.6 ¹	2747.5	1041.4	1012.2	6112.8 5879.7				
fully sequential	153.5 <i>153.5</i>	81.1 <i>81.1</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 668.2 \ 675.7^2 \\ 496.0 \ 541.2^{1,2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200.2 \ 202.2^2 \\ 131.3 \ 144.0^{1,2} \end{array}$	2745.3	1039.9	1010.4	5898.7 5657.6				

Table 17. Low luminosity, total RoI fragment rate (kHz) from the ROBIns and into the LVL2 processors (italic numbers) if ROBOuts merge RoI fragments. ¹) grouping in the order as implied by the specification of the ROB mapping in the appendix, ²) approximately fully sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em calorimeter	had calorimeter	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total
			40.1 kHz LV	L1 rate, B-physics				_
non- sequential	3848.7	476.5	34133.8 <i>19675.4</i>	4540.4 2617.2	4869.4 2067.3	641.6	235.5	48746.0 29562.2
sequential	86.0	22.5	1087.8 627.0	286.8 165.3	2069.0 878.4	276.3	103.9	3932.2 2159.4
fully sequential	86.0	22.5	887.1 894.7 ¹ 511.3 515.7 ¹	282.5 284.5 ¹ 162.8 164.0 ¹	2068.4 <i>878.1</i>	276.1	103.7	3726.2 2040.6
			40.1 kHz L	VL1 rate, no B-ph	ysics			
non- sequential	48.1	12.6	1222.8 704.8	450.6 259.7	159.4 67.7	40.1	21.7	1955.3 <i>1154.7</i>
sequential	48.1	12.6	842.1 <i>485.4</i>	195.5 112.7	91.6 <i>38.9</i>	20.0	10.9	1220.9 728.7
fully sequential	48.1	12.6	550.6 558.2^{1} 317.4 321.8^{1}	$\begin{array}{c} 191.2 \ 193.2^1 \\ 110.2 \ 111.4^1 \end{array}$	91.0 38.6	19.8	10.8	924.1 557.5
			75 kHz LVL	1 rate, B-physics				
non- sequential	3848.7	476.5	34644.6 <i>199</i> 69.8	4726.9 2724.7	4909.5 2084.3	655.9	243.2	49505.3 <i>30003.1</i>
sequential	127.7	33.4	1598.6 <i>921.5</i>	374.6 215.9	2148.6 <i>912.2</i>	293.7	113.4	4689.9 2617.8
fully sequential	127.7	33.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1224.1 \ 1237.8^{1} \\ 705.6 \ 713.5^{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 366.7 \ 370.4^1 \\ 211.4 \ 213.5^1 \end{array}$	2147.5 911.7	293.3	113.1	4305.9 2396.3

Table 18. Low luminosity, total RoI data volume (MByte/s) with and without pre-processing (italic numbers) transferred to the LVL2 processors. ¹) *approximately fully sequential processing*

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total
	320.7	39.7	2795.0	360.4	401.9 <i>170.6</i>	52.1	18.9	3988.6 <i>3757.3</i>

Table 19. Low luminosity, total data volume to the Event Builder (MByte/s) with and without pre-processing (italic numbers)

4.2.2 High luminosity



Figure 5. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing. The RoI fragment rate is the total rate into the LVL2 processors

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em calorimeter	had calorimeter	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total
			39.4 kH	lz LVL1 rate				
non- sequential	28.8 28.8	15.2 15.2	701.7 566.2 ¹	258.3 185.1 ¹	189.1	157.7	213.4	1564.3 <i>1355.5</i>
sequential	28.8 28.8	15.2 15.2	598.2 482.2 ¹	84.6 60.2 ¹	73.8	50.7	69.3	920.6 780.2
fully sequential	20.0 20.0	10.5 10.5	317.6 317.7 ² 226.6 255.0 ^{1,2}	72.5 72.5 ² 45.6 51.4 ^{1,2}	54.4	38.5	52.5	566.0 448.1
			75 kHz	z LVL1 rate				
non- sequential	54.8 54.8	29.0 29.0	1335.9 1077.9 ¹	491.8 352.4 ¹	360.0	300.2	406.4	2978.1 2580.7
sequential	54.8 54.8	29.0 29.0	1138.9 918.1 ¹	161.1 <i>114.6</i> ¹	140.5	96.5	131.9	1752.7 1485.4
fully sequential	38.0 <i>38.0</i>	20.1 20.1	$\begin{array}{c} 604.7 \ \ 604.8^2 \\ 431.5 \ \ 485.4^{1,2} \end{array}$	138.1 138.1 ² 86.8 97.9 ^{1,2}	103.6	73.2	100.0	1077.7 853.2

Table 20. High luminosity, total RoI fragment rate (kHz) from the ROBIns and into the LVL2 processors (italic numbers) if ROBOuts merge RoI fragments. ¹) grouping in the order as implied by the specification of the ROB mapping in the appendix, ²) approximately fully sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em calorimeter	had calorimeter	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total
			39.4 kH	Iz LVL1 rate				
non- sequential	24.0	6.3	1285.5 741.0	473.3 272.8	195.1	257.3	177.6	2419.1 <i>1674.1</i>
sequential	24.0	6.3	1095.9 <i>631.7</i>	155.1 89.4	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		57.6	1497.7 967.9
fully sequential	16.6	4.3	581.9 582.0 ¹ 335.4 335.5 ¹	132.8 132.9 ¹ 76.6 76.6 ¹	56.3	62.8	43.7	898.5 595.7
			75 kH:	z LVL1 rate				
non- sequential	45.6	11.9	2447.4 <i>1410.7</i>	901.0 519.4	371.5	489.9	338.1	4605.5 <i>3187.2</i>
sequential	45.6	11.9	2086.4 1202.7	295.2 170.2	295.2 145.0 157.6 109		109.7	2851.4 <i>1842.6</i>
fully sequential	31.6	8.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1107.9 \ 1108.1^1 \\ 638.6 \ 638.7^1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 252.9 \ 253.0^1 \\ 145.8 \ 145.8^1 \end{array}$	107.2	119.6	83.1	1710.6 <i>1134.2</i>

Table 21. High luminosity, total RoI data volume (MByte/s) without and with pre-processing (italic numbers) transferred to the LVL2 processors. ¹) approximately fully sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels	Total
	314.6	39.0	2742.5	353.6	520.4	295.7	137.7	4403.5

Table 22. High luminosity, total data volume to the Event Builder(MByte/s)

4.3 Results for the ROBs

4.3.1 Average RoI request rate, average RoI data volume, average data volume to EB

4.3.1.1 Low luminosity



Figure 6. Low luminosity, nominal LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, B-physics											
non-sequential	24.09	24.09	24.52	25.29	24.32	25.73	25.03				
sequential	0.54	1.14	0.78	1.60	10.34	10.65	11.04				
fully sequential	0.54	1.14	0.64	1.57	10.33	10.64	11.03				
40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, no B-physics											
non-sequential	0.30	0.64	0.88	2.51	0.80	1.54	2.31				
sequential	0.30	0.64	0.60	1.09	0.46	0.77	1.16				
fully sequential	0.30	0.64	0.40	1.06	0.45	0.76	1.15				
		75 kHz I	LVL1 rate, F	B-physics							
non-sequential	24.09	24.09	24.88	26.33	24.52	25.28	25.85				
sequential	0.80	1.69	1.15	2.09	10.73	11.32	12.05				
fully sequential	0.80	1.69	0.88	2.04	10.73	11.30	12.03				

Table 23. Low luminosity, RoI request rate per ROBIn (kHz)

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, B-physics											
non-sequential	20.05	9.93	44.91 25.89	46.33 26.71	19.02 8.08	6.97	2.80				
sequential	0.45	0.47	1.43 0.83	2.93 1.69	8.08 <i>3.43</i>	3.00	1.24				
fully sequential	0.45	0.47	1.17 0.67	2.88 1.66	8.08 <i>3.43</i>	3.00	1.24				
40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, no B-physic											
non-sequential	0.25	0.26	1.61 0.93	4.60 2.65	0.62 0.26	0.44	0.26				
sequential	0.25	0.26	1.11 0.64	1.99 1.15	0.36 0.15	0.22	0.13				
fully sequential	0.25	0.26	0.72 0.42	1.95 1.12	0.36 0.15	0.22	0.13				
		75 kHz l	LVL1 rate, F	B-physics							
non-sequential	20.05	9.93	45.58 26.28	48.23 27.80	19.18 <i>8.14</i>	7.13	2.89				
sequential	0.67	0.70	2.10 1.21	3.82 2.20	8.39 <i>3.56</i>	3.19	1.35				
fully sequential	0.67	0.70	1.61 <i>0.93</i>	3.74 2.16	8.39 <i>3.56</i>	3.19	1.35				

Table 24. Low luminosity, RoI data volume per ROBIn (MByte/s), italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing in the calorimeter and TRT ROBs

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels
	1.67	0.83	3.68	3.68	1.57 0.67	0.57	0.22

Table 25. Low luminosity, average data volume to the Event Builder per ROBIn (MByte/s), the italic number indicates the use of pre-processing in the TRT ROBs

4.3.1.2 High luminosity



Figure 7. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
39.4 kHz LVL1 rate											
non-sequential	0.15	0.32	0.92	2.64	0.74	1.71	2.54				
sequential	0.15	0.32	0.79	0.86	0.29	0.55	0.82				
fully sequential	0.10	0.22	0.42	0.74	0.21	0.42	0.62				
		75	kHz LVL1 r	ate							
non-sequential	0.29	0.60	1.76	5.02	1.41	3.26	4.84				
sequential	0.29	0.60	1.50	1.64	0.55	1.05	1.57				
fully sequential	0.20	0.42	0.80	1.41	0.41	0.80	1.19				

Table 26. High luminosity, RoI request rate per ROBIn (kHz)

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
39.4 kHz LVL1 rate											
non-sequential	0.12	0.13	1.69 0.97	4.83 2.78	0.76	2.80	2.11				
sequential	0.12	0.13	1.44 0.83	1.58 <i>0.91</i>	0.30	0.90	0.69				
fully sequential	0.09	0.09	0.77 0.44	1.36 0.78	0.22	0.68	0.52				

Table 27. High luminosity, RoI data volume per ROBIn (MByte/s), italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing in the calorimeter ROBs

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
75 kHz LVL1 rate											
non-sequential	0.24	0.25	3.22	9.19	1.45	5.33	4.02				
			1.86	5.30							
sequential	0.24	0.25	2.75 1.58	3.01 1.74	0.57	1.71	1.31				
fully sequential	0.16	0.17	1.46 <i>0.84</i>	2.58 1.49	0.42	1.30	0.99				

Table 27. High luminosity, RoI data volume per ROBIn (MByte/s), italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing in the calorimeter ROBs

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels
	1.64	0.81	3.61	3.61	2.03	3.21	1.64

Table 28. High luminosity, average data volume to the Event Builder per ROBIn (MByte/s)

4.3.2 Lower limit, average and upper limit of RoI request rate per ROBIn

Lower / upper limits for RoI request rates have been obtained by summing the minimum / maximum rates for the different RoI types. It is not taken into account that these minima / maxima may occur for different ROBIns, so only lower and upper limits are presented here. For average RoI request rates for fully sequential processing see the previous section, in this section results are provided for approximate fully sequential processing. The differences between the two types of results are very small.

4.3.2.1 Low luminosity



Figure 8. Low luminosity, nominal LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels		
RoI request rate		non	-sequential p	processing, I	B-physics tri	gger			
Lower limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.17	24.37	24.30	24.33	24.63		
Average (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.52	25.29	24.32	24.73	25.03		
Upper limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	25.21	26.09	24.40	25.00	25.88		
RoI request rate		sequential processing, B-physics trigger							
Lower limit (kHz)	0.18	0.54	0.25	0.69	10.32	10.21	10.58		
Average (kHz)	0.54	1.14	0.78	1.60	10.34	10.65	11.04		
Upper limit (kHz)	1.18	1.76	2.07	2.68	10.39	11.05	11.93		
RoI request rate		approximat	ely fully seq	uential proc	essing, B-ph	ysics trigge	r		
Lower limit (kHz)	0.18	0.54	0.25	0.69	10.31	10.21	10.57		
Average (kHz)	0.54	1.14	0.64	1.58	10.33	10.64	11.03		
Upper limit (kHz)	1.18	1.76	2.00	2.66	10.38	11.03	11.91		

Table 29. Low luminosity, 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, RoI request rate per ROBIn

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels			
RoI request rate		non-sequential processing, B-physics trigger								
Lower limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.23	24.62	24.47	24.54	25.09			
Average (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.88	26.33	24.52	25.28	25.85			
Upper limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	26.17	27.82	24.66	25.78	27.43			
RoI request rate		sequential processing, B-physics trigger								
Lower limit (kHz)	0.26	0.80	0.31	0.93	10.70	10.50	11.18			
Average (kHz)	0.80	1.69	1.15	2.09	10.73	11.32	12.05			
Upper limit (kHz)	1.75	2.62	3.04	3.47	10.83	12.06	13.71			
RoI request rate		approximat	ely fully seq	uential proc	essing, B-ph	ysics trigger	ŀ			
Lower limit (kHz)	0.26	0.80	0.31	0.93	10.69	10.49	11.17			
Average (kHz)	0.80	1.69	0.89	2.06	10.73	11.31	12.03			
Upper limit (kHz)	1.75	2.62	2.90	3.43	10.82	12.04	13.67			

Table 30. Low luminosity, 75 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger, RoI request rate per ROBIn

4.3.2.2 High luminosity



Figure 9. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels		
RoI request rate			non-se	quential pro	cessing				
Lower limit (kHz)	0.05	0.15	0.16	0.46	0.67	0.67	1.47		
Average (kHz)	0.15	0.32	0.92	2.64	0.74	1.71	2.54		
Upper limit (kHz)	0.33	0.49	2.46	4.48	0.91	2.48	4.73		
RoI request rate		sequential processing							
Lower limit (kHz)	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.31	0.27	0.23	0.49		
Average (kHz)	0.15	0.32	0.79	0.86	0.29	0.55	0.82		
Upper limit (kHz)	0.33	0.49	2.10	1.44	0.33	0.82	1.49		
RoI request rate		apj	proximately	fully sequer	ntial process	ing			
Lower limit (kHz)	0.03	0.10	0.08	0.28	0.20	0.17	0.37		
Average (kHz)	0.10	0.22	0.42	0.74	0.21	0.42	0.63		
Upper limit (kHz)	0.23	0.34	1.80	1.23	0.25	0.62	1.14		

Table 31. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, RoI request rate per ROBIn

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels			
RoI request rate			non-se	quential pro	cessing					
Lower limit (kHz)	0.09	0.29	0.31	0.88	1.28	1.27	2.81			
Average (kHz)	0.29	0.60	1.76	5.02	1.41	3.26	4.84			
Upper limit (kHz)	0.62	0.94	4.69	8.53	1.73	4.72	9.00			
RoI request rate		sequential processing								
Lower limit (kHz)	0.09	0.29	0.19	0.58	0.52	0.43	0.93			
Average (kHz)	0.29	0.60	1.50	1.64	0.55	1.05	1.57			
Upper limit (kHz)	0.62	0.94	3.99	2.74	0.63	1.56	2.84			
RoI request rate		ap	proximately	fully sequer	ntial process	ing				
Lower limit (kHz)	0.06	0.20	0.16	0.52	0.38	0.33	0.70			
Average (kHz)	0.20	0.42	0.80	1.41	0.41	0.80	1.19			
Upper limit (kHz)	0.43	0.65	3.43	2.33	0.47	1.18	2.16			

Table 32. High luminosity, 75 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing, RoI request rate per ROBIn

4.3.3 Lower limit, average and upper limit of data volume sent to LVL2 per ROBIn

Lower / upper limits for the data volumes sent to the LVL2 system have been obtained by summing the minimum / maximum data volumes for the different RoI types. It is not taken into account that these minima / maxima may occur for different ROBIns, so only lower and upper limits are presented here. For the average data volumes for fully sequential processing see Section 4.3.1, in this section results are provided for approximate fully sequential processing. The differences between the two types of results are very small.

4.3.3.1 Low luminosity



Figure 10. Low luminosity, nominal LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger



Figure 11. Low luminosity, nominal LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger, preprocessing in calorimeter and TRT ROBs for reducing event fragment sizes

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels		
Data volume to LVL2		non	-sequential p	processing, I	B-physics tri	gger			
Lower limit (MByte/s)	20.05	9.93	44.27 25.52	44.65 25.74	19.00 8.07	6.86	2.76		
Average (MByte/s)	20.05	9.93	44.91 25.89	46.33 26.71	19.02 8.08	6.97	2.80		
Upper limit (MByte/s)	20.05	9.93	46.18 26.62	47.79 27.55	19.08 <i>8.10</i>	7.05	2.90		
Data volume to LVL2		sequential processing, B-physics trigger							
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.15	0.22	0.46 0.26	1.26 0.73	8.07 <i>3.43</i>	2.88	1.18		
Average (MByte/s)	0.45	0.47	1.43 0.83	2.93 1.69	8.08 <i>3.43</i>	3.00	1.24		
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.98	0.73	3.80 2.19	4.91 2.83	8.12 <i>3.45</i>	3.11	1.34		
Data volume to LVL2		approximat	ely fully seq	uential proc	essing, B-ph	ysics trigger	•		
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.15	0.22	0.46 0.26	1.26 0.73	8.07 <i>3.42</i>	2.88	1.18		
Average (MByte/s)	0.45	0.47	1.18 0.68	2.90 1.67	8.08 <i>3.43</i>	3.00	1.24		
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.98	0.73	3.66 2.11	4.87 2.81	8.12 <i>3.45</i>	3.11	1.34		

Table 33. Low luminosity, 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, italic numbers indicate the use of preprocessing in the calorimeter and TRT ROBs, volume of data sent per ROBIn to LVL2

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels
Data volume to LVL2		non	-sequential p	processing, I	B-physics tri	gger	
Lower limit (MByte/s)	20.05	9.93	44.39 25.59	45.10 25.99	19.14 <i>8.12</i>	6.92	2.81
Average (MByte/s)	20.05	9.93	45.58 26.28	48.23 27.80	19.18 <i>8.14</i>	7.13	2.89
Upper limit (MByte/s)	20.05	9.93	47.95 27.64	50.96 29.38	19.28 <i>8.19</i>	7.27	3.07
Data volume to LVL2		se	quential pro	ocessing, B-p	ohysics trigg	er	
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.22	0.33	0.57 0.33	1.71 0.98	8.37 <i>3.55</i>	2.96	1.25
Average (MByte/s)	0.67	0.70	2.10 <i>1.21</i>	3.82 2.20	8.39 <i>3.56</i>	3.19	1.35
Upper limit (MByte/s)	1.45	1.08	5.57 3.21	6.35 <i>3.66</i>	8.47 <i>3.60</i>	3.40	1.54
Data volume to LVL2		approximat	ely fully seq	uential proc	essing, B-ph	ysics trigger	r
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.22	0.33	0.57 0.33	1.71 0.98	8.36 <i>3.55</i>	2.96	1.25
Average (MByte/s)	0.67	0.70	1.63 0.94	3.78 2.18	8.39 <i>3.56</i>	3.19	1.35
Upper limit (MByte/s)	1.45	1.08	5.32 3.06	6.28 3.62	8.46 <i>3.59</i>	3.39	1.53

Table 34. Low luminosity, 75 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger, italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing in the calorimeter and TRT ROB, volume of data sent per ROBIn to LVL2

4.3.3.2 High luminosity



Figure 12. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing



Figure 13. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing, pre-processing in the calorimeter ROBs for reducing event fragment sizes

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels
Data volume to LVL2			non-se	quential pro	cessing		
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.04	0.06	0.30 0.17	0.85 <i>0.49</i>	0.70	1.09	1.23
Average (MByte/s)	0.12	0.13	1.69 0.97	4.83 2.78	0.76	2.80	2.11
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.27	0.20	4.51 2.60	8.21 <i>4.73</i>	0.94	4.05	3.93
Data volume to LVL2			sequ	ential proce	ssing		
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.04	0.06	0.18 <i>0.10</i>	0.56 <i>0.32</i>	0.28	0.37	0.41
Average (MByte/s)	0.12	0.13	1.44 0.83	1.58 <i>0.91</i>	0.30	0.90	0.69
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.27	0.20	3.84 2.21	2.63 1.52	0.34	1.34	1.24
Data volume to LVL2		apj	proximately	fully sequer	ntial process	ing	
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.03	0.04	0.15 0.09	0.50 0.29	0.21	0.28	0.31
Average (MByte/s)	0.09	0.09	0.77 0.44	1.36 0.78	0.22	0.68	0.52
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.19	0.14	3.30 1.90	2.25 1.29	0.26	1.01	0.94

Table 35. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, italic numbers indicate the use of preprocessing in the calorimeter ROBs, volume of data sent per ROBIn to LVL2

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels
Data volume to LVL2			non-see	quential pro	cessing		
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.08	0.12	0.57 0.33	1.61 <i>0.93</i>	1.32	2.08	2.34
Average (MByte/s)	0.24	0.25	3.22 1.86	9.19 5.30	1.45	5.33	4.02
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.52	0.39	8.58 <i>4.95</i>	15.63 <i>9.01</i>	1.79	7.71	7.49
Data volume to LVL2			sequ	ential proce	ssing		
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.08	0.12	0.34 0.20	1.07 0.62	0.53	0.71	0.77
Average (MByte/s)	0.24	0.25	2.75 1.58	3.01 1.74	0.57	1.71	1.31
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.52	0.39	7.31 4.22	5.02 2.89	0.65	2.54	2.36
Data volume to LVL2		apj	proximately	fully sequer	ntial process	ing	
Lower limit (MByte/s)	0.05	0.08	0.29 0.17	0.96 0.55	0.39	0.53	0.59
Average (MByte/s)	0.16	0.17	1.46 0.84	2.58 1.49	0.42	1.30	0.99
Upper limit (MByte/s)	0.36	0.27	6.28 3.62	4.28 2.46	0.49	1.92	1.80

Table 36. High luminosity, 75 kHz LVL1 rate, italic numbers indicate the use of preprocessing in the calorimeter ROBs, volume of data sent per ROBIn to LVL2

4.3.4 Rates and data volumes for the ROBOuts

The average input fragment rate for the ROBOuts, which is equal to the output RoI request rate, can be obtained by multiplying the number of ROBIns connected with the average RoI request rate per ROBIn (see Section 4.3.2). Table 37 and Table 38 contain results for the output rate (which is equal to the input RoI request rate), assuming grouping in the order as implied by the specification of the ROB mapping in the appendix and a grouping factor of 4 for the muon detectors and of 2 for the calorimeters. Lower / upper limits for the rates have been obtained by summing the minimum / maximum rates for the different RoI types, as obtained from the program described in appendix A. It is not taken into account that these minima / maxima may occur for different ROBOuts, so only lower and upper limits are presented here. If data for the Event Builder also passes through the ROBOuts the event building rate (5% of the nominal LVL1 rate, i.e. about 2 kHz) has to be added to the rates in the tables.

The average volume of the event data flowing through the ROBOuts is equal to the product of the number of ROBIns connected and the average data volume output by per ROBIn (see Section 4.3.3). Lower and upper limits can be obtained in the same way. If data for the Event Builder also passes through the ROBOuts the average volume of this data has also to be taken into account (see Table 25 and Table 28).

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	
ROBOut output rate	non-sec	quential pr	ocessing, 4	0.1 kHz	sequential processing, 40.1 kHz				
Lower limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.19	24.44	1.05	3.23	0.34	0.76	
Average (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.77	25.79	2.15	4.55	1.25	2.29	
Upper limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	26.09	27.05	3.53	7.05	3.77	3.88	
ROBOut output rate	non-se	quential p	rocessing, 7	75 kHz	sequential processing, 75 kHz				
Lower limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	24.27	24.75	1.56	4.80	0.42	1.06	
Average (kHz)	24.09	24.09	25.35	27.26	3.20	6.76	1.84	2.97	
Upper limit (kHz)	24.09	24.09	27.82	29.61	5.24	10.48	5.50	5.04	

Table 37. Low luminosity, ROBOut LVL2 fragment output rates

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	
ROBOut output rate	non-see	quential pr	ocessing, 3	9.4 kHz	sequential processing, 39.4 kHz				
Lower limit (kHz)	0.29	0.90	0.23	0.51	0.29	0.90	0.13	0.36	
Average (kHz)	0.60	1.27	1.49	3.78	0.60	1.27	1.27	1.23	
Upper limit (kHz)	0.98	1.97	4.48	6.59	0.98	1.97	3.81	2.11	
ROBOut output rate	non-se	equential p	rocessing, 7	75 kHz	sequ	iential pro	cessing, 75	kHz	
Lower limit (kHz)	0.56	1.72	0.43	0.98	0.56	1.72	0.25	0.68	
Average (kHz)	1.14	2.41	2.84	7.19	1.14	2.41	2.42	2.34	
Upper limit (kHz)	1.87	3.74	8.53	12.54	1.87	3.74	7.25	4.01	

Table 38. High luminosity, ROBOut LVL2 fragment output rates

4.3.5 Lower limit, average and upper limit of ROBIn and ROBOut occupancies

The differences in ROBIn occupancies for sequential or non-sequential processing are much larger for low than for high luminosity due to the large increase in RoI request rate for low luminosity.

The ROBOut occupancies have been calculated assuming grouping in the order as implied by the specification of the ROB mapping in the appendix and a grouping factor of 4 for the muon detectors and of 2 for the calorimeters.

4.3.5.1 Low luminosity, ROBIn occupancy



Figure 14. Low luminosity, nominal LVL1 rate, sequential processing, B-physics trigger

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
ROBIn occupancy		non-sequential processing, B-physics trigger									
Lower limit (%)	110.2	110.2	110.4	111.1	110.8	110.9	111.9				
Average (%)	110.2	110.2	111.5	113.9	110.9	112.2	113.1				
Upper limit (%)	110.2	110.2	113.7	116.4	111.1	113.0	115.7				
ROBIn occupancy		se	quential pro	cessing, B-p	ohysics trigg	er					
Lower limit (%)	35.8	36.9	36.0	37.4	67.3	67.0	68.1				
Average (%)	36.9	38.8	37.7	40.2	67.4	68.4	69.6				
Upper limit (%)	38.9	40.7	41.7	43.6	67.6	69.6	72.4				

Table 39. Low luminosity, 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate, ROBIn occupancy

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
ROBIn occupancy		non-sequential processing, B-physics trigger									
Lower limit (%)	139.0	139.0	139.5	140.7	140.2	140.4	142.2				
Average (%)	139.0	139.0	141.5	146.0	140.4	142.7	144.5				
Upper limit (%)	139.0	139.0	145.5	150.6	140.8	144.3	149.4				
ROBIn occupancy		se	quential pro	cessing, B-p	ohysics trigg	er					
Lower limit (%)	64.9	66.6	65.1	67.0	97.4	96.7	98.9				
Average (%)	66.6	69.3	67.7	70.6	97.5	99.3	101.6				
Upper limit (%)	69.5	72.2	73.5	74.9	97.8	101.6	106.8				

Table 40. Low luminosity, 75 kHz LVL1 rate, ROBIn occupancy

4.3.5.2 High luminosity, ROBIn occupancy



Figure 15. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, sequential processing

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
ROBIn occupancy		non-sequential processing									
Lower limit (%)	34.7	35.0	35.1	36.0	36.7	36.7	39.2				
Average (%)	35.0	35.6	37.5	42.8	36.9	39.9	42.5				
Upper limit (%)	35.6	36.1	42.2	48.5	37.4	42.3	49.3				
ROBIn occupancy			sequ	ential proce	ssing						
Lower limit (%)	34.7	35.0	34.9	35.5	35.4	35.3	36.1				
Average (%)	35.0	35.6	37.0	37.3	35.5	36.3	37.1				
Upper limit (%)	35.6	36.1	41.1	39.1	35.6	37.1	39.2				

Table 41. High luminosity, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate, ROBIn occupancy

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	TRT	SCT	Pixels				
ROBIn occupancy		non-sequential processing									
Lower limit (%)	64.3	64.9	65.0	66.8	68.0	68.0	72.8				
Average (%)	64.9	65.9	69.5	79.7	68.4	74.2	79.1				
Upper limit (%)	66.0	67.0	78.6	90.6	69.4	78.7	92.1				
ROBIn occupancy			sequ	ential proce	ssing						
Lower limit (%)	64.3	64.9	64.6	65.9	65.7	65.4	66.9				
Average (%)	64.9	65.9	68.7	69.2	65.8	67.3	68.9				
Upper limit (%)	66.0	67.0	76.5	72.6	66.0	68.9	72.9				

Table 42. High luminosity, 75 kHz LVL1 rate, ROBIn occupancy

4.3.5.3 Low luminosity, ROBOut occupancy

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal		
	W	rithout data	a for Event Bu	uilder	with data for Event Builder					
ROBOut occ.		non-sequential processing, B-physics trigger, 40.1 kHz LVL1 rate								
Lower limit (%)	378.0	327.4	288.6	291.2	396.4	341.5	303.8	306.4		
Average (%)	378.0	327.4	293.4	303.2	396.4	341.5	308.6	318.5		
Upper limit (%)	378.0	327.4	303.5	314.0	396.4	341.5	318.7	329.2		
ROBOut occ.		sequ	ential proces	sing, B-physi	cs trigger	, 40.1 kHz	LVL1 rate			
Lower limit (%)	15.4	25.5	9.2 8.7	13.0 14.3	33.8	39.7	24.4 24.0	29.5 28.2		
Average (%)	23.3	35.6	16.6 15.1	23.7 26.8	41.7	49.8	31.8 30.3	42.1 39.0		
Upper limit (%)	35.3	49.7	35.5 31.5	35.9 41.1	53.7	63.9	50.7 46.7	56.3 51.1		
ROBOut occ.		sequ	iential proce	ssing, B-phys	sics trigge	r, 75 kHz 🛛	LVL1 rate			
Lower limit (%)	26.8	41.8	15.2 14.6	22.5 20.7	45.2	55.9	30.5 29.9	37.8 36.0		
Average (%)	38.4	56.8	26.8 24.6	38.4 34.3	56.8	71.0	42.0 39.8	53.6 49.6		
Upper limit (%)	56.3	77.7	54.4 48.5	56.7 49.9	74.7	91.9	69.6 63.7	71.9 65.1		

Table 43. Low luminosity, italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing, ROBOut occupancy

4.3.5.4 High luminosity, ROBOut occupancy

Detector ->	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal	mu prec	mu trig	em cal	had cal		
	w	ithout data	t for Event Bu	uilder	with data for Event Builder					
ROBOut occ.		non-sequential processing, 39.4 kHz LVL1 rate								
Lower limit (%)	11.4	14.2	8.0 7.7	11.5 10.6	29.4	28.1	23.0 22.6	26.4 25.5		
Average (%)	13.5	17.0	18.5 16.7	40.3 35.2	31.6	30.9	33.4 31.6	55.2 50.1		
Upper limit (%)	16.9	20.9	41.0 36.2	64.9 56.2	34.9	34.8	55.9 51.1	79.8 71.1		
ROBOut occ.			sequenti	al processing	, 39.4 kH	z LVL1 ra	ite			
Lower limit (%)	11.4	14.2	7.2 7.0	9.7 9.1	29.4	28.1	22.1 21.9	24.6 24.0		
Average (%)	13.5	17.0	16.6 <i>15.1</i>	17.1 15.5	31.6	30.9	31.5 30.0	32.1 30.4		
Upper limit (%)	16.9	20.9	35.8 31.7	24.8 22.0	34.9	34.8	50.7 46.6	39.7 <i>36</i> .9		
ROBOut occ.			sequent	tial processin	g, 75 kHz	LVL1 rat	e			
Lower limit (%)	21.6	27.0	13.6 13.3	18.4 17.3	39.7	40.9	28.6 28.2	33.3 32.2		
Average (%)	25.8	32.3	31.6 28.7	32.6 29.4	43.8	46.2	46.6 43.6	47.6 44.4		
Upper limit (%)	32.2	39.8	68.1 60.3	47.2 41.9	50.2	53.7	83.0 75.2	62.1 56.8		

Table 44. High luminosity, italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing, ROBOut occupancy

4.4 Farm size, dependence on execution times, task switching times

Results for the required number of LVL2 farm processors are presented in Table 45 and Table 46. The number is sensitive to the number of ROBIns per ROBOut, as the ROBOut is assumed to build partial RoI fragments. The effect is that the number of messages received per RoI by a farm processor is reduced with respect to the situation in which each ROBin has its own network connection. The numbers in Table 45 and Table 46 have been calculated assuming that 4 ROBIns per ROBOut are grouped for the muon detectors and 2 for the calorimeter. For the tracker (TRT, SCT, pixels) it is assumed that there is no grouping. Grouping 4 ROBIns per ROBOut for each detector would reduce the number of processors required for the high luminosity sequential trigger processing at nominal LVL1 rate from 51 to 48.

LVL1 rate	non-sequential, B-physics	sequential, B-physics	sequential, B-physics, no processing time for scan	approximately fully sequential, B-physics	sequential, no B-physics
40.1 1/1/2	2064	630	137	626	53
40.1 KHZ	1824	608	114	605	47
75 1.11-	2094	669	175	660	99
/ 3 KHZ	1851	643	149	636	87

Table 45. Farm sizes for low luminosity trigger, italic numbers indicate the use of preprocessing in the calorimeter and TRT ROBs

From the number of processors with nominal processing time for the scan (third column of Table 45) and the number for 0 % processing time for the scan (fourth column of Table 45) the effect of a reduction of the processing time for the scan can be estimated. This processing time includes the time required for the analysis of the SCT and Pixels data. Note that rates at 75 kHz LVL1 rate are obtained by scaling all rates up with the exception of the rate of the scan.

LVL1 rate	non-sequential	sequential	approximately fully sequential
39.4 kHz	89	51	35
	80	45	31
75 kHz	170	97	66
	152	84	58

Table 46. Farm sizes for high luminosity trigger, italic numbers indicate the use of preprocessing in the calorimeter and TRT ROBs

For small farms the bandwidth required for input of event data into each processors becomes a bottleneck. The minimum number of network ports, assuming a bandwidth of 15 MByte/s per port, is shown in Table 47.

Task switching times (assumption : $10 \ \mu s$) have a considerable effect on the processing requirements. If the B-physics trigger is not taken into account, roughly a third of the processing capacity is needed for task switching. For the low luminosity trigger including B-physics trigger the fraction is about 10 %.

LVL1 rate	low luminosity, sequential, B-physics	high luminosity, sequential	high luminosity, fully sequential
nominal	263	100	60
	145	65	40
75 kHz	313	191	115
	175	123	76

Table 47. Minimum number of network ports needed for the processor farm, assuming a bandwidth of 15 MByte/s per port, italic numbers indicate the use of pre-processing in the calorimeter and TRT ROBs

5 Discussion

5.1 Choices made for parameters and models

Simplifying assumptions have been made. The average fragment volume per ROBIn is fixed per subdetector, but can be expected to be different for different ROBIns. More detailed information is required.

Uncertainties in the trigger menus (composition and exclusive rates), for sequential processing in the reduction factors and processing sequences and due to the assumption that the eta-phi positions for each RoI individually is randomly distributed over the relevant eta-phi space need to be estimated. Correlations between the positions of different RoIs can be ignored for the paper model, as only average numbers and event rates are computed. However, for the LVL2 decision time distribution, as obtained from the computer model, correlations may be important.

Although the assumptions for values of processing times, task switching times and available network bandwidth (including throughput of switches) do not seem to be unrealistic, further justification by testbed results is required.

5.2 Consequences of different architecture choices

In Section 4 results have been shown for sequential and for non-sequential processing. The numbers obtained for the ROBIns in the case of non-sequential processing would apply in a DAQ crate with a separate LVL2 interface, where the ROBIns receive RoI requests directly from the LVL1 trigger or a supervisor. This would be true also in the case of sequential processing by the LVL2 trigger system.

6 Conclusions

In this document, an overview has been presented of paper model results for the ATLAS LVL2 and F/E DAQ system (up to the Event Builder) based on current information on trigger menus, associated exclusive rates and processing sequences, ROB mapping, values of processing times, task switching times and available network bandwidth. Several conclusions may be drawn.

• The results show clearly advantages of the sequential type of data processing both in required rates and data volumes. "Fully sequential" processing allows to reduce the rates and data volumes further, in particular for high luminosity processing. Computer modelling [6] indicates that there is no negative effect on the average decision time.

- At both low and high luminosities, the high-p_T LVL2 triggers may be handled by a comparable number (about 100 1000 MIPS) processors at 75 kHz LVL1 rate.
- The maximum data volume to be transferred through the network is, for nominal LVL1 rate, 2 to 4 GByte/s for the low luminosity trigger including the needs of the TRT full scan for the B-physics, and 1 to 2.5 GByte/s for the high luminosity trigger. Event building at a rate of 2 kHz would add about 4 GByte/s to the total traffic.
- The TRT full scan and associated analysis of all data from SCT and Pixels increases the requirements on the processing power at least 6 times (without use of specialized co-processors) and takes up more than 65 % of the total required network bandwidth.
- For the high luminosity trigger or the low luminosity trigger with fast processing for the Bphysics trigger in dedicated co-processors the number of farm processors could be dictated by the available input bandwidth per processor rather than the required processing capacity. A combined EF-LVL2 farm with a much larger number of processors than in a single LVL2 farm could in this case allow a better utilization of the available network bandwidth and processing capacity.
- For event building, for high luminosity about 70 % or for low luminosity about 79 / 84 % (with / without pre-processing of TRT data) of the total required bandwidth is needed for transferring data from the calorimeters. Due to the large fragment size for the calorimeters and the accept rate of 2 kHz, the total volume of the data transferred via the Event Builder has increased by a factor of 4 with respect to previous estimates.

References

- [1] J.Bystricky, D.Calvet, J.Ernwein, O.Gachelin, T.Hansl-Kozanecka, J.R.Hubbard, M.Huet, P.Le Dû, I.Mandjavidze, M.Mur, M.Smizanska, and B.Thooris: A Model for Sequential Processing in the ATLAS LVL2/LVL3 Trigger, Atlas internal note ATL-DAQ-96-055, June 1996
- [2] M.Dobson, S.George, J.A.Strong, J.C.Vermeulen: Paper Models of the ATLAS Second Level Trigger, Atlas internal note ATL-DAQ-98-113, June 1998
- [3] ftp://ftp.nikhef.nl/pub/experiments/atlas/tdaq/Paper/
- [4] A.Amadon, J.Bystricky, D.Calvet, R.Hubbard, M.Huet, P.Le Dû, I.Mandjavidze,
 M.Abolins: Architecture C Performance from Paper Models, Atlas internal note ATL-DAQ-98-106, June 1998
- [5] http://www-dapnia.cea.fr/Phys/Sei/exp/ATLAS/model
- [6] J.C.Vermeulen: Computer modelling of the ATLAS LVL2 trigger system, ATL-COM-DAQ-2000-031, March 2000
- [7] S.George, T.Hansl-Kozanecka, K.Mahboubi, A.Watson: RoI-based event descriptions for modelling the ATLAS second level trigger, Atlas internal note ATL-DAQ-99-010, April 1999
- [8] P.Clarke, S.Falciano, P.Le Dû, J.B.Lane, M.Abolins, C.Schwick, F.J.Wickens: Detector and Read-Out Specification, and Buffer-RoI Relations, for Level-2 Studies, Atlas internal note ATL-DAQ-99-014, October 1999, an update of ATL-DAQ-97-062
- [9] N.Ellis, private communication
- [10] P.Le Dû, private communication
- [11] R.Cranfield, F.Wickens, J.Vermeulen: Notes on Pilot Project modelling meeting, NIKHEF, January 27+28, 1999, Amsterdam, http://www.nikhef.nl/pub/experiments/atlas/daq/Modelling-27-28-1-99.pdf
- [12] S.George, J.R.Hubbard, J.C.Vermeulen: Input Parameters for Modelling the ATLAS Second Level Trigger, Atlas internal note ATL-DAQ-97-070, June 1997

Appendix A. Procedure for computing RoI request rates and the average number of ROBs per RoI

With a small computer program the following quantities have been calculated for each RoI type (em/gamma/hadron, jet, mu):

- 1. The minimum relative rate (in percent of the total RoI rate) per ROB group and the number of the ROB group for which this minimum occurs,
- 2. The maximum relative rate (in percent of the total RoI rate) per ROB group and the number of the ROB group for which this maximum occurs,
- 3. The average relative rate (in percent of the total RoI rate) per ROB group,
- 4. The average number of ROB groups that have to produce data for a single RoI request, assuming that the probability for a RoI to occur at a certain eta-phi value depends only on the size of the eta-phi area used by the LVL1 trigger to establish the RoI and not on the value of eta and phi.

The number of ROBIns per ROB group is variable. The modelling results do depend on the grouping factor and on how the ROBIns are grouped. The quantities mentioned have been computed for 1 - 128 ROBIns per group assuming grouping in the order as used in the specifications in Appendix B. For a more optimized grouping the same type of calculations have been done for a few grouping factors and functions have been derived from which the quantities mentioned can be calculated.

The procedure followed is very simple : for each RoI position that can be output by LVL1 and on the basis of mapping information and the RoI sizes as used by the LVL2 system it is checked for each ROB whether the ROB should receive a RoI request if the RoI position considered occurs. For each ROB that should receive a request for the RoI position considered a variable is incremented with the relative probability for that RoI position to occur. After having stepped through all possible positions the quantities mentioned can be calculated in a straightforward way from the values of the variable. The procedure described can also be used for producing the look-uptables needed by the computer model for determining for each possible RoI (characterized by type, eta and phi coordinates) which ROBs are hit.

Appendix B. ROB mapping and RoI request rate fraction per ROBIn

The subdetector mappings to ROBs are specified in [8]. This section contains details on the mapping assumed and shows for each ROB the request rate fractions for different kinds of RoIs (for the RoI definitions see Section 2.5). The average RoI request rate for a ROB can be found by multiplying the total RoI rate of the corresponding subdetector by the RoI request rate fraction for the ROB considered.

B.1 Mapping of the Pixel detector

	negative n			positive η			
ROBIn number	η _{min}	η _{max}	ROBIn number	η _{min}	η _{max}	φ _{min}	φ _{max}
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	-2.6923	-1.7005	76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83	1.7005	2.6923	-0.0436 0.4800 1.5272 2.0508 3.0980 3.6216 4.6688 5.1924	1.0036 1.5272 2.5744 3.0980 4.1452 4.6688 5.7160 6.2396
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	-2.8934	0.6546	58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75	0.6508	2.8934	$\begin{array}{c} -0.1738\\ 0.1753\\ 0.5244\\ 0.8734\\ 1.2225\\ 1.5716\\ 1.9206\\ 2.2697\\ 2.6188\\ 2.9678\\ 3.3169\\ 3.6660\\ 4.0150\\ 4.3640\\ 4.7132\\ 5.0622\\ 5.4113\\ 5.7604\end{array}$	0.1914 0.5405 0.8895 1.2386 1.5877 1.9367 2.2858 2.6349 2.9839 3.3330 3.6821 4.0311 4.3802 4.7292 5.0783 5.4274 5.7764 6.1255
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	-2.0531	0.2947	51 52 53 54 55 56 57	0.2928	2.0531	-0.0775 0.8201 1.7177 2.6153 3.5129 4.4105 5.3081	0.8284 1.7260 2.6236 3.5212 4.4188 5.3164 6.2140
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	-1.7967	0.2269	42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	0.2254	1.7967	-0.0596 0.6136 1.2868 1.9600 2.6332 3.3064 3.9796 4.6528 5.3260	0.6223 1.2955 1.9687 2.6419 3.3151 3.9883 4.6615 5.3347 6.2323

Table 48. Mapping of the Pixel detector onto the Pixel ROBIns

B.2 RoI request rate fraction per Pixel ROB



Figure 16. RoI request rate fraction per Pixel ROBIn for em RoIs



Figure 17. RoI request rate fraction per Pixel ROBIn for muon RoIs

B.3 Mapping of the SCT

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		negative n			positive n			
number η_{min} η_{max} η_{max} q_{min} q_{max} 0-2.5679-2.0437842.04372.5679-0.07850.7069185861.49232.27773872.23543.0812488893.06313.84855893.0624.65206904.6385.41927-1.6416761.64162.41979-1.5428771.54287510-1.6416781.64161.49232.277711-1.6416781.64161.49232.277711-1.6416781.64163.06313.081212-1.6416781.64164.6385.419213-1.5428811.54283.06213.081214-1.6416821.64164.6385.419215-1.6416821.64164.6385.419216-1.9101-1.1798681.17981.9101-0.07850.706917732.23543.08123.80624.652014-1.64070.013146-0.01311.64070.02220.498820723.66133.84653.80624.652017-1.929735.38006.22283.80624.652014-1.6416821.64164.63385.4192215-1.64170.013146-0	ROBIn			ROBIn				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	number	η _{min}	η _{max}	number	η _{min}	η _{max}	Φ_{min}	Φ_{max}
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0	-2.5679	-2.0437	84	2.0437	2.5679	-0.0785	0.7069
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1			85			0.6646	1.5104
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2			86			1.4923	2.2777
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3			87			2.2354	3.0812
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4			88			3.0631	3.8485
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5			89			3.8062	4.6520
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6			90			4.6338	5.4192
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7			91			5.3770	6.2228
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8	-2.4197	-1.6416	76	1.6416	2.4197	-0.0785	0.7069
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9		-1.5428	77	1.5428		0.6646	1.5104
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10		-1.6416	78	1.6416		1.4923	2.2777
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	11		-1.5428	79	1.5428		2.2354	3.0812
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12		-1.6416	80	1.6416		3.0631	3.8485
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	13		-1.5428	81	1.5428		3.8062	4.6520
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14		-1.6416	82	1.6416		4.6338	5.4192
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15		-1.5428	83	1.5428		5.3770	6.2228
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	-1.9101	-1.1798	68	1.1798	1.9101	-0.0785	0.7069
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17			69			0.6646	1.5104
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	18			70			1.4923	2.2777
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19			71			2.2354	3.0812
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	20			72			3.0631	3.8485
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21			73			3.8062	4.6520
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	22			74			4.6338	5.4192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23			75			5.3800	6.2228
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	-1.6407	0.0131	46	-0.0131	1.6407	-0.2995	0.1071
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	-1.6407	0.0131	47	-0.0131	1.6407	0.0522	0.4998
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	-1.4426	0.0105	48	-0.0105	1.4426	0.2766	0.7345
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	-1.6407	0.0131	49	-0.0131	1.6407	0.4859	1.0283
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	-1.6407	0.0131	50	-0.0131	1.6407	0.8460	1.3425
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	-1.6407	0.0131	51	-0.0131	1.6407	1.1078	1.6779
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	-1.2919	0.0088	52	-0.0088	1.2919	1.3696	1.9047
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	-1.6407	0.0131	53	-0.0131	1.6407	1.6446	2.1665
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	-1.6407	0.0131	54	-0.0131	1.6407	1.9587	2.4633
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33	-1.4426	0.0105	55	-0.0105	1.4426	2.2729	2.7541
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34	-1.6407	0.0131	56	-0.0131	1.6407	2.4494	2.9785
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35	-1.6407	0.0131	57	-0.0131	1.6407	2.8421	3.2486
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36	-1.6407	0.0131	58	-0.0131	1.6407	3.1938	3.6413
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37	-1.4426	0.0105	59	-0.0105	1.4426	3.4182	3.8761
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38	-1.6407	0.0131	60	-0.0131	1.6407	3.6275	4.1699
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39	-1.6407	0.0131	61		1.6407	3.9876	4.4841
41 -1.2919 0.0088 63 -0.0088 1.2919 4.5112 5.0463 42 -1.6407 0.0131 64 -0.0131 1.6407 4.7862 5.3081 43 -1.6407 0.0131 65 -0.0131 1.6407 5.1003 5.6048 44 -1.4426 0.0105 66 -0.0105 1.4426 5.4145 5.8957	40	-1.6407	0.0131	62	-0.0131	1.6407	4.2494	4.8194
42 -1.6407 0.0131 64 -0.0131 1.6407 4.7862 5.3081 43 -1.6407 0.0131 65 -0.0131 1.6407 5.1003 5.6048 44 -1.4426 0.0105 66 -0.0105 1.4426 5.4145 5.8957	41	-1.2919		63		1.2919	4.5112	5.0463
43 -1.6407 0.0131 65 -0.0131 1.6407 5.1003 5.6048 44 -1.4426 0.0105 66 -0.0105 1.4426 5.4145 5.8957	42	-1.640/		64		1.640/	4./862	5.3081
44 -1.4420 0.0105 60 -0.0105 1.4426 5.4145 5.8957	43	-1.6407		65		1.6407	5.1003	5.6048
	44	-1.4420		67	-U.ULU5	1 6407	5.4145 5 E010	5.095/

Table 49. Mapping of the SCT onto the SCT ROBIns



B.4 RoI request rate fraction per SCT ROB

Figure 18. RoI request rate fraction per SCT ROBIn for em RoIs



Figure 19. RoI request rate fraction per SCT ROBIn for muon RoIs

B.5 Mapping of the TRT

Number of φ -intervals	η _{min}	η _{max}
96	-2.4	-0.7
32	-1.1	0
32	0	1.1
96	0.7	2.4

For the TRT detector, the φ -intervals are regular for each η -interval.

Table 50. Mapping of the TRT onto the TRT ROBIns

B.6 RoI request rate fraction per TRT ROB



Figure 20. RoI request rate fraction per TRT ROBIn for em RoIs



Figure 21. RoI request rate fraction per TRT ROBIn for muon RoIs

B.7 Mapping of the em calorimeter

	Number of	n	n	Number of	ROBIn
	φ-intervals	^{II} min	I _{max}	η -intervals	numbers
Layer 0: Presampler	4	-1.8	-1.6	1	0 - 3
	4	-1.6	-1.5	1	4 - 7
	16	-1.47	0	1	8 - 23
	16	0	1.47	1	24 - 39
	4	1.5	1.6	1	40 - 43
	4	1.6	1.8	1	44 -47
Layer 1: Front	2	-3.2	-2.5	1	48 - 49
	4	-2.5	-2.4	1	50 - 53
	8	-2.4	-2.0	2	54 - 69
	8	-2.0	-1.5	5	70 - 109
	4	-1.5	-1.4	1	110 - 113
	32	-1.47	-1.2	1	114 - 145
	32	-1.2	1.2	6	146 - 337
	32	1.2	1.47	1	338 - 369
	4	1.4	1.5	1	370 - 373
	8	1.5	2.0	5	374 - 413
	8	2.0	2.4	2	414 - 429
	4	2.4	2.5	1	430 - 433
	2	2.5	3.2	1	434 - 435
Layer 2: Middle	2	-3.2	-2.5	1	436 - 437
	4	-2.5	-2.4	1	438 - 441
	8	-2.4	-2.0	2	442 - 457
	4	-2.0	-1.4	6	458 - 481
	16	-1.47	-1.2	1	482 - 497
	16	-1.2	1.2	6	498 - 593
	16	1.2	1.47	1	594 - 609
	4	1.4	2.0	6	610 - 633
	8	2.0	2.4	2	634 - 649
	4	2.4	2.5	1	650 - 653
	2	2.5	3.2	1	654 - 655
Layer 3: Back	2	-2.5	-2.4	1	656 - 657
	4	-2.4	-2.0	2	658 - 665
	2	-2.0	-1.5	5	666 - 675
	16	-1.4	-0.8	1	676 - 691
	16	-0.8	0.8	2	692 - 723
	16	0.8	1.4	1	724 - 739
	2	1.5	2.0	5	740 - 749
	4	2.0	2.4	2	750 - 757
	2	2.4	2.5	1	758 - 759

In the calorimeter the φ -intervals are regular for each η -interval.

Table 51. Mapping of the em calorimeter onto the em calorimeter ROBIns

B.8 RoI request rate fraction per em calorimeter ROB



Figure 22. RoI request rate fraction per em calorimeter ROBIn for em RoIs



Figure 23. RoI request rate fraction per em calorimeter ROBIn for muon RoIs



Figure 24. RoI request rate fraction per em calorimeter ROBIn for jet RoIs

B.9 Mapping of the hadron calorimeter

For the hadron calorimeter, the ϕ -intervals are regular for each η -interval.

Number of φ-intervals	η _{min}	η _{max}	Number of layers
1	-3.2	-2.5	2
4	-2.5	-1.6	3
1	-1.6	-1.5	3
64	-1.6	1.6	1
1	1.5	1.6	3
4	1.6	2.5	3
1	2.5	3.2	2

Table 52. Mapping of the hadron calorimeter onto the hadron calorimeter ROBIns

RoI request rate fraction per hadron calorimeter ROB

B.10 RoI request rate fraction per hadron calorimeter ROB



Figure 25. RoI request rate fraction per hadron calorimeter ROBIn for em RoIs



Figure 26. RoI request rate fraction per hadron calorimeter ROBIn for muon RoIs



Figure 27. RoI request rate fraction per hadron calorimeter ROBIn for jet RoIs

B.11 Mapping of the muon precision chamber

For every η -interval, the whole ϕ -region is divided to 16 intervals the lengths of which are alternately $2\pi/24$ and $2\pi/12$.

η _{min}	η _{max}	Number of η -intervals	ROBIn numbers
-2.7	-1.36	1	0 - 15
-2.7	-1.05	1	16 - 31
-2.0	-1.05	1	32 - 47
-1.05	-0.8	1	48 - 63
-0.8	0.8	4	64 - 127
0.8	1.05	1	128 - 143
1.05	2.0	1	144 - 159
1.05	2.7	1	160 - 175
1.36	2.7	1	176 - 191

Table 53. Mapping of the muon precision chambers onto the muon precision chamber ROBIns



B.12 RoI request rate fraction per muon precision chamber ROB

Figure 28. RoI request rate fraction per muon precision detector ROBIn for muon RoIs

12.0.1 Mapping of the muon trigger detector

Number of φ -intervals	η_{min}	η _{max}	Number of η -intervals	ROBIn numbers	Comment
8	-2.5	-1.0	1	0 - 7	Even φ -intervals
16	-1.2	1.2	2	8 - 39	2 $\pi/24$, 2 $\pi/12 \varphi$ -intervals
8	1.0	2.5	1	40 - 47	Even φ -intervals

Table 54. Mapping of the muon trigger detector onto the muon trigger detector ROBIns

RoI request rate fraction per muon trigger detector ROB



Figure 29. RoI request rate fraction per muon trigger detector ROBIn for muon RoIs