Sp(2)-Symmetric Realization of the Ghost Spectrum in Gauge Theories

V. P. Spiridonov

Institute for Nuclear Research of the USSR Academy of Sciences, 60th October Prospect 7a, Moscow, 117312, USSR.

Abstract

Sp(2)-covariant BRST-approach to general closed gauge algebra theories is developed. Ordinary and supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories, quantum gravity and Chapline-Manton model are considered as examples. In the first three cases Landau gauge properties are analysed. It is shown that conformal Ward identities for Yang-Mills theory are essentially simplified in Sp(2)-symmetric gauges.

BRST-symmetry produced powerful method of the covariant quantization of the dynamical systems with constraints (see reviews [1,2]). Usually this approach considers ghost and anti-ghost fields in the asymmetrical manner. In some gauges, however, these fields turn out to be on equal rights and form doublet of the Sp(2, R)-group [3-5]. Then BRST and anti-BRST charges also form doublet of this group. Such situation holds e.g. for the Landau gauge condition. This paper is devoted to the Sp(2)-symmetric realization of the ghost spectrum for the general closed gauge algebras. Applications to the (super-) Yang-Mills theory, quantum gravity, Chapline-Manton model are considered.

Let's denote gauge fields φ_p , generators of gauge transformations $R^{p}{}_{a}(\varphi)$; $R^{p}{}_{a}\delta S_{cl}/\delta \varphi_p = 0$, S_{cl} -classical action. For the sake of simplicity we assume that φ_p are bosonic fields and $R^{p}{}_{a}$ are not degenerated (no "ghosts for ghosts"). The closure of the gauge algebra is expressed by the condition

$$R^{q}{}_{a}R^{p}{}_{b,q} - R^{q}{}_{b}R^{p}{}_{a,q} = f^{c}{}_{ab}R^{p}{}_{c},$$

where $R_{,q} = \delta R / \delta \varphi_q$. In general case Jacobi identities look like

$$f^{d}_{ae}f^{e}_{bc} + R^{p}_{a}f^{d}_{bc,p} + (abc \operatorname{perm}) = 0.$$

The ghost and anti-ghost fields will be denoted $c_i^a(x)$, where i = 1, 2-index of Sp(2). Basic requirement for the extended BRST-transformations $s_i = i[Q_i, \ldots]_{\pm}$ $(Q_i - BRST$ charges) is [1]

$$s_i s_k + s_k s_i = 0 \tag{1}$$

Then we easily find

$$s_{i}\varphi_{p} = R^{p}{}_{a}c_{i}^{a}, \qquad s_{i}c_{k}^{a} = \epsilon_{ik}B^{a} - \frac{1}{2}f^{a}{}_{bc}c_{i}^{b}c_{k}^{c}, \qquad \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)
$$s_{i}B^{a} = \frac{1}{2}f^{a}{}_{bc}B^{b}c_{i}^{c} - \frac{1}{12}(f^{a}{}_{be}f^{b}{}_{dc} + 2f^{a}{}_{dc,p}R^{p}{}_{e})c_{i}^{d}c_{n}^{c}\epsilon_{nm}c_{m}^{e}.$$

The field B^a is auxiliary and it will be used as Lagrange multiplier for gauge condition. Due to (1) there is only $s_i \epsilon_{ik} s_k$ -variations of the fields

$$s\epsilon s\varphi_p = 2B^a R^p{}_a + R^q{}_a R^p{}_{b,q} c^a \epsilon c^b,$$

$$s\epsilon sc^a{}_i = -4s_i B^a, \qquad s\epsilon sB^q = 0.$$
(3)

Gauge fixing and ghost parts of the action can be written as $S_{qu} = s\epsilon s f(\varphi, c, B)$. Landau gauge condition corresponds to $f \sim \varphi_p^2$ and for ordinary Yang-Mills fields we have

$$S_{\rm tot} = S_{\rm cl} + S_{\rm qu}, \qquad S_{\rm cl} = -\frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2,$$

$$S_{\rm qu} = \frac{i}{4} s\epsilon s \int d^4 x A^a_\mu A^a_\mu = i \int d^4 x (A^a_\mu \partial_\mu B^a + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu c^a \epsilon D^{ab}_\mu c^b), \qquad (4)$$

With the hermiticity assignment: $A^+_{\mu} = A_{\mu}, c^+_i = c_i, B^+ = -B$. Action S_{tot} is invariant under the following global transformations [3,6]

¹. gauge transformation (generators G^a)

$$\varphi^a \to \varphi^a + f^{abc} \theta^b \varphi^c, \qquad \varphi = (A_\mu, c_i, B)$$
 (5)

². Sp(2)-rotations (generators J^{α})

$$c_i \to c_i + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\alpha} \sigma^{\alpha}_{ik} c_k, \qquad A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu}, \qquad B \to B,$$
 (6)

for the simplicity we put σ^{α} equal to Pauli matrices

^{3.} extended BRST-transformations (2) (generators Q_i)

^{4.} shifts of the auxiliary field (generators P^a)

$$B^a \to B^a + \beta^a, \qquad \beta^a = \text{ const},$$
 (7)

^{5.} shifts of the ghost and auxiliary fields (generators $S^{a}{}_{i}$)

$$c_i^a \to c_i^a + \theta^a_i, \quad \theta^a_i = \text{ const}, B^a \to B^a + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} c^b \epsilon \theta^c.$$
(8)

One can verify that symmetries (5)-(8) uniquely pick out the Landau gauge from the ^{renormalizable} covariant gauges.

Ward identities for the effective action Γ coming from (8) are found in [6] and look like

$$\int d^4x \frac{\delta}{\delta c_i(x)} (\Gamma - S_{\rm tot}) = 0, \qquad (9)$$

i.e. ghost fields enter Γ via combination $\partial_{\mu}c_i$ beginning from 1-loop order. That is why in the Landau gauge ghost-ghost-gluon vertex need no renormalization.

Commutation relations of the conserved charges $G^a, J^{lpha}, Q^i, P^a, S^{a}{}_i$ are

$$\begin{aligned} [G^{a}, G^{b}] &= if^{abc}G^{c}, \qquad [J^{\alpha}, J^{\beta}] = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}J^{\gamma}, \\ [G^{a}, P^{b}] &= if^{abc}P^{c}, \qquad [J^{\alpha}, S^{a}_{i}] = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\alpha}_{ik}S^{a}_{k}, \\ [G^{a}, S^{b}_{i}] &= if^{abc}S^{c}_{i}, \qquad [J^{\alpha}, Q_{i}] = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\alpha}_{ik}Q_{k}, \\ [G^{a}, Q_{i}] &= 0, \qquad [J^{\alpha}, G^{a}] = [J^{\alpha}, P^{a}] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(10)$$

$$[P^a, P^b] = [P^a, S^b_i] = \{Q_i, Q_k\} = 0,$$
(11)

$$\{S^a{}_i, S^b{}_k\} = i\epsilon_{ik}f^{abc}P^c, \quad [P^a, Q_i] = iS^a{}_i, \tag{12}$$

$$\{Q_i, S^a{}_k\} = i\epsilon_{ik}G^a. \tag{13}$$

This superalgebra will be denoted sym. All the surface terms $\sim \int d^3x \partial_{\alpha} f^{\alpha}(\varphi)$ in (10)-(13) are dropped and their relevance will be discussed elsewhere. What is the structure of sym? First of all there is nilpotent subalgebra of shift symmetries generated by P^a and S^a_i . Denote it sh. It is easy to see that sp(2) subalgebra enters sym via the semidirect sum with ideal $I_1 = (G^a, Q_i, P^a, S^a_i)$. I_1 is also splitted into the semidirect sum of subalgebra of BRST-charges \underline{q} and $I_2 = (G^a, P^a, S^a_i)$. Therefore we have

$$sym = sp(2) \in I_1, \quad I_1 = q \in I_2, \quad I_2 = \underline{g} \in sh,$$
(14)

where \underline{g} is gauge subalgebra. It should be noted that due to (13) sh isn't an ideal of sym in contrast with I_1 and I_2 . Untrivial unification of BRST and gauge algebras (14) allows to suppose that there must be geometrical interpretation of the ghost fields different from that based on supergroup OSp(4, 2), which unifies Lorentz group with Sp(2) [4,7].

Physical states are defined by the condition [8,9]

$$Q_i | \mathrm{phys} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathrm{phys} | \mathrm{phys} \rangle \neq 0.$$
 (15)

If all charges are well defined then from (13) we have

$$i\epsilon_{ik}G^a |\text{phys}
angle = Q_i S^a{}_k |\text{phys}
angle = 0, \quad || Q_i |\psi
angle || = \langle \psi | Q_i^2 |\psi
angle = 0.$$
 (16)

This is Kogu-Ojima confinement criteria [8], but we cannot say that it is really justified. The fact is that symmetries from sh are spontaneously broken in the infinite space volume and charges P^a and S^a_i are defined badly. Goldstone modes appear in the fields B, c_i and in the longitudinal part of gauge field. For QED these modes coincide with the fields. For example, the same phenomena occurs with a free scalar massless field. If we neglect sh then superalgebra of symmetries is reduced to $\underline{g} \oplus (sp(2) \oplus q)$, which is much less interesting than (14).

Sp(2)-symmetric treatment of ghost fields has interesting conformal properties. Infinitesimal dilatational and special conformal transformations are

$$[iD,\varphi] = (d_{\varphi} + x_{\mu}\partial_{\mu})\varphi, \qquad (17)$$

$$[iK_{\lambda},\varphi] = (x^2\partial_{\lambda} - 2x_{\lambda}x_{\nu}\partial_{\nu} - 2d_{\varphi}x_{\lambda})\varphi + 2x_{\mu}A_{\lambda} - 2g_{\mu\lambda}x_{\nu}A_{\nu}, \qquad (18)$$

where d_{φ} -canonical dimensions of the fields, $d_{A_{\mu}} = d_{c_i} = 1$, $d_B = 2$. The last term in (18) is present only for A_{μ} . If we neglect conformal anomaly then action (4) is invariant under (17) and under (18) transforms as follows

$$\delta S_{\rm tot} = [ia_{\lambda}K_{\lambda}, S_{\rm tot}] = -4ia_{\lambda} \int d^4x B^a A^a_{\lambda} = -2ia_{\lambda} \int d^4x s \epsilon (cA_{\lambda}). \tag{19}$$

Two remarks are in order. First, due to the condition (15) physical states will not suffer from conformal symmetry breaking (19). Second, ghost part of the action appeared to be conformally invariant and non-invariant gauge fixing part produces only term bilinear in fields. In the gauges without Sp(2)-symmetry there will appear interaction term and conformal Ward identities will be essentially complicated. In our case term (19) can easily be taken into account by the redefinition of the gauge field propagator

$$\langle T A^a_{\mu}(x) A^b_{\nu}(y) \rangle = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{i(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^4 p \, e^{-ip(x-y)}}{p^2 + i0} \left\{ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu} + 4i(a_{\mu}p_{\nu} - a_{\nu}p_{\mu})}{p^2 + i0} \right\}.$$
(20)

Therefore effective action calculated with (20) will not depend on a_{μ} after the conformal transformation of the effective fields (if conformal anomaly is absent). In general α -gauge, where ghosts \overline{c}^a and c^a are inequivalent, conformal non-invariance can be compensated by non-local BRST-transformation only if $d_{\overline{c}} = 2$, $d_c = 0$ [10]. Here we have shown that when $\alpha = 0$ one can choose another prescription $d_{\overline{c}} = d_c = 1$. It can be checked that (19) is compensated by nonlocal extended BRST-transformations with parameters $\epsilon_i \sim a_{\mu} \int d^4x \, c_i A_{\mu}$.

Let's consider N = 1 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in the superfield formulation. Ghost part of the action in the Landau gauge is similar to (4)

$$S_{\rm qu} = \frac{1}{4}s\epsilon s \int d^8z V^2(z) = \int d^8z \left\{ (B-\overline{B})V + \frac{1}{2}(c-\overline{c})L_{\nu/2}\left(c+\overline{c}+\operatorname{cth}L_{\nu/2}(c-\overline{c})\right) \right\},\tag{21}$$

where $z = (x, \theta, \overline{\theta}), L_A B = [A, B], V$ -vector superfield, c_i and B-chiral superfields. Additional shift symmetry analogous to (8)

$$c_{i} \rightarrow c_{i} + \theta_{i}, \quad B \rightarrow B + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ik} [c_{i}, \theta_{k}], \quad \theta_{i}^{+} = \theta_{i},$$

$$\overline{c}_{i} \rightarrow \overline{c}_{i} + \theta_{i}, \quad \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{B} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ik} [\overline{c}_{i}, \theta_{k}] \qquad (22)$$

gives the following Ward identity [6]

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta \frac{\delta(\Gamma-S)}{\delta c_i} + \int d^4x d^2\overline{\theta} \frac{\delta(\Gamma-S)}{\delta \overline{c}_i} = 0$$
(23)

As a result of (23) superfield ghost-ghost-gluon vertex should not be renormalized in Parallel with the ordinary Yang-Mills case. Analogous result for the lowest orders of perturbation series was obtained earlier in [11] by means of the explicit resolution of BRST and anti-BRST Slavnov-Taylor identities.

In quantum gravity Landau gauge corresponds to the harmonic gauge

$$S_{\rm qu} = \frac{1}{4} s \epsilon s \int d^4 x \eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4 x \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu B_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu c^\lambda \epsilon \partial_\nu c_\lambda), \tag{24}$$

where surface terms are omitted, $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu}$, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ -flat metric. In Ref.[12] global symmetries of the harmonic gauge were analysed but not in a Sp(2)-symmetric manner. The use of c^{μ} and \bar{c}_{μ} ghosts with contravariant and covariant indices allowed to preserve there GL(4) invariance. Here c_i^{μ} and B^{μ} have flat indices $c_{\mu i} = \eta_{\mu\nu}c_i^{\nu}$, $B_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}B^{\nu}$ and GL(4) is manifestly broken by S_{qu} to Lorentz-invariance.

Action (24) is invariant under the various $c_{\mu i}$ and B_{μ} constant shift symmetries. The ^{consequences} of the corresponding Ward identities were formulated in [13] before the ^{explicit} description of these symmetries in [12].

Next example concerns Chapline-Manton model [14]. Classical action is invariant under the mixed abelian and non-abelian gauge transformations

$$\delta A^a_\mu = D^{ab}_\mu \theta^b(x), \quad \delta B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{[\mu} \theta_{\nu]}(x) + \theta^a(x) \partial_{[\mu} A^a_{\nu]}, \tag{25}$$

where $B_{\mu\nu}$ is skew tensor entering supergravity multiplet. In this case gauge generators $R^{p}{}_{a}$ are degenerated and "ghosts for ghosts" appear. Correct ghost spectrum was found in [15]. Let's represent it in a more compact Sp(2)-way. For the fields A_{μ} all looks standartly (2), for $B_{\mu\nu}$ we need ghosts $c_{\mu i}$ and auxiliary fields $B_{\mu i}$, $M_{ik} = M_{ki}$ (bosonic) and N_{i} (fermionic)

$$S_{i}B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{[\mu}c_{\nu i]} + c_{i}^{a}\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}^{a},$$

$$S_{i}c_{\mu\kappa} = \epsilon_{ik}B_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}M_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}f_{j}^{abc}A_{\mu}^{a}c_{i}^{b}c_{k}^{c},$$

$$S_{i}B_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}N_{i} + \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}A_{\mu}^{a}\left(B^{b}c_{i}^{c} - \frac{1}{6}f^{bde}c_{i}^{d}c_{k}^{e}\epsilon_{kn}c_{n}^{c}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6}f^{abc}c_{i}^{a}\left(D_{\mu}^{bd}c_{k}^{d}\right)\epsilon_{kn}c_{n}^{c},$$

$$S_{i}M_{kj} = -\epsilon_{ik}N_{j} - \epsilon_{ij}N_{k} + \frac{1}{6}f^{abc}c_{i}^{a}c_{k}^{b}c_{j}^{c},$$

$$S_{i}N_{k} = \frac{1}{6}f^{abc}B^{a}c_{i}^{b}c_{k}^{c}.$$

$$(26)$$

The simplest Sp(2)-symmetric not degenerated action S_{qu} is rather cumbersome and we omit it.

Instead of the Lagrangian formalism used hitherto one may work within the Hamiltonian BRST-approach [2,16]. In the latter main problem lies in the construction of the conserved nilpotent BRST-charge. Let's look for the Sp(2)-covariant case. Let ψ_a be bosonic constraints of the first class $i[\psi_a, \psi_b] = U^c{}_{ab}\psi_c$, v^a -Lagrange multipliers for the constraints and \mathcal{P}^a -corresponding conjugated momenta, $[v^a, \mathcal{P}^b] = i\delta^{ab}$. Ghost fields and their canonical momenta are doublets c^a_i and π^{ai} , $\{c^a_i, \pi^{bk}\} = -i\delta^{ab}\delta^k_i$. If structure coefficients $U^a{}_{bc}$ are independent of fields (first range theories) then extended BRST-charges are written in such a way

$$Q_{i} = \psi_{a}c_{i}^{a} - \frac{1}{2}\pi_{a}^{n}U^{a}{}_{bc}c_{n}^{b}c_{i}^{c} + \epsilon_{in}\pi_{a}^{n}\mathcal{P}^{a} - \frac{1}{2}v_{a}U^{a}{}_{bc}\left(\mathcal{P}^{b}c_{i}^{c} - \frac{1}{6}U^{b}{}_{de}c_{i}^{d}c_{n}^{e}\epsilon_{nm}c_{m}^{c}\right).$$
(27)

They satisfy basic relation $\{Q_i, Q_k\} = 0$. Generalization to the higher rang theories a^{lso} can be done but then Q_i involve much more complicated structures.

To conclude, in this paper Sp(2, R)-covariant realization of the ghost spectrum for the general closed gauge algebra theories is considered. Extended BRST-transformations are described. Properties of the simplest Sp(2)-symmetric Landau gauge are briefly analysed for the (super-) Yang-Mills theory and quantum gravity. In this gauge there are additional constant shift symmetries with interesting Ward identities. For the ordinary Yang-Mills theory conformal properties of the ghost fields are investigated. Essential simplification of the conformal Ward identities is achieved in Sp(2)-symmetric gauges. In summary we can say that Sp(2)-symmetry is useful additional tool in quantizing of gauge theories.

The author is grateful to V. Kac, V. A. Matveev, V. A. Rubakov and I. Todorov for the useful discussions.

References

- [1] L. Baulieu, Phys. Rep. 129 (1985) 1.
- [2] T. E. Fradkina, FIAN Reports, Moscow, "Nauka", 1986, v.167, p. 180.
- [3] N. Nakanishi, I. Ojima, Z. Phys. C6 (1980) 155.
- [4] R. Delbourgo, P. D. Jarvis, J. Phys., A15 (1982) 611.
- [5] J. Hoyos et al, Nucl. Phys. B218 (1983) 159.
- [6] V. P. Spiridonov, Yad. Fiz., 46 (1987) 302.
- [7] L. Bonora, M. Tonin, Phys. Lett., B98 (1981) 48.
- [8] T. Kogu, I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl., 66 (1979) 1.
- [9] I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys., 64 (1980) 625;
 S. Hwang, Nucl. Phys., B231 (1984) 386.
- [10] E. S. Fradkin, M. Ya. Palchik, Proc. of the seminar "Quarks-84", INR, Moscow, 1985, v.1, p.41.
- ^[11] V. P. Spiridonov, Yad. Fiz. 41 (1985) 1642.
- [12] N. Nakanishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63 (1980) 2078.
- ^[13] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 953.
- [14] G. F. Chapline, N. S. Manton, Phys. Lett. 120B (1983) 105.
- ^[15] L. Baulieu, Nucl. Phys. B227 (1983) 157.
- [16] E. S. Fradkin, G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. 55B (1975) 224;
 I. A. Batalin, G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. 69B (1977) 309.