# Study of Nuclear Transparency in Proton- Nucleus Collisions For 20 GeV/c $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$ GeV/c

T.S. Saini<sup>\*1</sup>, M. K. Singh<sup>1</sup>, A. Kumar<sup>2</sup>, J. P. Gupta<sup>3</sup>, Hardik P. Trivedi<sup>4</sup>, A. Kansal<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Satya College of Engg. & Tech., Palwal, (Haryana) - 121 102, INDIA,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Vivekanand College of Tech. & Magt. Aligarh (U.P.) – 202 001, INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, D. S. Post Graduate College, Aligarh (U.P.)-202 001, INDIA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Mewar University, Chittorgarh (Rajasthan)- 312 901, INDIA,

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, ITM, Gwalior (M.P.) INDIA

\*thansingh@satyaedu.org

### Introduction

The absorption cross-sections of hadrons (i.e. p,  $p^-$ ,  $\pi^{\pm}$ ,  $K^{\pm}$ , etc.) interacting with target nuclei have been measured by different groups of scientists over widely different energy ranges [1-5] on a large number of nuclei from 'He' to 'U'. Theoretically, these absorption cross- sections have been parameterized by considering de-Broglie's wave length of the incident hadrons [6, 7]. Mehta and Kailas [8] modified this parameterization by introducing a new parameter, called transmission coefficient (T). Latter, Agrawal and Gupta et al., [11] also adopted this modified formula. Some other physicists [3, 9 and 10] gave the expression of absorption cross-section depending upon atomic mass number of target nuclei at a single energy. Several studies [12-14] have now been done in which atomic mass dependence of  $\sigma_{abs}$  has been investigated.

In the present paper we study the nuclear transparency in proton-nucleus interactions for 20 GeV/c  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV/c momentum range. In our study we include different target nuclei (i.e. Li, Be, C, Al, Cu, Sn, Pb & U) with light, medium and heavy mass.

# Procedure of Present Calculation and Analysis

The values of proton (p) absorption crosssection are calculated using the energy dependent black disc formula

$$\sigma_{abs} = \pi (R + \lambda)^2$$
 (1)

with  $\lambda = \lambda/2\pi$ , where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the proton and R is the radius of the target nucleus and given by  $R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ 

The parameter  $r_0$  is taken 1.5 fermi as earlier physicist used [7, 8]. The  $\sigma_{abs}$  is calculated for 20 GeV/c  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV/c of eight nuclei, i.e. Li, Be, C, Al, Cu, Sn, Pb and U.

 $Comparison \ of \ calculated \ values \ of \ \sigma_{abs} \ with \\ the \ corresponding \ available \ experimental \ values$ 

shows that the calculated values of  $\sigma_{abs}$  are consistently higher than the latter.

Thus, the expression (1) requires some modification if agreement between the experimental and calculated values of  $\sigma_{abs}$  is to be achieved. Therefore, we use the following expression

$$\sigma_{abs} = \pi (R + \lambda)^2 \times T \qquad (2)$$

Here, T is the transmission coefficient.

For certain nuclei, if T = 1, then the nuclei are called completely black, if T=0, then the nuclei are called completely transparent.

Now, agreement between the calculated and experimental values of  $\sigma_{abs}$  is achieved in all cases considered in this work by giving different numerical values to T (0 < T < 1), and then getting by multiplying the calculated values of  $\sigma_{abs}$  by these values.

Table 1: The values of proton-nucleus absorption cross-sections,  $\sigma_{abs}$  (mb), for 20 GeV/c  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV/c are shown in table

Nucl ei	P (GeV/c)	σ <sub>abs</sub> (Exp.) (mb)	σ <sub>abs</sub> (Calc.) (mb)	Т	σ <sub>abs</sub> (Calc.). T (mb)
Li <sup>7</sup>	20	175±2	260.30	0.672	174.92
	30	174±2	259.72	0.669	173.75
	40	175±2	259.54	0.674	174.92
	50	174±2	259.36	0.670	170.42
	60	176±2	259.17	0.679	175.98
Be <sup>9</sup>	20	209±3	307.42	0.679	208.93
	30	210±3	306.83	0.684	209.87
	40	210±2	306.66	0.685	210.06
	50	210±3	306.44	0.685	209.91
	60	216±2	306.24	0.705	215.90
C <sup>12</sup>	20	247±2	373.52	0.661	246.90
	30	247±3	371.35	0.665	246.94
	40	246±2	370.71	0.663	245.78
	50	247±3	370.49	0.666	246.74
	60	252±4	370.27	0.680	251.78

The data of other five nuclei (i.e.  $Al^{27}$ ,  $Cu^{63}$ ,  $Sn^{118}$ ,  $Pb^{207}$ , and U238) is not shown in this table.

Available online at www.sympnp.org/proceedings



Fig. 1: The variation of Nuclear Transparency of 'Be', and 'C' nuclei with proton momentum  $(p_{mom})$  ranging from 20 GeV  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV.



Fig. 2: The variation of Nuclear Transparency of 'Al' and 'Cu' nuclei with proton momentum  $(p_{mom})$  ranging from 20 GeV  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV.



**Fig. 3:** The variation of Nuclear Transparency of 'Sn', 'Pb' and 'U' nuclei with proton momentum  $(p_{mom})$  ranging from 20 GeV  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV.

## **Result and Discussion**

The result of this work is represented in the fig. (1-2). Therefore in figure (1) the variation of nuclear transparency of 'Be' and 'C' nuclei with proton momentum ( $p_{mom}$ ) has shown. While the figure (2) represents the variation of nuclear transparency of 'Al' and 'Cu' nuclei with proton momentum ( $p_{mom}$ ) has shown. In the figure (3) the variation of nuclear transparence with proton energy between 20 GeV  $\leq p_{mom} \leq 60$  GeV has shown.

From all three figures (1, 2 and 3) it is apparent that at higher values of momentum, nuclei shall be only partially black to proton. The nuclei are not likely to become completely transparent to proton no matter how high momentum it is given.

#### Acknowledgement

T. S. Saini, M. K. Singh and Hardik P. Trivedi are very grateful thanks to the Director, Satya College of Engg. & Tech., Palwal(Haryana) and also to Dr. Jai Prakash Gupta, Dr. Anil Kumar and Dr. Archana Kansal for providing the necessary support in research.

#### References

- [1] Yu. B. Bushnin, et al., Preprint IHEP 72 (2010)
- [2] S. P. Denisov, et al., Nucl. Phys. B61, (2006)
- [3] P. Weber, et al., Nucl. Phys. A534, 541 (2000)
- [4] R. Tacik, et al., Phys. Rev C57, 3, 1295 (1998)
- [5] D. Rowntree, et al., Phys. Rev. C60, 054610-1 (2003)
- [6] I. Slaus, et al., Phys. Rev. C12, 1039 (2005)
- [7] D. K. Hasell, et al., Phys. Rev. C27, 482 (1983)
- [8] M. K. Mehta and S. Kailas, Pramana J. Phys. 27, 193 (2009)
- [9] S. J. Brodsky, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1120 (2008)
- [10] J. Cujnon, et al., Nucl. Phys. A379, 553 (2010)
- [11] A. Agrawal, J. P. Gupta *et al.*, Indian J. Phys. A63, 375 (2005)
- [12] T. S. Saini, *et al.*, Proceedings of Int. Symp.
- Nucl. Phys., BARC, Mumbai (India) 54, 456 (2009)
- [13] R. C. Gupata and S. P. Goel, Acta Phys. Slov., 38, 321 (1988)
- [14] T. S. Saini, *et al.*, Proceedings of DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys., BITS, Pilani (India) 55, 522 (2010)