# Evolution of Shapes through Collective and Non-Collective Excitations in <sup>120</sup>Te, <sup>122</sup>Te and <sup>124</sup>Xe

Somnath Nag\*

Dept. of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, IN-721302, India

#### Introduction

Angular momentum generation in a nucleus can take place either through single-particle contribution or via collective phenomenon. The nuclei in A  $\sim$  120-125 region lie in a transitional region between spherical Sn isotopes and highly deformed Ce nuclei. The spherical nuclei are characterized by vibrational states at low spin whereas non-collective singleparticle contributions dominate at higher spin values. On the other hand, collective excitations prevail in deformed nuclei. The existence of collective and single-particle degrees of freedom within a transitional nucleus provides the motivation to carry out studies on nuclear structural properties up to a large angular momentum and excitation

The present thesis explores the evolution of shape changes in the <sup>120</sup>Te, <sup>122</sup>Te and <sup>124</sup>Xe nuclei with excitation energy and angular momentum using  $\gamma$ -spectroscopy. The nuclei <sup>120</sup>Te and <sup>124</sup>Xe were populated using heavy-ion fusion reactions  $^{80}$ Se( $^{48}$ Ca,  $\alpha 4 n/4 n)^{120} Te/^{124} Xe$  with Gammasphere array. The study of  $^{122} Te$  involved two experiments, one using <sup>116</sup>Cd(<sup>11</sup>B, p4n)<sup>122</sup>Te with INGA facility and the other using 82Se(48Ca,  $\alpha 4n$ )<sup>122</sup>Te with Gammasphere. The previously known level schemes were extended to considerable higher spins using  $\gamma$ -ray coincidence measurements. The experimental results were discussed in the theoretical framework of pairing independent cranked Nilsson Strutinsky (CNS) model calculations. The overall findings are summarized below.

#### Non-collective low-spin states

The angular momentum generation within medium-spin region in Te isotopes takes place through alignment of non-zero angular momentum of individual nucleons within the valence space. Non-collective oblate states became energetically favored at maximally aligned or anti-aligned configurations. These states have been observed at  $I = 16^+$  and  $22^+,\; 24^+,\; 21^-,\; 25^-$  etc. in  $^{120,122}{\rm Te},$  respectively. By comparing observed results with those of CNS model calculation, configuration to the states were assigned as  $\pi[(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^2]$  $\otimes \nu[((d_{3/2}s_{1/2})^nh_{11/2})^4]$  for positive-parity (where n is 0 and 2 for  $^{120}$ Te and  $^{122}$ Te, respectively) and negative parity aligned states involve contribution from odd number of quasiparticles in negative-parity  $(h_{11/2})$  orbitals [1– 3]. In  $^{124}\mathrm{Xe}$  maximally aligned states were observed at  $34^+$  and  $35^-$  with configurations  $\pi[(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^2 h_{11/2}^2] \otimes \nu[d_{3/2}^2, h_{11/2}^4]$  and  $\pi[(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^2 h_{11/2}^2] \otimes \nu[d_{3/2}, (h_{11/2})^5]$ , respectively were observed [4]. Apart from maximally aligned states, occupation of timereversed orbitals by nucleons produced a few energetically favored anti-aligned states around  $I \sim 20\hbar$  in <sup>120</sup>Te and <sup>122</sup>Te.

Several weakly populated high-energy transitions were observed beyond terminating levels [2]. The states could be explained with core-excitation of neutrons from  $(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})$  to either  $d_{3/2}, s_{1/2}$  or  $h_{11/2}$  orbitals, which may then be coupled to proton configuration with or without  $h_{11/2}$  occupancy.

### **High-Spin Rotational Bands**

Several high-spin rotational bands, extending up to  $I \sim 50$  -  $60\hbar$ , were observed in  $^{120,122}{\rm Te}$  and  $^{124}{\rm Xe}$ . The bands start around  $I \sim 25\hbar$  and an excitation energy of  $\sim 10$  MeV. Though, transitions connecting

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: somnanag@phy.iitkgp.ernet.in

high-spin bands to the low-spin part of level scheme were not observed. Consequently, tentative spins were chosen in accordance to those of the connected bands in neighboring nuclei ( $^{125-126}$ Xe [5, 6] and  $^{125}$ I [7]) and excitation energies were estimated from their relative intensities. E2 multipolarity was tentatively assigned to the intra-band transitions owing to smooth variation of the energies of transitions. Moreover, in a few cases, band crossings were observed, which could be addressed through CNS model calculations [8].

The collectivity is replenished through dominant contribution from proton excitations across the Z = 50 shell gap (favorably, two proton holes in  $g_{9/2}$  orbital compared to single proton excitation). The high-spin bands in <sup>120,122</sup>Te could be explained with the proton-hole excitations coupled to neutron excitations within the N=50 - 82 shells. Here the number of neutrons is less than or equal to seventy. However in  $^{124}$ Xe, with N= 70 (Z = 54), similar configurations were theoretically predicated, except for a few bands with configurations involving neutron excitations across the N = 82 core were also observed [8]. Recent calculations [9] for <sup>125-126</sup>Xe showed that bands in <sup>125</sup>Xe with higher deformation involves neutron excitations across the N=82 core compared to less deformed band in <sup>126</sup>Xe where no neutron excitations beyond N = 82 shell closure was suggested. But, further insight is required to draw a conclusion on how the deformation of a nucleus is correlated to the total number of nucleons.

Even though, the CNS model calculations have been very successful in describing highspin bands in various mass regions, a few discrepancies were reported in  $^{124}$ Ba [10],  $^{125}$ I

[7], and <sup>126</sup>Xe [5]. For example, the lowest-energy configurations predicted by CNS calculation were not observed experimentally in <sup>125</sup>I [7] and <sup>126</sup>Xe [5]. Similar observations were made in <sup>124</sup>Xe. Thus it remains a puzzle, and requires further exploration.

#### Acknowledgments

I acknowledge the support from CSIR, India (09/081(0704)/2009-EMR-I). I acknowledge my supervisor Prof. A. K. Singh, Prof. Herbert Hübel, Prof. G. B. Hagemann, Prof. G. Sletten and Prof. I. Ragnarsson for the constant guidance. I am grateful to the operations staff at TIFR and Gammasphere as well as target lab staffs at TIFR and VECC. I acknowledge the support from INGA collaboration.

## References

- [1] Somnath Nag et al., Phys. Rev. C 85, 014310 (2012).
- [2] Somnath Nag et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 044335 (2013).
- [3] Somnath Nag *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **49**, 145 (2013).
- [4] A. Al-Khatib et al., Euro. Phys. J. A 36, 21 (2008).
- [5] C. R. Hansen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 034311 (2007).
- [6] A. Al-Khatib *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 024306 (2011).
- [7] Purnima Singh et al., Phys. Rev. C 84, 024316 (2011).
- [8] Somnath Nag *et al.*, to be submitted to Phys. Rev. C.
- [9] I. Ragnarsson et al. INPC2013.
- [10] A. Al-Khatib *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 74, 014305 (2006).