

Three-body $B_{(s)}^0$ to $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays

T. Estabar* and H. Mehraban

Physics Department, Semnan University, P.O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran *E-mail: t.estabar@semnan.ac.ir

Received March 4, 2018; Revised May 11, 2018; Accepted May 16, 2018; Published June 28, 2018

We investigate the three-body decays of the B^0 meson to $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and the B_s^0 meson to $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$. Hadronic three-body decays include both non-resonant and resonant contributions, on the basis of the factorization hypothesis. In this analysis, resonant structure is exhibited only in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ channel, whose resonant contribution can be described by S-wave, P-wave, and D-wave $\pi^+\pi^-$ contributions from $f_0(980)$, ρ , and f_2 mesons, and other possible resonance. Therefore, the theoretical values at the scale m_b are $(1.69 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-7}$ and $(3.28 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-6}$, while the corresponding experimental results are $(1.82 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-7}$ and $(3.48 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$, respectively. Comparing the numerical analysis values with the experimental values shows that our results at the scale μ_b are in agreement.

Subject Index B59

1. Introduction

The three-body decays of $B_s^0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $B^0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ have not been observed before and were recorded by the LHCb experiment and tabulated by the Particle Data Group (PDG) [1,2].

The three-body decay of the D meson to $K\pi\pi$ was analyzed a long time ago [3]. In these sorts of three-body decay, the final-state mesons are supposed to be light. The momentum of the output mesons and the amplitude matrix elements are written by the variables $s = (p_B - p_3)^2$ and t = $(p_B - p_1)^2$ [4,5], and the Dalitz plot technique should be used integrated from s_{\min} , t_{\min} to s_{\max} , t_{max} to compute the decay width. This double integral encompasses all angles between the momenta of the output mesons. The amplitudes of the three-body decays can be obtained, and the Feynman quark diagrams should be plotted. The direct three-body $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay receives two separate parts: one from the point-like weak transition and the other from the pole diagrams that involve three-point or four-point strong vertices. First, we consider parameters that appear in the factorized term of the hadronic matrix element. In the case of $\langle B^0 \to \pi \pi \rangle \times \langle 0 \to \phi \rangle$, both π mesons are located in the form factor. In fact, two-meson matrix element transition of the B meson is described to the π mesons. The ϕ is placed in the decay constant. In addition, there is the emissionannihilation process $\langle B^0 \to 0 \rangle \times \langle 0 \to \phi \pi \pi \rangle$. The total amplitude is computed as the sum of the amplitudes of the non-resonant and resonant contributions. The resonant contribution is evaluated by Dalitz plot analysis. The amplitude matrix element is related to multiplying the B meson by the pion pair transition in the different waves by the vacuum to ϕ meson transition. There are several resonances in the S-wave, P-wave, and D-wave $\pi^+\pi^-$ contributions with $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass in the range $400 < m(\pi^+\pi^-) < 1600 \,\text{Mev}/c^2$. Analysis of the resonant contribution defined by the Breit–Wigner function is used to investigate the intermediate states $f_0\phi$, $\rho\phi$, and $f_2\phi$. Other threebody $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay is investigated. The parameters appearing in the factorized term are $\langle B_s^0 \to \phi \rangle \times \langle 0 \to \pi \pi \rangle$ and $\langle B_s^0 \to 0 \rangle \times \langle 0 \to \phi \pi \pi \rangle$. The $0 \to \pi \pi$ matrix element is supposed to

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

which permus uncesureev reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provide Downloaded from https://**AumaiddHbyCSGOpAR**dom/ptep/article-abstract/2018/6/063B08/5046152 by CERN - European Organization for Nuclear Research user on 09 July 2018

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Physical Society of Japan.

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

be proportional to the pion scalar, vector, and tensor form factors; then, the different resonances f_{0i} , ρ_i , and f_2 show in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ interaction.

2. Amplitude analysis

In this section, the amplitude and branching ratio of $B^0 \to \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $B_s^0 \to \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ are obtained by using a factorization method. We have to contemplate the non-resonant and resonant contributions separately. Hadronic weak decays are evaluated by the effective weak Hamiltonian [6]

$$H_{\rm eff} = \frac{G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i} [V_{\rm CKM} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu)]. \tag{1}$$

Here, G_F defines the Fermi coupling constant, the coefficients V_{CKM} are elements of the Cabibbo– Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix [7,8], $C_i(\mu)$ are the Wilson coefficients [9], and $O_{1,2}$ denote current–current operators, O_{3-6} being penguin operators and O_{7-10} the electroweak penguin operators [10].

2.1. Non-resonant contribution

In the factorization approach, the Feynman diagrams for three-body $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay are depicted in Fig. 1, which includes the factorizable and non-factorizable diagrams. In the penguin level, the two π mesons are located in the form factor and the ϕ meson is located in the decay constant. The emission annihilation diagrams in which ϕ is emitted via gluon exchange are named "hairpin diagrams." The current analysis includes non-factorizable effects. The non-factorizable terms are dependent on vertex corrections and hard spectator interactions [11]. The non-factorizable diagrams depicted in Fig. 2 should be taken into account. For studying $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ we use the QCD factorization framework, which includes theoretical properties of QCD like color transparency and hard scattering. Thus, the amplitude of this decay includes $\langle B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \rangle \times \langle 0 \rightarrow \phi \rangle$ and $\langle B^0 \rightarrow 0 \rangle \times \langle 0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \rangle$. Thus, the decay amplitude is given by

$$\langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | H_{\text{eff}} | B^{0} \rangle = \frac{iG_{\text{F}}}{2\sqrt{2}} ((a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7})\lambda_{p} \langle \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{b}d)_{V-A} | B^{0} \rangle \\ \times \langle \phi | (\bar{s}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle + (a_{2}V_{ub}V_{us}^{*} + (a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7})\lambda_{p}) \\ \times \langle 0 | (\bar{b}d)_{V-A} | B^{0} \rangle \langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{u}u)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \\ + (a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7} + a_{4} + a_{1}0)\lambda_{p} \langle 0 | (\bar{b}d)_{V-A} | B^{0} \rangle \\ \times \langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{d}d)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle + (a_{6} + a_{8})\lambda_{p} \langle 0 | (\bar{b}d) | B^{0} \rangle \\ \times \langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{d}d) | 0 \rangle,$$
(2)

where $\lambda_p = \sum_{p=u,c} V_{pb} V_{pd}^*$. The three-body matrix elements $\langle \pi^+ \pi^- | (\bar{b}d)_{V-A} | B^0 \rangle$ have the following general form [12]:

$$\langle \pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}(p_{B})\rangle = ir(p_{B}-p_{1}-p_{2})_{\mu} + iw_{+}(p_{1}+p_{2})_{\mu} + iw_{-}(p_{1}-p_{2})_{\mu} + h\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{B}^{\nu}(p_{1}+p_{2})^{\alpha}(p_{2}-p_{1})^{\beta}.$$
(3)

We need to consider point-like and pole diagrams depicted in Fig. 3. We also require the strong coupling constant of $B^*B\pi$ and $BB\pi\pi$. The form factors w_{\pm} and r for the non-resonant decay are



Fig. 1. $B^0(B^0_S) \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

evaluated from these diagrams as [13]

$$r = \frac{f_B}{2f_{\pi}^2} - \frac{f_B}{f_{\pi}^2} \frac{p_B \cdot (p_2 - p_1)}{(p_B - p_1 - p_2)^2 - m_B^2} + \frac{2gf_{B^*}}{f_{\pi}^2} \sqrt{\frac{m_B}{m_{B^*}}} \frac{(p_B - p_1) \cdot p_1}{(p_B - p_1)^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\ - \frac{4g^2 f_B}{f_{\pi}^2} \frac{m_B m_{B^*}}{(p_B - p_1 - p_2)^2 - m_B^2} \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2 - p_1 \cdot (p_B - p_1) p_2 \cdot (p_B - p_1) / m_{B^*}^2}{(p_B - p_1)^2 - m_{B^*}^2}, \\ w_+ = -\frac{g}{f_{\pi}^2} \frac{f_{B^*} m_{B^*} \sqrt{m_B^* m_B}}{(p_B - p_1)^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \left(1 - \frac{(p_B - p_1) \cdot p_1}{m_{B^*}^2}\right) + \frac{f_B}{2f_{\pi}^2}, \\ w_- = \frac{g}{f_{\pi}^2} \frac{f_{B^*} m_{B^*} \sqrt{m_B^* m_B}}{(p_B - p_1)^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \left(1 + \frac{(p_B - p_1) \cdot p_1}{m_{B^*}^2}\right), \\ h = 2g^2 \frac{f_B}{f_{\pi}} \frac{m_B^2}{(m_B^2 - m_{\phi}^2 - s)(t + m_B^2 - m_{\pi}^2)},$$
(4)

where g is a heavy-flavor independent strong coupling. The decay constants of the vector meson are defined as [14]

$$\langle 0|(\bar{s}s)_{V-A}|\phi(p_3,\varepsilon)\rangle = f_{\phi}m_{\phi}\varepsilon_{\mu}^*.$$
(5)



Fig. 2. Non-factorizable diagrams for $B^0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

For the multiplication of the matrix element, we have

$$\langle \pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}(p_{B})\rangle\langle 0|(\bar{s}s)_{V-A}|\phi(p_{3},\varepsilon)\rangle$$

= $if_{\phi}m_{\phi}(r\varepsilon.p_{3}+w^{+}\varepsilon.(p_{1}+p_{2})+w^{-}\varepsilon.(p_{2}-p_{1})),$ (6)

where, under the Lorentz condition, $\varepsilon p_3 = 0$. The structure of the polarization vector can be described as

$$\varepsilon^{\lambda=0} = (|p_3|, 0, 0, p_3^0)/m_3,$$

$$\varepsilon^{\lambda=\pm 1} = \frac{\mp(0, 1, \pm i, 0)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (7)



Fig. 3. Point-like and pole diagrams for $B^0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

The energy-momentum conservation can be shown by:

$$p_B = p_1 + p_2 + p_3. \tag{8}$$

We define the following three invariants that are not independent as:

$$s_{12} = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (p_B - p_3)^2,$$

$$s_{13} = (p_1 + p_3)^2 = (p_B - p_2)^2,$$

$$s_{23} = (p_2 + p_3)^2 = (p_B - p_1)^2.$$
(9)

According to the definition of four-momentum conservation, we have, from the invariants,

$$s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{23} = m_B^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2;$$
 (10)

we let $s_{12} = s$ and $s_{23} = t$. In the center of mass of $\pi^{-}(p_1)$ and $\pi^{+}(p_2)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |p_1| &= |p_2| = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{s - 4m_1^2}, \\ p_1^0 &= p_2^0 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{s}, \\ |p_3| &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}}\sqrt{(m_B^2 - m_3^2 - s)^2 - 4sm_3^2}, \\ p_3^0 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}}(m_B^2 - m_3^2 - s), \end{aligned}$$
(11)

and the cosine of the helicity angle θ between the direction of p_2 and that of p_3 reads

$$\cos\theta = \frac{1}{4|p_2||p_3|} (m_B^2 + m_3^2 + 2m_2^2 - s - 2t).$$
(12)

With these definitions, we obtain

$$\varepsilon.(p_1 + p_2) = 2p_1^0 \varepsilon^0,$$

$$\varepsilon.(p_2 - p_1) = 2|\varepsilon||p_1|\cos\theta.$$
(13)

The matrix elements of the annihilation process are written by

$$\langle \pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})|(\bar{d}d)_{V-A}|0\rangle = \frac{2i}{f_{\pi}} \left(p_{2\mu} - \frac{p_{B}p_{2}}{p_{B}^{2} - p_{3}^{2}} p_{B\mu} \right) F^{\phi\pi\pi}(q^{2}),$$

$$\langle \pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})f_{0}(p_{3})|(\bar{d}d)|0\rangle = v \frac{f_{B}m_{B}^{2}}{f_{\pi}m_{b}} \left(1 - \frac{s_{13} - m_{1}^{2} - m_{3}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2} - m_{3}^{2}} \right) F^{\phi\pi\pi}(q^{2}),$$

$$(14)$$

where

$$v = \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d}.$$
(15)

The form factor $F(q^2)$ in Eq. (14) is described as

$$F^{M_1 M_2 M_3}(q^2) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2 / \Lambda_x^2}.$$
(16)

We intend to compute the branching ratios for $B \longrightarrow \phi \pi \pi$ decay in the improved QCD factorization approach. In order to do this, we consider the vertex corrections to this decay indicated by $f_{\rm I}$ and $f_{\rm II}$ in factorization. Their effects can be mixed with the Wilson coefficients [15]:

$$a_{2} = c_{2} + \frac{c_{1}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \frac{C_{F}}{3} c_{1} \left(-18 + 12 \ln \frac{m_{c}}{\mu} + f_{I} + f_{II} \right),$$

$$a_{3} = c_{3} + \frac{c_{4}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \frac{C_{F}}{3} c_{4} \left(-18 + 12 \ln \frac{m_{c}}{\mu} + f_{I} + f_{II} \right),$$

$$a_{5} = c_{5} + \frac{c_{6}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \frac{C_{F}}{3} c_{6} \left(+6 - 12 \ln \frac{m_{c}}{\mu} - f_{I} - f_{II} \right),$$

$$a_{7} = c_{7} + \frac{c_{8}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \frac{C_{F}}{3} c_{8} \left(+6 - 12 \ln \frac{m_{c}}{\mu} - f_{I} - f_{II} \right),$$

$$a_{9} = c_{9} + \frac{c_{10}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \frac{C_{F}}{3} c_{10} \left(-18 + 12 \ln \frac{m_{c}}{\mu} + f_{I} + f_{II} \right),$$
(17)

where the term f_i , the hard scattering function, results from the vertex corrections and f_{II} is expected with the hard gluon exchange involving the spectator quark in the *D* meson. The vertex corrections are given by [16]:

$$f_{\rm I} = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{f_{\phi}} \int dx \phi_{\phi}^L(x) \left[\frac{3(1-2x)}{1-x} \ln(x) - 3\pi i + 3\ln(1-r^2) + \frac{2r^2(1-x)}{1-r^2x} \right],$$

$$f_{\rm II} = \frac{4\pi^2}{N} \frac{if_R f_B f_{\phi}}{X_s} \int_0^1 d\rho \frac{\phi_{||}^B(\rho)}{\rho} \int_0^1 du \frac{\phi_{||}^\phi(u)}{u} \int_0^1 d\eta \frac{\phi_{||}^R(\eta)}{\eta}.$$
 (18)

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article-abstract/2018/6/063B08/5046152 by CERN - European Organization for Nuclear Research user on 09 July 2018 The leading twist distribution amplitudes are given in terms of an expansion in Gegenbauer polynomials [17]:

$$\phi_i(u,\mu) = 6u\bar{u} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(\mu) C_n^{3/2} (2u-1) \right], \quad i = \bot, \parallel.$$
(19)

The other three-body decay that is investigated is $B_s^0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay. Feynman diagrams for this decay are shown in Fig. 1. The amplitude of this decay includes $\langle B_s^0 \longrightarrow \phi \rangle \times \langle 0 \longrightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \rangle$ and $\langle B_s^0 \longrightarrow 0 \rangle \times \langle 0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \rangle$. Thus, under the factorization hypothesis, the decay amplitude $B_s^0 \longrightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ is given by

$$\langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | H_{\text{eff}} | B_{s}^{0} \rangle = \frac{iG_{\text{F}}}{2\sqrt{2}} ((a_{2}V_{ub}V_{us}^{*} + (a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7})\lambda_{p}) \langle \phi | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_{s}^{0} \rangle$$

$$\times \langle \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{u}u)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle + ((a_{3} + a_{5} - 1/2(a_{7} + a_{9}))\lambda_{p}$$

$$\times \langle \phi | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_{s}^{0} \rangle \langle \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{d}d)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle + (a_{2}V_{ub}V_{us}^{*}$$

$$+ (a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7})\lambda_{p} \rangle \langle 0 | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_{s}^{0} \rangle \langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{u}u)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle$$

$$+ (a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7} + a_{9})\lambda_{p} \langle 0 | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_{s}^{0} \rangle$$

$$\times \langle \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} | (\bar{d}d)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle).$$

$$(20)$$

The hadronic matrix elements for $B_s^0 \longrightarrow \phi$ can be described as [18]

$$\langle \phi(p_3,\varepsilon) | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_s^0(p_{B_s}) \rangle = i((m_3 + m_{B_s})\varepsilon_{\mu}A_1^{B_s\phi}(q^2) - \frac{\varepsilon \cdot p_{B_s}}{m_3 + m_{B^*}}(p_{B_s} + p_3)_{\mu}A_2^{B_s\phi}(q^2) - 2m_3\frac{\varepsilon \cdot p_{B_s}}{q^2}q_{\mu}(A_3^{B_s\phi}(q^2) - A_0^{B_s\phi}(q^2)),$$
(21)

where

$$A_3^{B_s\phi}(q^2) = \frac{m_{B_s} + m_3}{2m_3} A_1^{B_s\phi}(q^2) - \frac{m_{B_s} - m_3}{2m_3} A_2^{B_s\phi}(q^2).$$
(22)

The two-pion-creation matrix element of the weak interaction current can be expressed by

$$\langle \pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_1)|(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}|0\rangle = (p_1 - p_2)F_1^{\pi\pi}(q^2).$$
(23)

The non-resonant weak and electromagnetic form factor $F^{\pi\pi}$ is parametrized as follows [19]:

$$F_{\rm em}^{\pi\pi}(q^2) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2/M_*^2 + i\Gamma_*/M_*},$$

$$F_{\rm weak}^{\pi\pi}(q^2) = \frac{F^{\pi\pi}(0)}{1 - q^2/\Lambda_{\chi}^2 + i\Gamma_*/\Lambda_{\chi}},$$
(24)

using $\Gamma_* = 200 \text{ MeV}$ and $M_* = 600 \text{ MeV}$, and $\Lambda_{\chi} = 830 \text{ MeV}$ is the chiral-symmetry-breaking scale. By multiplying matrix elements, we have

$$\langle \phi(p_3,\varepsilon) | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_s^0(p_{B_s}) \rangle \langle \pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_1) | (\bar{q}q)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle$$

= $iF_1^{\pi\pi}(q^2)((m_{B_s}+m_3)\varepsilon.(p_1-p_2)A_1^{B_s\phi}(q^2) - 2\frac{\varepsilon.p_{B_s}}{m_3+m_{B^*}}(p_1.p_3-p_2.p_3)A_2^{B_s\phi}(q^2)).$ (25)

2.2. Resonant contribution

As noted before, the Dalitz plot model can indicate the presence of intermediate resonance. The amplitude decay of of the B meson into $\pi\pi$ in the different wave is to be appropriate to the pion non-strange scalar or vector form factor, depending on the wave studied; the three-body matrix element $\langle \pi^+\pi^-|V_\mu|B^0\rangle$ can be described by S-, P-, and D-waves from the $\pi\pi$ channel. Resonant effects are explained in terms of the Breit–Wigner formalism. Thus, the resonant contribution of $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+\pi^-$ can be written as follows:

$$\langle \pi^{+}(p_{2})\pi^{-}(p_{1})|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}(p_{B})\rangle^{R} = \sum_{i} \frac{g^{T_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{T_{i}}^{2} + im_{T_{i}}\Gamma_{T_{i}}} \varepsilon_{\sigma\gamma} p_{1}^{\sigma} p_{1}^{\gamma}$$

$$\times \langle T|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}\rangle - \sum_{i} \frac{g^{V_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{V_{i}}^{2} + im_{V_{i}}\Gamma_{V_{i}}} \varepsilon^{*} . (p_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$\times \langle V|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}\rangle - \sum_{i} \frac{g^{S_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{S_{i}}^{2} + im_{S_{i}}\Gamma_{S_{i}}} \langle S|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}\rangle.$$
(26)

The three-body matrix element can receive contributions from the f_2 tensor meson, the ρ vector meson, and the f_0 scalar resonances. The form factors for the $B \longrightarrow S$ [20], $B \longrightarrow V$ [18], and $B \longrightarrow T$ [21] transitions are described by:

$$\langle f_{0}(p_{q})|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}(p_{B})\rangle = -i \left[\left((p_{B} + p_{q})_{\mu} - \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{f_{0}}^{2}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu} \right) F_{1}^{Bf_{0}}(q^{2}) + \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{f_{0}}^{2}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu}F_{0}^{Bf_{0}}(q^{2}) \right],$$

$$\langle \rho(p_{q},\varepsilon)|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{0}(p_{B})\rangle = i \left[(m_{B} + m_{\rho})\varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}A_{1}^{B\rho}(q^{2}) - \frac{\varepsilon^{*} \cdot p_{B}}{m_{B} + m_{\rho}}(p_{B} + p_{q})_{\mu}A_{2}^{B\rho}(q^{2}) - 2m_{\rho}\frac{\varepsilon^{*} \cdot p_{B}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu}(A_{3}^{B\rho}(q^{2}) - A_{0}^{B\rho}(q^{2})) \right],$$

$$\langle f_{2}(p_{q},\varepsilon)|(\bar{b}d)_{V-A}|B^{+}(p_{B})\rangle = ih\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\varepsilon^{*\nu\alpha}p_{B\alpha}(p_{B} + p_{q})^{\lambda}(p_{B} - p_{q})^{\rho} + k(q^{2})\varepsilon^{*\mu\nu}p_{B\nu} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{*}p_{B}^{\alpha}p_{B}^{\beta}(b_{+}(q^{2})(p_{B} + p_{q})^{\mu} + b_{-}(q^{2})(p_{B} - p_{q})^{\mu}).$$

$$(27)$$

The polarization tensor $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}(p_q,\lambda)$ with momentum p and helicity λ is given by [22]

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}(\pm 2) = \varepsilon^{\mu}(\pm 1)\varepsilon^{\nu}(\pm 1),$$

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}(\pm 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varepsilon^{\mu}(\pm 1)\varepsilon^{\nu}(0) + \varepsilon^{\mu}(0)\varepsilon^{\nu}(\pm 1)),$$

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}(0) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}(\varepsilon^{\mu}(+1)\varepsilon^{\nu}(-1) + \varepsilon^{\mu}(-1)\varepsilon^{\nu}(+1)) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\varepsilon^{\mu}(0)\varepsilon^{\nu}(0)),$$
(28)

where $\varepsilon^{\mu}(0, \pm 1)$ represent the polarization vector of the massive vector state moving along the *Z*-axis [see Eq. (7)]. We have used the partial widths for determining the coupling constants $f_0 \rightarrow \pi \pi$,

 $\rho \rightarrow \pi \pi$, and $f_2 \rightarrow \pi \pi$ [2]:

$$\Gamma(f_{0}(980) \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 34.2^{+13.9}_{-11.8} \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma(f_{0}(1370) \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 10.8 \pm 2 \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma(f_{0}(1500) \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 35.8 \pm 4 \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma(\rho(770) \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) \sim 149.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma(\rho(1450) \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 400 \pm 60 \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma(f_{2}(1270) \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 165 \pm 9 \text{ MeV}.$$
(29)

Note also that $g^{S \to M_1 M_2}$, $g^{V \to M_1 M_2}$, and $g^{T \to M_1 M_2}$ are the coupling constants for the scalar, vector, and tensor mesons:

$$\Gamma_{S \to M_1 M_2} = \frac{p_c}{8\pi m_S^2} g_{S \to M_1 M_2}^2, \quad \Gamma_{V \to M_1 M_2} = \frac{p_c^3}{6\pi m_V^2} g_{V \to M_1 M_2}^2,$$

$$\Gamma_{T \to M_1 M_2} = \frac{p_c^5}{15\pi m_T^4} g_{T \to M_1 M_2}^2,$$
(30)

where p_c is the center-of-mass momentum. Thus, the resonant amplitude can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{split} M_{R}(B^{-} &\longrightarrow \pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})\phi(p_{3},\varepsilon)) \\ &= \frac{iG_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2}(a_{3} + a_{5} + a_{7})\lambda_{p}m_{\phi}f_{\phi} \times \left[\frac{g^{f_{2} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{f_{2}}^{2} + im_{f_{2}}\Gamma_{f_{2}}}\varepsilon_{T}^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\mu}(p_{B} + p_{f_{2}})_{\rho} \right. \\ &\times (k(m_{\phi}^{2})\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta_{\beta}^{\rho} + b_{+}(m_{\phi}^{2})p_{3\alpha}p_{3\beta}g^{\mu\rho}) - \sum_{i}\frac{ig^{\rho_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{\rho_{i}}^{2} + im_{\rho_{i}}\Gamma_{\rho_{i}}} \left(-(m_{B} + m_{\rho_{i}})\varepsilon.(p_{1} - p_{2})\right) \\ &\times A_{1}^{B\rho_{i}}(m_{\phi}^{2}) - \frac{A_{2}^{B\rho_{i}}(m_{\phi}^{2})}{m_{B} + m_{\rho_{i}}}\varepsilon.(p_{B} + p_{\rho_{i}})p_{B}.(p_{1} - p_{2}) \right) \\ &- \sum_{i}\frac{ig^{f_{0i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{f_{0i}}^{2} + im_{f_{0i}}\Gamma_{f_{0i}}}\varepsilon.(p_{B} + p_{f_{0i}})F_{1}^{Bf_{0i}}(m_{\phi}^{2}) \bigg], \end{split}$$

where the polarization tensor $\varepsilon_T^{\alpha\beta}$ follows Eq. (28). In $B_s^0 \to \phi \pi \pi$, the two-body matrix element $\langle \pi^+\pi^-|V_\mu|0\rangle$ can also receive contributions from the f_2 tensor meson, ρ vector meson, and f_0 scalar resonances in the $\pi^-\pi^+$ channel. As noted above, the resonant contribution of $B_s^0 \to \phi \pi \pi$ is investigated by using the Breit–Wigner formalism:

$$\langle \pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})|(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}|0\rangle^{R} = \sum_{i} \frac{g^{T_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{T_{i}}^{2} + im_{T_{i}}\Gamma_{T_{i}}} \varepsilon_{\sigma\gamma} p_{1}^{\sigma} p_{1}^{\gamma}$$

$$\times \langle T_{i}|(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}|0\rangle - \sum_{i} \frac{g^{V_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{V_{i}}^{2} + im_{V_{i}}\Gamma_{V_{i}}} \varepsilon^{*} (p_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$\times \langle V_{i}|(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}|0\rangle - \sum_{i} \frac{g^{S_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{S_{i}}^{2} + im_{S_{i}}\Gamma_{S_{i}}} \langle S_{i}|(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}|0\rangle.$$

$$(31)$$

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article-abstract/2018/6/063B08/5046152 by CERN - European Organization for Nuclear Research user on 09 July 2018 The decay constants of the scalar and vector mesons are defined as [23,24]

$$\langle 0 | (\bar{q}q)_{V-A} | f_0(980)(p_{f_0}) \rangle = f_{f_0} p_{f_0}^{\mu}, \langle 0 | (\bar{q}q)_{V-A} | \rho(p_{\rho}, \varepsilon) \rangle = f_{\rho} m_{\rho} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}.$$
 (32)

The polarization of the tensor meson satisfies the following relations [25]:

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\nu\mu}, \qquad \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0, \qquad p_{\mu}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} = p_{\nu}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$
 (33)

Therefore, the decay constant of the tensor meson is defined as

$$\langle 0|(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}|T(p_q,\varepsilon)\rangle = a\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}p^{\nu} + b\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}p^{\mu} = 0.$$
(34)

Then we are led to

$$\langle \phi(p_{3},\varepsilon) | (\bar{b}s)_{V-A} | B_{s}^{0}(p_{B_{s}}) \rangle \langle \pi^{+}(p_{2})\pi^{-}(p_{1}) | (\bar{q}q)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle^{R}$$

$$- \sum_{i} i \frac{g^{f_{0i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{f_{0i}}^{2} + im_{f_{0i}}\Gamma_{f_{0i}}} f_{f_{0i}} \left((m_{B_{s}} + m_{3})\varepsilon.p_{B_{s}} \right)$$

$$\times A_{1}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2}) - \frac{\varepsilon.p_{B_{s}}}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{\phi}} (p_{B_{s}} + p_{3}).p_{f_{0i}}A_{2}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2})$$

$$- 2m_{3}\frac{\varepsilon.p_{B_{s}}}{q^{2}}q.p_{f_{0i}}(A_{3}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2}) - A_{0}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2})) \right)$$

$$- \sum_{i} \frac{g^{\rho_{i} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}}{s - m_{\rho_{i}}^{2} + im_{\rho_{i}}\Gamma_{\rho_{i}}} \varepsilon_{\rho_{i}}.(p_{1} - p_{2})f_{\rho_{i}}m_{\rho_{i}} \left((m_{B_{s}} + m_{3})\varepsilon.\varepsilon_{\rho_{i}} \right)$$

$$\times A_{1}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2}) - \frac{\varepsilon.p_{B_{s}}}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{\phi}} \varepsilon_{\rho_{i}}.(p_{B_{s}} + p_{3})A_{2}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2})$$

$$- 2m_{3}\frac{\varepsilon.p_{B_{s}}}{q^{2}}\varepsilon_{\rho_{i}}.q(A_{3}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2}) - A_{0}^{B_{s}\phi}(q^{2})) \right), \qquad (35)$$

where $q = p_1 + p_2 = p_{B_s} - p_3$ and $p_R = p_1 + p_2$. Therefore,

$$\varepsilon.p_{f_0} = \varepsilon.p_{B_s} = \frac{m_{B_s}}{m_3}|p_3|. \tag{36}$$

Finally, the decay amplitude through resonance reads

$$M_{R}(B_{s}^{0} \to \phi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) = -\sum_{i} i \frac{g^{f_{0i} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}}{s - m_{f_{0i}}^{2} + i m_{f_{0i}} \Gamma_{f_{0i}}} f_{f_{0i}} m_{B_{s}} |p_{3}|$$

$$\times 2A_{0}^{B_{s}\phi}(s_{12}) - \sum_{i} \frac{g^{\rho_{i} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}}{s - m_{\rho}^{2} + i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}} f_{\rho_{i}} m_{\rho_{i}}$$

$$\times \left[-(m_{B_{s}} + m_{3})\varepsilon.(p_{1} - p_{2})A_{1}^{B_{s}\phi}(s_{12}) - \frac{\varepsilon.p_{B_{s}}}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{3}}(2p_{3}.p_{2} - 2p_{3}.p_{1})A_{2}^{B_{s}\phi}(s_{12}) \right].$$
(37)

μ	c_1	<i>C</i> ₂	<i>C</i> ₃	<i>C</i> ₄	<i>C</i> ₅	C ₆	$c_7/lpha$	$c_8/lpha$	$c_9/lpha$	c_{10}/α
$m_b/2$	1.137	-0.295	0.021	-0.051	0.010	-0.065	-0.024	0.096	-1.325	0.331
m_b	1.081	-0.19	0.014	-0.036	0.009	-0.042	-0.011	0.060	-1.254	0.223
$2m_b$	1.045	-0.113	0.009	-0.025	0.007	-0.027	-0.011	0.039	-1.195	0.144

Table 1. The values of the Wilson coefficients c_i at three renormalization scales μ .

Table 2. The form factor for the $B \rightarrow \rho$ transition.

Decay	F(0)		a_F	b_F
	A_1	0.232	0.42	-0.25
$B \rightarrow \rho$	A_2	0.187	0.98	-0.03
	A_3	-0.221	1.16	0.09
	V	0.289	1.32	0.34

The three-body decay width is written as [26]

$$\Gamma(B \longrightarrow M_1 M_2 M_3) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 32 M_B^2} \int_{s_{\min}}^{s_{\max}} \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} |M_{NR}(B \longrightarrow M_1 M_2 M_3) + M_R(B \longrightarrow M_1 M_2 M_3)|^2 dt ds,$$
(38)

where

$$s_{\min} = (m_1 + m_2)^2,$$

$$s_{\max} = (m_B - m_3)^2,$$

$$t_{\min,\max} = m_2^2 + m_3^2 - \frac{1}{s} [(s - m_B^2 + m_1^2) \times (s + m_2^2 - m_3^2) + \lambda^{1/2} (s, m_B^2, m_1^2) \lambda^{1/2} (s, m_2^2, m_3^2)],$$
(39)

where $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2(xy + xz + yz).$

3. Numerical results

We need to determine the values of several physical ingredients for the numerical analysis. The Fermi coupling constant, G_F , is taken to be 1.66×10^{-5} GeV. The values of the decay constants and meson masses in units of MeV are [2,23,27]:

$$m_{\pi^{\pm}} = 139.57 \pm 0.00035, \ m_{\phi} = 1019 \pm 0.016, \ m_{B^0} = 5279.63 \pm 0.15, m_{f_0} = 990 \pm 20, \ m_{B_s} = 5366.89 \pm 0.19, \ m_{\rho} = 775.26 \pm 0.25, m_{f_2} = 1275.5 \pm 0.8, \ m_{B*} = 5324.65 \pm 0.25, \ f_{f_0} = 0.37, \ f_{\phi} = 221, f_{\pi} = 130, \ f_{\rho} = 218 \pm 2, \ f_{B} = 176 \pm 42, \ f_{B^*} = 194 \pm 6.$$
(40)

The coupling constants are $g_{B^*B\pi} = 32 \pm 5$ [28] and $g_{B^*_sBK} = 10.6$ [29]. The values of c_i at three scales, $\mu = m_b/2$, m_b , and $2m_b$, are given in Table 1 [30]. The form factors $A_i^{B\rho}$ are shown in Table 2 [31]. The form factors of the transition $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ at $q^2 = 0$ applied in Eq. (20) are given in Table 3 [32]. The $B \rightarrow f_2$ transition form factor is depicted in Table 4 [33,34]. The parameter in the form

Table 3. The parameters for the $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ transition.

Decay	A_1	A_2	V
$B_s \to \phi$	0.29	0.25	0.24

Table 4. The parameters for the <i>B</i>	\rightarrow	f_2	transition.
---	---------------	-------	-------------

Decay	k	b_+	b_{-}
$B \rightarrow f_2$	0.425	-0.014	0.014

Table 5. The decay branching ratios at scales $\mu = m_b/2$, m_b , and $2m_b$.

Mode	$B^0 o \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	$B^0_s o \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
	$(\times 10^{-7})$	$(\times 10^{-6})$
$BR(2m_b)$	2.16 ± 0.21	5.5 ± 0.20
$BR(m_b)$	1.69 ± 0.19	3.28 ± 0.17
$BR(m_b/2)$	0.98 ± 0.15	2.34 ± 0.13
BR_{Exp}	1.82 ± 0.25	3.48 ± 0.23

factor of the $B \rightarrow f_0(d\bar{d})$ transition is 0.3 ± 0.05 [35]. The branching ratios of these decays at three scales are calculated as shown in Table 5.

4. Conclusion

In this research, we have computed the branching ratios of the $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays obtained from studies of three-body decays. The branching ratios of hadronic three-body decays are evaluated by applying the factorization approach. The Dalitz plot model for decays is determined by considering several resonant and non-resonant amplitudes. In order to examine the branching ratios of three-body decays, we investigated resonant and non-resonant contributions. There are factorizable and non-factorizable contributions such that the non-factorizable terms corresponding to the hard spectator interactions and vertex corrections are computed, which is why the improved QCD factorization approach was applied. Eventually, we computed the branching ratios at the three scales $m_b/2$, m_b , and $2m_b$.

In generalized factorization, the computed branching ratios of $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^$ had the values 1.69 ± 0.19 and 3.28 ± 0.17 at scale m_b , while the experimental results were 1.82 ± 0.25 and 3.48 ± 0.23 respectively. Comparison between our obtained values and experiment indicates relative agreement with experimental information: the values of the branching ratios on the scale m_b correspond to the experimental values. The resonant contribution considered in the computation of the branching ratio in the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay is dominant, and it approximately corresponds with the numerical values published by the PDG. Also, in the $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay, the non-resonant contribution considered in our computation is dominant, and it corresponds approximately with the experimental results. In summary, the calculated branching ratios for the sum of non-resonant and resonant amplitudes are consistent with experimental results.

Funding

Open Access funding: SCOAP³.

References

- [1] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 95, 012006 (2017).
- [2] C. Patrignani et al. [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C 40, 100001 (2016).
- [3] R. H. Dalitz, Phil. Mag. Ser. 7 44, 1068 (1953).
- [4] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes, T. N. Pham, and S. Prelovšek, Phys. Lett. B 447, 313 (1999).
- [5] S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes, and T. N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D 60, 054029 (1999).
- [6] H.-Y. Cheng, C.-Y. Cheung, G.-L. Lin, Y.-C. Lin, T.-M. Yan, and H.-L. Yu, J. High Energy Phys. 1603, 028 (2016).
- [7] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 1125 (1996).
- [8] D. d'Enterria and M. Srebre, Phys. Lett. B 763, 465 (2016).
- [9] A. J. Buras, Nucl. Phys. B 434, 606 (1995).
- [10] A. J. Buras, M. E. Lautenbacher, M. Misiak, and M. Münz, Nucl. Phys. B 423, 349 (1994).
- [11] H.-Y. Cheng, Y.-Y. Keum, and K.-C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 65, 094023 (2002).
- [12] H.-Y. Cheng and C.-K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 88, 114014 (2013).
- [13] H.-Y. Cheng and C.-K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 89, 074025 (2014).
- [14] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov, and S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B 735, 12 (2014).
- [15] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B 606, 245 (2001).
- [16] P. V. Pobylitsa, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074030 (2004).
- [17] C.-D. Lü, W. Wang, and Z.-T. Wei, Phys. Rev. D 76, 014013 (2007).
- [18] M. A. Paracha, B. El-Bennich, M. J. Aslam, and I. Ahmed, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 630, 012050 (2015).
- [19] N. G. Deshpande, G. Eilam, X.-G. He, and J. Trampetić, Phys. Rev. D 52, 5354 (1995).
- [20] H.-Y. Cheng and C.-W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D 81, 074031 (2010).
- [21] W. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 014008 (2011).
- [22] C. A. Morales, N. Quintero, C.-E. Vera, and A. Villalba, Phys. Rev. D 95, 036013 (2017).
- [23] Z.-Q. Zhang, S.-Y. Wang, and X.-K. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 93, 054034 (2016).
- [24] X.-J. Chen, H.-F. Fu, C. S. Kim, and G.-L. Wang, J. Phys. G 39, 045002 (2012).
- [25] A. Datta, Y. Gao, A. V. Gritsan, D. London, M. Nagashima, and A. Szynkman, Phys. Rev. D 77, 114025 (2008).
- [26] H.-Y. Cheng and K.-C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 66, 054015 (2002).
- [27] Z.-Q. Zhang and J.-D. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C 67, 163 (2010).
- [28] D. Melikhov and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. D 62, 014006 (2000).
- [29] A. Cerqueira, Jr., B. Osório Rodrigues, and M. E. Bracco, Nucl. Phys. A 874, 130 (2012).
- [30] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B 606, 245 (2001).
- [31] Y. L. Wu, M. Zhong, and Y. B. Zuo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 21, 6125 (2006).
- [32] M.A. Paracha, B. El-Bennich, M. J. Aslam, and I. Ahmed, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 630, 012050 (2015).
- [33] N. Sharma and R. C. Verma, Phys. Rev. D 82, 094014 (2010).
- [34] H.-Y. Cheng and K.-C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 034001 (2011).
- [35] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, and W. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 81, 074001 (2010).